APPENDIX C

USDA NRCS Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Forms
Shelley Holly,
PARAMETRIX/PORTLAND

I am returning the AD-106s for the Newburg Dundee Bypass in Yamhill County FPPA project with Sections II, IV, and V completed.

Please use the instructions included with the AD-106 to assign values to the Site Assessment Criteria in Part VI. When the project has been finalized, please complete Part VII and return a copy to me.

Thank you for the clear, concise data.

Ron Kaney
USDA, NRCS
Portland, OR
**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING**

**FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART I</strong> (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th><strong>3. Date of Land Evaluation Request:</strong> 5/21/07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Project: Newberg Dundee Bypass</td>
<td>5. Federal Agency Involved: FHWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Project: New Bypass highway alignment</td>
<td>6. County and State: Yamhill County, Oregon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART II</strong> (To be completed by NRCS)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Date Request Received by NRCS: 6-20-07</td>
<td>2. Person Completing Form: [Blank]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPO does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form.)</td>
<td>YES [X]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Acres Irrigated: 24,907</td>
<td>Average Farm Size: 8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART III</strong> (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th><strong>Alternative Corridor For Segment</strong></th>
<th><strong>Corridor C</strong></th>
<th><strong>Corridor D</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly: 7,171</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services</td>
<td>11,320</td>
<td>5,210</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Total Acres In Corridor: 11,320</td>
<td>5,210</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART IV</strong> (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland: 94.7</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland: 11.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted: 0.54</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value: 22.8</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART V</strong> (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Blank]</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART VI</strong> (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 588.5(c))</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PART VII</strong> (To be completed by Federal Agency)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **1. Corridor Selected:** | **2. Total Acres of Farmland to be Converted by Project:** | **3. Date Of Selection:** | **4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?** | **YES [ ] NO [ ]** |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|

| **5. Reason For Selection:** |

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

**DATE**

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor.
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor - type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor - type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Are the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Project</th>
<th>2. Type of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newberg Dundee Bypass</td>
<td>New Bypass highway alignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Date Request Received by NRCS</th>
<th>4. Petition Completing Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-23-07</td>
<td>K. Kaveny</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>2a. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services</th>
<th>2b. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland</th>
<th>99.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Gov't Unit To Be Converted</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Area in Nonurban Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Creation Of Nonfarable Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Off-Farm Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS**

180

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)</th>
<th>Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)**

260

**5. Reason For Selection:**

---

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   - More than 90 percent - 15 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   - More than 90 percent - 10 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   - More than 90 percent - 20 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   - Site is protected - 20 points
   - Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average size farming unit in the County?
   - Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
# Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. **Name of Project:** Newberg Dundee Bypass
   2. **Type of Project:** New Bypass highway alignment

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

1. **Date Requested by NRCS:** 6-20-07
   2. **Person Completing Form:** R. R. Harvey
   3. **Does this corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the EPPA does not apply—Do not complete additional parts of this form.)** Yes [X] No [ ]
   4. **Acrs Impacted/Av. Acrs Farm Land:** 5.20
   5. **Impacted Acreage:** 21
   6. **County and State:** Yamhill County, Oregon

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- A. **Total Acres To Be Converted Directly:** 24.5
- B. **Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services:** 29.8
- C. **Total Acres In Corridor:** 22.5

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

- A. **Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland:** 2.25
- B. **Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland:** 2.25
- C. **Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Government To Be Converted:** 10%
- D. **Relative Value Of Farmland In GVR Area Compared To Average:** 10
- E. **Relative Value Of Farmland In GVR Area Compared To Average Value:** 10
- F. **Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted With Same Or Higher Relative Value:** 10
- G. **Area Available For Farm Support Services:** 20
- H. **On-Farm Investments:** 20
- I. **Other Benefits:** 20
- J. **Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use:** 10
- K. **TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS:** 160

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V):** 160
- **Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment):** 160
- **TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines):** 260

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. **Corridor Selected:**
2. **Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:**
3. **Date of Selection:**
4. **Was A Local Site Assessment Used?** Yes [X] No [ ]
5. **Reason For Selection:**

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

**DATE:**

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

---

**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>3.4</th>
<th>3.4.2</th>
<th>3.4.3</th>
<th>3.4.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres In Corridor</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average-size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm size in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 9 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become nonfarmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities, and farmer's markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 10 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
# FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

## PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Project</th>
<th>5. Federal Agency Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newberg Dundee Bypass</td>
<td>FHWA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Type of Project</th>
<th>6. County and State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Bypass highway alignment</td>
<td>Yamhill County, Oregon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART II (To be completed by NRCS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Date Request Received by NRCS</th>
<th>2. Person Completing Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/21/07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Acres Irrigated</th>
<th>Average Farm Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.96</td>
<td>84 von</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Major Crop(s)</th>
<th>6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>Acres: 27,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acres: 239,244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>Corridor C</th>
<th>Corridor D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Total Acres In Corridor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criteria relative value of farmland to be serviced or converted (Scale of 0 - 160 points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Total Prime And Unique Farmland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit. To Be Converted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. Percent Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 655.5(c))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Are in Nonurban Use</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Perimeter In Nonurban Use</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G. Availability Of Farm Support Services</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H. On-Farm Investments</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 1. Corridor Selected: | 2. Total Acres Of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: | 3. Date Of Selection: | 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 5. Reason For Selection:

---

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

**DATE:**

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   - More than 50 percent - 15 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   - More than 90 percent - 10 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   - More than 80 percent - 20 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   - Site is protected - 20 points
   - Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the most recently available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 18 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farm remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)

1. Name of Project: Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. Type of Project: New Bypass highway alignment
3. Date of Land Evaluation Request: 5/21/07

PART II (To be completed by NRCS)

4. Date Received: 4-20-07
5. Does the corridor contain prime, highly important farmland? (IF NO, NOPEPA does not apply) Y
6. Acreage Involved: 249.24
7. County and State: Yamhill County, Oregon
8. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction: 29.00
10. Name of Local Site Assessment System: NLSS

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly: 5.16
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services: 4.0
C. Total Acres In Corridor: 38.4

PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland: 2.94
B. Total Acres Statewide And/Or Important Farmland: 0.4
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County On Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted: 0.01
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Gov't Unit With State Or Higher Relative Value: 22.0
E. Value of Farmland To Be Converted Or Converted (Scale of 0-100 Points): 71.6

PART V (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor In Farmland</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS: 160

PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)

Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V): 100

Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment): 160

TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines): 260

1. Corridor Selected: YES
2. Total Acres Of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: NO
3. Date Of Selection: 7/3/07
4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?: NO
5. Reason For Selection:

Signature of Person Completing this Part: [Signature]

DATE

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor.
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 50 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 50 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm supplies, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm Investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING**

**FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. Name of Project: Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. Type of Project: New Bypass highway alignment

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form.)
   - Yes [X] No [ ]

5. Major Crop(s):
   - Pasture

7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA:
   - Acres:
     - 239,244
     - % 55.7

8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used:
   - Yamhill Draft E

9. Name of Local Site Assessment System:

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

6. Date Land Evaluation Requested by NRCS:
   - 5/21/07

7. Land Evaluation Returned By NRCS:
   - 7/13/07

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly:
   - 38.1

B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services

C. Total Acres In Corridor

**PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion**

D. Relative Value of Farmland to be Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)
   - 96.8

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(e))**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points Assigned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)

Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)

TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)

1. Corridor Selected:
2. Total Acres Of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:
3. Date Of Selection:
4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?
   - Yes [ ] No [X]

5. Reason For Selection:

Signature of Person Completing this Part: __________________________ Date: ________________

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   - More than 90 percent - 15 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 1 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   - More than 90 percent - 10 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   - More than 90 percent - 20 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   - Site is protected - 20 points
   - Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average size farming unit in the County?
   - (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm Investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
# Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects

## Part I (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Project</th>
<th>Newberg Dundee Bypass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Project</td>
<td>New Bypass highway alignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Part II (To be completed by NRCS)

| 1. Date Request Received by NRCS | 6-20-07 |
| 2. Person Completing Form | R. C. B. |
| 3. Date Land Evaluation Request | 5/21/07 |

## Part III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>Corridor C</th>
<th>Corridor D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres To Be Converted Directly</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>7.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Acres In Corridor</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Part IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

| Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland | 21.2 |
| Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland | 22.1 |
| Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Gov't Unit To Be Converted | 0.01 |
| Percentage Of Farmland In Gov't Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value | 22.8 |

## Part V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative Value of Farmland To Be Serviced Or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)

| 1. Area In Nonurban Use | 15 |
| 2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use | 10 |
| 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed | 20 |
| 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government | 20 |
| 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average | 10 |
| 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland | 25 |
| 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services | 5 |
| 8. On-Farm Investments | 40 |
| 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services | 25 |
| 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use | 10 |

**Total Corridor Assessment Points**

| 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Part VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)

| Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) | 100 |
| Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a total site assessment) | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Total Points (Total of above 2 lines)**

| 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Signature of Person Completing this Part:*

*Date:

**Note:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one alternate corridor.
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   - More than 90 percent - 15 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   - More than 90 percent - 10 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   - More than 90 percent - 20 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 18 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   - Site is protected - 20 points
   - Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
    - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
    - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
    - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
# Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Name of Project:** Newberg Dundee Bypass
- **Type of Project:** New Bypass highway alignment
- **County and State:** Yamhill County, Oregon

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

- **Date Request Received by NRCS:** 6-20-07
- **Person Completing Form:** R. Raney
- **Acreage Irrigated:** 24,987 acres
- **Average Farm Size:** 84 acres

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Alternative Corridor For Segment:**
  - Corridor B: 30.6 acres
  - Corridor C: 0 acres
  - Corridor D: 0 acres

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

- **Acreage Prime And Unique Farmland:** 28.1 acres
- **Acreage Statewide And Local Important Farmland:** 5.2 acres
- **Acreage Of Farmland In County Or Local Gov't, Unit To Be Converted:** 0.01 acres
- **Acreage Of Farmland In Gov't Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value:** 2.8 acres

**PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criteria Relative Value of Farmland To Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 160 Points)**

- Maximum Points: 7.5

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))**

1. **Area In Nonurban Use:** 15
2. **Perimeter In Urban Use:** 10
3. **Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed:** 20
4. **Protection Provided By State And Local Government:** 20
5. **Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average:** 10
6. **Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland:** 25
7. **Availability Of Farm Support Services:** 6
8. **On-Farm Investments:** 20
9. **Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services:** 25
10. **Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use:** 10

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS:** 160

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

- **Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V):** 160
- **Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment):** 160
- **TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines):** 280

**1. Corridor Selected:**

- **2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:**
- **3. Date Of Selection:**
- **4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?:**
- **5. Reason For Selection:**

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

**DATE:**

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information.

(1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   More than 90 percent - 15 points
   90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   More than 90 percent - 10 points
   90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   More than 90 percent - 20 points
   90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   Less than 20 percent - 0 points

(4) Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   Site is protected - 20 points
   Site is not protected - 0 points

(5) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.)
   As large or larger - 10 points
   Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 8 to 0 points

(6) If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 points
   Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

(7) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?
   All required services are available - 5 points
   Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   No required services are available - 0 points

(8) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   No on-farm investment - 0 points

(9) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 points
   No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

(10) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
   Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
   Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
   Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points
### FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING
#### FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. **Name of Project**: Newberg Dundee Bypass
2. **Type of Project**: New Bypass highway alignment
3. **Date of Land Evaluation Request**: 5/21/07
4. **Federal Agency Involved**: FHWA
5. **County and State**: Yamhill County, Oregon

**PART II (To be completed by NRCS)**

1. **Date Request Received by NRCS**: 6-28-07
2. **Person Completing Form**: R. Kay
3. **Date Of Arrival Of Completed Form**: 7-13-07
4. **Crop(s)**: Pasture
5. **Quantity of Farmland In Government Jurisdiction**: 274.01 acres

**PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

1. **Alternative Corridor For Segment**: B, C, D

**PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Corridor For Segment</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corridor B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(d))**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Percent In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</strong></td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

| Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)                                  | 100    |
| **Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)** | 160    |
| **TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)**                                | 260    |

1. **Corridor Selected**: 2. **Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project**: 3. **Date of Selection**: 4. **Was A Local Site Assessment Used?**: NO

5. **Reason For Selection:**

**Signature of Person Completing This Part:**

**DATE:**

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
CORRIDOR - TYPE SITE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information:

1. How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?
   - More than 90 percent - 15 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 14 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

2. How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?
   - More than 90 percent - 10 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

3. How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last 10 years?
   - More than 90 percent - 20 points
   - 90 to 20 percent - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - Less than 20 percent - 0 points

4. Is the site subject to state or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?
   - Site is protected - 20 points
   - Site is not protected - 0 points

5. Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average - size farming unit in the County?
   - Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each state. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage or Farm Units in Operation with $1,000 or more in sales.
   - As large or larger - 10 points
   - Below average - deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average - 9 to 0 points

6. If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?
   - Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project - 25 points
   - Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project - 0 points

7. Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer’s markets?
   - All required services are available - 5 points
   - Some required services are available - 4 to 1 point(s)
   - No required services are available - 0 points

8. Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage building, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?
   - High amount of on-farm investment - 20 points
   - Moderate amount of on-farm investment - 19 to 1 point(s)
   - No on-farm investment - 0 points

9. Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?
   - Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 25 points
   - Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 1 to 24 point(s)
   - No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted - 0 points

10. Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?
   - Proposed project is incompatible to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 10 points
   - Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 9 to 1 point(s)
   - Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland - 0 points