APPENDIX C

USDA NRCS Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Forms
Shelley,

I have received nine Farm Impact Ratings Forms for the Newburg-Dundee bypass project. As a part of the Farm Protection Policy Act, the acres will be reported as a federally funded conversion of farmland in the next reporting cycle.

Thank you,

Ron Raney
Soil Quality Specialist
USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service
1201 NE Lloyd Blvd
Suite 900
Portland, Oregon 97232
503-414-3263

Hi Ron,

Attached please find the completed and signed AD-106 forms for Newberg Dundee. Please take a look at them and then e-mail me and copy Bill Ciz to indicate you have received and reviewed them and then e-mail me a confirmation of completion and receipt. Thanks Ron!

Shelley

Shelley Holly, AICP
Land Use and Environmental Planner
phone: 503.416.6192
fax: 503.233.4825
cell: 503-757-7649
sholly@parametrix.com

*Parametrix*
*inspired people . inspired solutions . making a difference*
This page intentionally left blank.
## Farmland Conversion Impact Rating

**For Corridor Type Projects**

### Part I (To be completed by Federal Agency)

1. **Name of Project**: Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. **Type of Project**: New Bypass highway alignment
3. **Date of Land Evaluation Request**: 5/21/07
4. **Federal Agency Involved**: FHWA
5. **County and State**: Yamhill County, Oregon

### Part II (To be completed by NRCS)

1. **Date Request Received by NRCS**: 6-24-07
2. **Prepared By/Reviewed By**: R. Navey
3. **Acreage Identified**: Veg. Farm
   - **Acreage Identified**: 24,907
   - **Acreage Farm Size**: 84
4. **Major Crop(s)**: Pasture
5. **Farms Land In Government Jurisdiction**
   - **Acres**: 271,001
   - **% of Total Acres**: 60.1
6. **Farms Land In Government Jurisdiction**
   - **Acres**: 239,244
   - **% of Total Acres**: 55.7

### Part III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

- **Total Acres To Be Converted Directly**: 11.1
- **Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services**: 0
- **Total Acres In Corridor**: 113.2

### Part IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

- **Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland**: 9.3
- **Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland**: 11.6
- **Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt Unit To Be Converted**: 0.16
- **Percentage Of Farmland In Govt Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value**: 22.8

### Part V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criteria Relative Value of Farmland To Be Serviced Or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)

### Part VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farnmer In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Of Corridor Being Farmland</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Of Nonfarm Use</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Corridor Assessment Points**: 160

**Total Points (Total of above 2 lines)**: 260

### Part VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)

1. **Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)**: 91
2. **Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)**: 160
3. **Date Of Selection**: 6/21/11
4. **Was A Local Site Assessment Used?**: NO

### Reason For Selection:

Uses less Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) land than 1:1.

**Signature of Person Completing this Form**: [Signature]

**Date**: 1-2-11

**NOTE**: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average-size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state; data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmers' markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
### Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects

1. **Name of Project:** Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. **Type of Project:** New Bypass highway alignment
3. **County and State:** Yamhill County, Oregon

#### PART II (To be completed by NRCS)

- **Date Request Received by NRCS:** 6-30-07
- **Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction:**
  - Acres: 271,001
  - %: 60.1

#### PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

- **Total Acres To Be Converted Directly:** 192.1

#### PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>Corridor A</th>
<th>Corridor B</th>
<th>Corridor C</th>
<th>Corridor D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</td>
<td>192.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 650.5(c))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided by State and Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared to Average</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS:** 160

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Relative Value of Farmland (From Part V):** 100
- **Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment):** 160

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines):** 260

1. **Corridor Selected:** 2
2. **Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:** 239.01
3. **Date Of Selection:** 6/21/11
4. **Was a Local Site Assessment Used?** NO
5. **Reason For Selection:** This alignment is located as close to Oregon 99W as possible, therefore reducing the use of farmland.

**Signature of Person Completing this Form:** [Signature]

**Date:** 12/31
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 16 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**
Natural Resources Conservation Service

**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING**
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**
1. Name of Project: Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. Type of Project: New Bypass highway alignment
3. Date of Land Evaluation Request: 5/21/07
4. Federal Agency Involved: FHWA
5. County and State: Yamhill County, Oregon

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**
1. Date Required by NRCS: 8/20/07
2. Person Completing Form: [Name]
3. Number of Corridor Conversion (i.e., [number of acres converted in total, no. acres] or [number of sites converted in total, no. sites]): [Type and size of conversion if applicable. Do not complete additional parts of this form.]
4. Acres Impacted/Average Farm Size: 239.2 ac.
5. Feasible Land in Government Jurisdiction: Acres: 217.800 / 60.4
6. Name of Land Evaluation System Used: PORN
7. Name of Local Site Assessment System: PORN
8. Date Land Evaluation Determined by NRCS: 7/10/07

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly: 21.9
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services: 20.5
C. Total Acres In Corridor: 21.8

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**
A. Total Acres In Parvenant And Unique Farms: 21.8
B. Total Acres Stakeholder And Local Impact: 21.8

**PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criteria Related To Conversion Or Conversion Of Farm Land To The 39.2 ac.**

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 659.5(c)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area In Nonurban Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effect Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS:** 100

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

**Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V):** 193

**Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment):** 160

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines):** 260

**PART VIII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. Corridor Selected: 3A.2
2. Total Acres Of Farmlands To Be Converted By Project: 239.2 ac.
3. Date Of Selection: 8/21/11
4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES

**Reason For Selection:** All alternatives used similar amounts of land, however this alternative includes berms to provide a visual barrier and costs less than below-grade alternatives.

**Signature of Person Completing This Part:** [Signature]

**DATE:** 8/21/11

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 26 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 21 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage buildings, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 21 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
   Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
   Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)

1. Name of Project: Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. Type of Project: New Bypass highway alignment

PART II (To be completed by NRCS)

4. Person Completing Form: [Signature]

5. Major Crop(s): Pasture

6. Formable Land In Government Jurisdiction

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly

B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services

C. Total Acres In Corridor

PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

A.: Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland

B.: Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland

C.: Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Gov. Unit To Be Converted

D.: Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value

PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative Value of Farmland To Be Serviced Or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)

PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CER 658.5(e))

1. Area In Nonurban Use
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services
8. On-Farm Investments
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use

TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS

PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)

Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)

Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)

TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)

1. Corridor Selected:

2. Total Acres of Farmland to be Converted by Project:

3. Date Of Selection:

4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?

5. Reason For Selection:

This alternative uses less farmland.

Signature of Person Completing this Form: [Signature]

DATE: 12-31-11

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor.
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is Intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 80 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer’s markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm Investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm Investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm Investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. **Name of Project:** Newburg Dundees Bypass
2. **Type of Project:** New Bypass highway alignment
3. **Date of Land Evaluation Request:** 5/21/07
4. **Federal Agency Involved:** FHWA
5. **County and State:** Yamhill County, Oregon

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

1. **Date Request Received by NRCS:** 6/15/07
2. **Persons Completing Form:** [Signature]
3. **Major Use(s):** Agronomic
4. **Acreage Evaluated**
   - Actual Farm Area: 24.37 acres
5. **Permanently Land in Government Jurisdiction**
   - Acres: 20.7 acres
   - Percent of Farmland as Defined in FPPA: 7%

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Total Acres To Be Converted Directly:** 53.7 acres
- **Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services:** 53.7 acres
- **Total Acres In Corridor:** 97.4 acres

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

- **Total Acres Farmed And Under Farming:** 20.7 acres
- **Total Acres Statewide and Local Important Farmland:** 20.7 acres
- **Total Acres Farmland In Corridor That Are Not To Be Converted:** 0
- **Percent Of Farming In Corridor That Are Statewide And Local Important Farmland:** 100%
- **Relative Value of Farmland To Be Converted or Conveyed (Scale of 0 - 100 Points):** 70

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V):** 75
- **Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment):** 77

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines):** 260

1. **Corridor Selected:** 5.2.D
2. **Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:** 259 acres
3. **Date Of Selection:** 5/21/11
4. **Was A Local Site Assessment Used?** Yes
5. **Reason For Selection:** This alternative relocates fewer owner-occupied residences than other alternatives.

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:** [Signature]

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
More than 90 percent - 16 points
90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
More than 90 percent - 16 points
90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
More than 90 percent - 20 points
90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
Site is protected - 20 points
Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
(Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
As large or larger - 10 points
Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
Acreage equal to more than 26 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
Acreage equal to between 26 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer’s markets?
All required services are available - 5 points
Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
No on-farm Investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**
Natural Resources Conservation Service

**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING**
**FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Project</th>
<th>Newberg Dundee Bypass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. County and State</th>
<th>Yamhill County, Oregon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

| A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly | 63.7 |

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

| D. Percentage of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted | 96.8 |

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5[c])**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>1. Area in Nonurban Use</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Effect Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS**

160

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

| Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) | 100 |
| Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) | 160 |

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)**

260

**1. Corridor Selected:**

| 2. Total Acres Of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: | 259 ac |
| 3. Date Of Selection: | 5/21/07 |
| 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? | YES | NO |

**5. Reason For Selection:**

This alternative avoids a greater area of residential development, therefore requiring fewer relocations.

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

| DATE | 12-21-11 |

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 50 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 60 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer’s markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
   Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
   Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
### FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

**FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th>3. Date of Land Evaluation Request</th>
<th>4. Sheet 7 of 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Project</td>
<td>5/21/07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newberg-Dundee Bypass</td>
<td>Federal Agency Involved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FHWA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Project</td>
<td>County and State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bypass highway alignment</td>
<td>Yamhill County, Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Date Request Received by NRCS</th>
<th>2. Person Completing Form</th>
<th>4. Acres Irrigated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-20-07</td>
<td>A. Raney</td>
<td>24.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Major Crop(s)</th>
<th>6. Formable Land in Government Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>Acres: 27.0 ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>7.4c</th>
<th>7.5c</th>
<th>Corridor C</th>
<th>Corridor D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Total Acres Prime and Unique Farmland</th>
<th>2.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres Statewide and Local Important Farmland</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Percentage of Farmland in County or Local Govt Unit to Be Converted</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Percentage of Farmland in State Jurisdiction With Same or Higher Relative Value</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criteria Relative Value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0-100 Points)**

| 70.2 | 68.4 |

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 659.6(c))**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Corridor Selected: 7.5c
2. Total Acres of Farmland to be Converted by Project: Total Corridor 25.0 ac
3. Date Of Selection: 06/21/11
4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES NO
5. Reason For Selection: This alternative provides feasible development opportunities for both the Chehalis Glenn Golf Course and the Providence Newberg Medical Center.

Signature of Person Completing this Form: [Signature]

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor.
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 50 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state: Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm Investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
# Farmland Conversion Impact Rating

## For Corridor Type Projects

### Part I (To be completed by Federal Agency)
- Name of Project: Newberg Dundee Bypass
- County and State: Yamhill County, Oregon

### Part II (To be completed by NRCS)
- Date Request Received by NRCS: 6-20-07
- Person Completing Form: A. Lowey
- Major Crop(s): Pasture
- Name of Local Site Assessment System: Yamhill Draft LC
- Acres of Farmland As Defined in PFFA: 291.001
- Acres of Land Evaluation System Used: 60.1
- Acres of Land Evaluation Requested: 299.244

### Part III (To be completed by Federal Agency)
- Total Acres To Be Converted Directly: 8.1
- Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services: 30.6
- Total Acres In Corridor: 30.6

### Part IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information
- Acres of Prime and Unique Farmland: 28.1
- Acres of Statewide and Local Important Farmland: 5.2
- Percentage of Farmland in County Or Local Gov't Unit To Be Converted: 0.01
- Percentage of Farmland in Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value: 22.8

### Part V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criteria Relative Value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)
- Total Corridor Assessment Points: 100

### Part VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points Earned</th>
<th>Number of Factors</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Site of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Off-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)
- Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V): 78
- Total Corridor (From Part VI above or a local site assessment): 100
- Total Points (Total of above 2 lines): 200

| Corridor Selected: | 8.1 |
| Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: | 259.8 |
| Date Of Selection: | 6-21-11 |

### 4. Was a Local Site Assessment Used?
- Yes [ ] No [ ]

### 5. Reason For Selection:
- Most efficient use of existing right of way.

### Signature of Person Completing This Part:
- [Signature]

### Date:
- [Date]

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor.
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   - More than 80 percent - 15 points
   - 60 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   - More than 90 percent - 10 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   - More than 90 percent - 20 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   - Site is protected - 20 points
   - Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average-size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 8 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acreage directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acreage directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acreage directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th>Date Of Land Evaluation Request</th>
<th>12/12/11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of Project</td>
<td>Newberg Dundee Bypass - Phase I</td>
<td>Federal Agency Involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Land Use</td>
<td>New Bypass Highway alignment</td>
<td>County and State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART II (To be completed by NRCS)</th>
<th>Date Request Received by NRCS</th>
<th>12/15/11</th>
<th>Person Completing Form: Ron Raney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the site contain Prime, Unique, Statewide or Local Important Farmland?</td>
<td>YES ☑ NO ☐</td>
<td>Acres Irrigated</td>
<td>24,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not complete additional parts of this form)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Average Farm Size</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Crop(s)</td>
<td>Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>Acres: 2100.1</td>
<td>Acres: 239,244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of Land Evaluation System Used</td>
<td>Name of State or Local Site Assessment System</td>
<td>Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamhill Draft LE</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>12/15/2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th>Site A</th>
<th>Site B</th>
<th>Site C</th>
<th>Site D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Total Acres In Site</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information</th>
<th>Site A</th>
<th>Site B</th>
<th>Site C</th>
<th>Site D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres Statewide Important or Local Important Farmland</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value</td>
<td>22.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion</th>
<th>Alternative Site Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative Value of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Site A</th>
<th>Site B</th>
<th>Site C</th>
<th>Site D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criteria are explained in 7 CFR 659.5 b, For Corridor project use form NRCS-CPA-106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Area in Non-urban Use</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter In Non-urban Use</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State and Local Government</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Distance From Urban Built-up Area</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Distance To Urban Support Services</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Creation Of Non-farmable Farmland</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th>Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>92</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>C 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or local site assessment)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>C 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) | 260 | 163 | 0 | C 0 |

Site Selected: Phase I
Segment 2
Date Of Selection: 12/21/11
Reason For Selection: Phase I of segment 2 fulfills legislative requirements, while also avoiding displacement of between 15 to 25 residences.

Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES ☑ NO ☐
Name of Federal agency representative completing this form: [Signature]
Date: 2-21-11

(See Instructions on reverse side)
STEPS IN THE PROCESSING THE FARMLAND AND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FORM

Step 1 - Federal agencies (or Federally funded projects) involved in proposed projects that may convert farmland, as defined in the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) to nonagricultural uses, will initially complete Parts I and III of the form. For Corridor type projects, the Federal agency shall use form NRCS-CPA-106 in place of form AD-1006. The Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA) process may also be accessed by visiting the FPPA website, http://fppa.nrcs.usda.gov/lesa/.

Step 2 - Originator (Federal Agency) will send one original copy of the form together with appropriate scaled maps indicating location(s) of project site(s), to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) local Field Office or USDA Service Center and retain a copy for their files. (NRCS has offices in most counties in the U.S. The USDA Office Information Locator may be found at http://offices.usda.gov/scripts/ndISAPI.dll/coin_public/USA_map, or the office can usually be found in the Phone Book under U.S. Government, Department of Agriculture. A list of Field offices is available from the NRCS State Conservationist and State Office in each State.)

Step 3 - NRCS will, within 10 working days after receipt of the completed form, make a determination as to whether the site(s) of the proposed project contains prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland. (When a site visit or land evaluation system design is needed, NRCS will respond within 30 working days).

Step 4 - For sites where farmland covered by the FPPA will be converted by the proposed project, NRCS will complete Parts II, IV and V of the form.

Step 5 - NRCS will return the original copy of the form to the Federal agency involved in the project, and retain a file copy for NRCS records.

Step 6 - The Federal agency involved in the proposed project will complete Parts VI and VII of the form and return the form with the final selected site to the servicing NRCS office.

Step 7 - The Federal agency providing financial or technical assistance to the proposed project will make a determination as to whether the proposed conversion is consistent with the FPPA.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FORM

(For Federal Agency)

Part I: When completing the "County and State" questions, list all the local governments that are responsible for local land use controls where site(s) are to be evaluated.

Part II: When completing item B (Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly), include the following:

1. Acres not being directly converted but that would no longer be capable of being farmed after the conversion, because the conversion would restrict access to them or other major change in the ability to use the land for agriculture.
2. Acres planned to receive services from an infrastructure project as indicated in the project justification (e.g. highways, utilities planned build out capacity) that will cause a direct conversion.

Part VI: Do not complete Part VI using the standard format if a State or Local site assessment is used. With local and NRCS assistance, use the local Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA).

1. Assign the maximum points for each site assessment criterion as shown in § 658.5(b) of CFR. In cases of corridor-type project such as transportation, power line and flood control, criteria #5 and #6 will not apply and will, be weighted zero, however, criterion #8 will be weighed a maximum of 25 points and criterion #11 a maximum of 25 points.
2. Federal agencies may assign relative weights among the 12 site assessment criteria other than those shown on the FPPA rule after submitting individual agency FPPA policy for review and comment to NRCS. In all cases where other weights are assigned, relative adjustments must be made to maintain the maximum total points at 160. For project sites where the total points equal or exceed 160, consider alternative actions, as appropriate, that could reduce adverse impacts (e.g. Alternative Sites, Modifications or Mitigation).

Part VII: In computing the "Total Site Assessment Points" where a State or local site assessment is used and the total maximum number of points is other than 160, convert the site assessment points to a base of 160. Example: if the Site Assessment maximum is 200 points, and the alternative Site "A" is rated 180 points:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total points assigned Site A</th>
<th>180</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum points possible</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X 160 = 144 points for Site A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For assistance in completing this form or FPPA process, contact the local NRCS Field Office or USDA Service Center. NRCS employees, consult the FPPA Manual and/or policy for additional instructions to complete the AD-1006 form.