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SECTION 1

Introduction

The city of Woodburn (City), in conjunction with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), initiated an update of the City’s 1996 Transportation System Plan (TSP) in 2002. The City of Woodburn Comprehensive Plan is currently undergoing periodic review as required by state law. Updating the transportation element (Goal 12) of the Comprehensive Plan is Task 3B of the Period Review. In addition to fulfilling the periodic review requirements, planning for near- and long-term transportation system needs is a priority for the City.

Purpose

The purpose of the update is to amend the TSP based on the following criteria:

• State Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) requirements

• Updated transportation model structure consistent with (1) ODOT technical specifications, and (2) local land use designations

• Consistency with plans completed and underway since development of the 1996 TSP

Benefits

The updated Woodburn TSP identifies planned transportation facilities and services needed to support land uses proposed in the Woodburn Comprehensive Plan in a manner consistent with the TPR (Oregon Administrative Rule [OAR] 660-012) and the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP). Preparation and adoption of an updated TSP for the City provides the following benefits:

• Ensures adequate planned transportation facilities to support planned land uses for the next 20 years

• Provides certainty and predictability for the siting of new streets, roads, highway improvements, and other planned transportation improvements

• Provides predictability for land development

• Helps reduce the cost and maximize the efficiency of public spending on transportation facilities and services by coordinating land use and transportation decisions

This TSP will guide the management and development of appropriate transportation facilities in Woodburn, incorporating the community’s vision, while remaining consistent with state, regional, and local plans. This report provides the necessary elements to be adopted as the transportation element of the City’s comprehensive plan.
A system of transportation facilities and services adequate to meet the City’s transportation needs to the planning horizon year of 2020 is established in this TSP update. The TSP includes plans for a transportation system that incorporates all modes of travel (i.e., auto, bicycle, pedestrian, rail, marine, and public transportation), serves the urban area, and is coordinated with the state and county transportation network.

**Regulatory Requirements**

The contents of the Woodburn TSP are guided by Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 197.712 and the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) administrative rule known as the TPR. These laws and rules require that jurisdictions develop the following:

- Plan for a network of arterial and collector roads
- Public transit plan
- Bicycle and pedestrian plan
- Air, rail, water, and pipeline plan
- Transportation financing plan
- Policies and ordinances for implementing the TSP

The TPR requires that alternative travel modes be given equal consideration with the automobile, and that reasonable effort be applied to the development and enhancement of the alternative modes in providing the future transportation system. In addition, the TPR requires that local jurisdictions amend land use and subdivision ordinances to implement the provisions of the TSP. Finally, local communities must coordinate their respective plans with the applicable county, regional, and state transportation plans.

**Public Review of the TSP**

The compliance of the plan with the goals and visions of the community was assessed. Results were reviewed by the public through a variety of forums. Throughout the development of the TSP, public input was sought through an Open House, numerous work sessions with the City Council and Planning Commission, and community meetings. In addition, input on the plan was also received via public forums held for the Woodburn Interchange Environmental Assessment. This valuable feedback combined with input from the Technical Advisory Committee has produced a plan that will help to guide the future of Woodburn’s Transportation System for the next 20 years.

**Goals and Policies**

During development of the 1996 TSP, the Woodburn Transportation Task Force, in concert with the city of Woodburn staff, developed five goals and associated policies to guide development and implementation of the TSP. As part of the plan update, the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was established to provide direction throughout the project and to endorse continued use of those goals and policies with minor revisions to guide this update. The goals and policies are identified below.
Goal 1
Develop a multimodal transportation system that avoids or reduces a reliance on one form of transportation and minimizes energy consumption and air quality impacts.

Policies
1. Develop an expanded intracity bus transit system that provides added service and route coverage to improve the mobility and accessibility of the transportation disadvantaged and to attract traditional auto users to use the system.
2. Develop a plan for providing travel options between Woodburn and Portland or Salem, including intercity bus service and potential bus/carpool park-and-ride facilities.
3. Develop a bikeway system that provides routes and facilities that allow bicyclists to travel from residential areas to schools, parks, places of employment, and commercial areas. Identify off-street facilities in City greenway and park areas. Ensure all new collector and arterial streets are constructed with bicycle lanes.
4. Identify sidewalk and off-street pathway improvements to improve pedestrian mobility within neighborhoods and between residential areas and schools, parks, places of employment, and commercial areas. Ensure all new collector and arterial streets are constructed with sidewalks.

Goal 2
Develop a street system which will handle projected year 2020 traffic demands in the Woodburn area, and interconnects residential areas with employment centers, schools, parks, churches, and regional transportation facilities.

Policies
1. Develop an updated roadway functional classification plan for the Woodburn area that reflects the desired function of different roadways, and is consistent with current federal guidelines for the designation of major streets in an urban area.
2. Develop a strategy for improving Oregon 219/214, 211, and 99E through Woodburn, including added travel lanes, signalization, and access management.
3. Identify new east-west and north-south collector/minor arterial streets within the City to relieve traffic demands on Oregon 219/214, 211, and 99E, and coordinate with Marion County to construct the street connections needed outside of the urban growth boundary (UGB).
4. Develop updated street design standards for arterials, collectors, and local streets.
5. Identify a final strategy for paving currently unimproved streets in the City.
6. Identify the need for additional public parking provisions in Woodburn, including park-and-ride facilities, as well as a plan to support increased carpooling and transit use in the future.
7. Develop a capital improvement program that fulfills the transportation goals established by the community.

**Goal 3**
Develop transportation improvements that address overall traffic safety in the Woodburn area.

**Policies**
1. Develop access management strategies for Oregon 219/214, 211, and 99E through Woodburn, particularly focusing on the section of Oregon 214 between Interstate 5 (I-5) and Cascade Drive, and Oregon 99E south of Lincoln Avenue.

2. Develop a plan for improving pedestrian and bicycle safety for travel to and from local schools, commercial areas, and major activity centers.

3. Identify street and railroad crossings in need of improvement, as well as those that should be closed or relocated.

4. Develop a plan for designated truck routes through the City, and a plan to handle truck and rail hazardous cargoes.

**Goal 4**
Develop a set of reliable funding sources that can be applied to fund future transportation improvements in the Woodburn area.

**Policies**
1. Evaluate the feasibility of the full range of funding mechanisms for transportation improvements.

2. Evaluate the feasibility of instituting an added City gas tax for transportation improvements.

3. Identify a traffic impact fee structure for new development in the Woodburn area to fund transportation improvements.

**Goal 5**
Develop amendments to City land use standards and ordinances to reduce travel demand and promote use of modes of transportation other than the automobile.

**Policies**
1. Identify a range of potential Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies that can be used to improve the efficiency of the transportation system by shifting single-occupant vehicle trips to other modes and reducing automobile reliance at times of peak traffic volumes.

2. Identify revisions to the Woodburn Zoning Ordinance for compliance with the TPR.
SECTION 2

Reviewed Plans and Policies

This section summarizes the plans and policies at the federal, state, regional, and local levels that are directly associated with transportation planning in the city of Woodburn. Although each document reviewed contains many policies, only the most pertinent policies and information were chosen to help focus the discussion. The purpose of this section is to provide a policy framework for the Woodburn TSP update process. New policies considered as part of this study should be consistent with the currently adopted policies listed. This review also serves as the basis for identifying local policies that may be out of date or inconsistent with other policies and can serve as the basis for updating policies to reflect current conditions and to achieve consistency with other federal, state, regional, and local plans.

Documents Reviewed

The following federal, state, regional, and local documents were reviewed. The general intent of these documents and their relevance to the Woodburn TSP are summarized in the remainder of this section of the plan.

- Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
- 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 450
- 49 CFR 613
- Statewide Planning Goals
- 1992 Oregon Transportation Plan
- 1999 Oregon Highway Plan
- 2002-2005 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program
- 1995 Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan
- 2001 Oregon Rail Plan
- Freight Moves the Oregon Economy (1999)
- Western Transportation Trade Network Phase II Final Report (1999)
- 1997 Oregon Public Transportation Plan
- 1995 Oregon Transportation Safety Action Plan
- Transportation Planning Administrative Rule
- Access Management Administrative Rule
- Statewide Congestion Overview for Oregon (1998)
- Willamette Valley Transportation Strategy (1995)
- Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan (1998)
Marion County Comprehensive Plan (1981)
City of Woodburn Comprehensive Plan (1978 and subsequent amendments)
City of Woodburn Development Ordinance (2002)
1996 Woodburn Transportation System Plan

Federal Policies

The Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) specified changes to transportation planning activities for states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) instituted by the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). The regulations for these state and MPO planning activities are specified in 23 CFR 450 and 49 CFR 613. The planning activities encompass a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive process that considers all transportation modes. The resulting plans lead to the development and operation of an integrated, intermodal system that facilitates the efficient, economic movement of people and goods. The planning activities also need to specifically address freight movement and bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Additional air quality and congestion management requirements apply to certain MPOs. The state planning requirements are addressed by the OTP and related modal plans and corridor plans. MPO planning requirements are addressed through regional TSPs.

Woodburn is not part of an MPO, and therefore is not subject to TEA-21 or ISTEA planning requirements for MPOs.

State Policies

Statewide Planning Goals

Since 1973, Oregon has maintained a strong statewide program for land use planning. The foundation of that program is a set of 19 statewide planning goals. The TPR and the TSPs identified therein are the results of implementation of Goal 12—Transportation. Oregon’s statewide goals are achieved through local comprehensive planning, of which TSPs must be made a part. The goals that apply to transportation system planning in Woodburn are as follows:

- **Goal 1—Citizen Involvement**: Develop a citizen involvement program that ensures the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process.
- **Goal 2—Land Use Planning**: Establish a land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decisions and actions related to use of land to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.
- **Goal 6—Air, Water, and Land Resources Quality**: Maintain and improve the quality of the air, water, and land resources of the state.
- **Goal 9—Economic Development**: Provide adequate opportunities for a variety of economic activities vital to the health, welfare, and prosperity of Oregon’s citizens.
• **Goal 11 — Public Facilities and Services:** Plan and develop a timely, orderly, and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development.

• **Goal 12 — Transportation:** Provide and encourage a safe, convenient, and economic transportation system.

• **Goal 13 — Energy Conservation:** Conserve energy.

• **Goal 14 — Urbanization:** Provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use.

**1992 Oregon Transportation Plan**

The OTP is a policy document developed by ODOT in response to federal and state mandates for systematic planning for the future of Oregon’s transportation system. It recognizes the need to integrate all modes of transportation and encourages the use of the mode that is the most appropriate for each type of travel. The Plan defines goals, policies, and actions for the state for the next 40 years. The Plan’s System Element identifies a coordinated multimodal transportation system, to be developed during the next 20 years, which is intended to implement the goals and policies of the Plan. The goals and policies of the OTP cover a broad range of issues. The goals and policies most directly applicable to transportation system and facility plans are as follows:

• **Goal 1: Characteristics of the System**
  - Policy 1A — Balance
  - Policy 1B — Efficiency
  - Policy 1C — Accessibility
  - Policy 1D — Environmental Responsibility
  - Policy 1E — Connectivity among Places
  - Policy 1F — Connectivity among Modes and Carriers
  - Policy 1G — Safety

• **Goal 2: Livability**
  - Policy 2A — Land Use
  - Policy 2B — Urban Accessibility
  - Policy 2C — Relationship of Interurban and Urban Mobility
  - Policy 2D — Facilities for Pedestrians and Bicyclists
  - Policy 2E — Minimum Levels of Service
  - Policy 2H — Aesthetic Values

• **Goal 3: Economic Development**
  - Policy 3B — Linkages to Markets
  - Policy 3E — Tourism

• **Goal 4: Implementation**
  - Policy 4G — Management Practices
  - Policy 4K — Local Government Responsibilities
• Local governments shall define a transportation system of local significance adequate to meet identified needs for the movement of people and goods to local destinations within their jurisdictions.

• Local government transportation plans shall be consistent with regional transportation plans and adopted elements of the state TSP:
  − Policy 4L—Federal and Indian Tribal Governmental Relationships
  − Policy 4M—Private/Public Partnership
  − Policy 4N—Public Participation

1999 Oregon Highway Plan

The 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) is one modal element of the OTP. The OHP defines the policies and investment strategies for Oregon’s state highway system over the next 20 years. Regional and local TSPs must be consistent with the State TSP, which includes the OHP. OHP policies requiring consistency in TSPs are as follows:

• **Policy 1A: State Highway Classification System.** The state highway classification system includes six classifications: Interstate, Statewide, Regional, District, Local Interest Roads, and Expressways. The OHP emphasizes designation of expressways as a subset of statewide, regional, and district highways to provide a high level of access control along highway segments (such as long distances between access points and limited turning movements).
  − State-classified highways in Woodburn include: Oregon 99E, a regional highway, and Oregon 211 and 214, which are both district highways.

• **Policy 1B: Land Use and Transportation.** This policy recognizes the role of both state and local governments regarding the state highway system and calls for a coordinated approach to land use and transportation planning. The policy identifies the designation of highway segments as Special Transportation Areas (STAs), Commercial Centers, and Urban Business Areas (UBAs). Within STAs and UBAs, highways may be managed to provide a greater level of access to businesses and residences than might otherwise be allowed. Commercial centers encourage clustered development with limited access to a state highway.
  − The city of Woodburn does not have a designated UBA, Commercial Center, or STA, and does not recommend the designation of such areas as part of this TSP.

• **Policy 1C: State Highway Freight System.** This policy calls for balancing the need to move freight with other highway users by minimizing congestion on major truck routes. I-5 is a designated freight corridor that runs through Woodburn.

• **Policy 1D: Scenic Byways.** This policy promotes the preservation and enhancement of scenic byways by considering aesthetic and design elements along with safety and performance considerations on designated byways.
  − Oregon 214 is designated as the Silver Falls Oregon Tour Route.

• **Policy 1F: Highway Mobility Standards Access Management Policy.** This policy provides specific mobility standards for the state highway sections, signalized
intersections, and interchanges. Alternative standards are provided for certain locations and under certain conditions.

- **Policy 1G: Major Improvements.** This policy identifies the state’s priorities for responding to highway needs: protect the existing system; improve efficiency and capacity of existing system; add capacity to existing system.

- **Policy 2G: Rail and Highway Compatibility.** This policy emphasizes increasing safety and efficiency through reduction and prevention of conflicts between railroad and highway users.

- **Policy 3A: Classification and Spacing Standards.** This policy addresses the location, spacing, and type of road and street intersections and approach roads on state highways. It includes standards for each highway classification, such as specific standards for STAs and UBAs.

- **Policy 3B: Medians.** This policy establishes the state’s criteria for the placement of medians.

- **Policy 3C: Interchanges.** This policy addresses the management of grade-separated interchanges to ensure safe and efficient operation between connecting roadways.
  
  - In April 2002, ODOT in cooperation with the I-5/Woodburn Interchange Advisory Committee, which included representatives of the city of Woodburn and Marion County, identified two alternatives for the I-5/Oregon 214 interchange (see the I-5/Woodburn Interchange Refinement Plan discussion in Section 2.4.6).

- **Policy 4A: Efficiency of Freight Movement.** This policy emphasizes the need to maintain and improve the efficiency of freight movement on the state highway system.
  
  - I-5 is the only highway in the state highway freight system that passes through Woodburn. ODOT has identified the section of I-5 through Woodburn as suffering from congestion.

### 2002-2005 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) identifies the transportation projects that the state will fund during its next 4-year program. The STIP is updated every 2 years. These projects will be integrated into the Woodburn TSP planning process. The 2002-2005 STIP includes $1.8 million for environmental assessment, design, right-of-way (ROW) activities, construction of interchange improvement for Oregon 214 between I-5 and Evergreen Avenue, $2.8 million for pavement overlay of Oregon 214 between Willow Avenue and Mount Angel, and $2.4 million for environmental assessment, design, ROW activities of the interchange improvement at Oregon 214/I-5.

### 1995 Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

The Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan provides guidance to regional and local jurisdictions for the development of safe, connected bicycle and pedestrian systems. The plan is a modal element of the Oregon Transportation Plan. The plan includes two major sections: (1) policies and implementation strategies, and (2) design, maintenance and safety
information. The plan also outlines the elements of the bicycle and pedestrian plan required for TSPs. The goal of the plan is “To provide safe, accessible and convenient bicycling and walking facilities and to support and encourage increased levels of bicycling and walking.”

2001 Oregon Rail Plan

The 2001 Oregon Rail Plan includes two major elements: freight and passenger. The 2001 Rail Plan identifies federal and state policies applicable to passenger and freight rail planning, but does not identify any additional policies specific to the plan. The freight element describes existing conditions in the different regions of the state and improvements that are needed. The Willamette Valley Railway track, which connects with the Union Pacific Railway track in Woodburn, requires renewal of its rails, cross ties, and turnouts.

The 2001 Oregon Rail Plan also identifies issues that should be considered in rail planning during local land use planning like preparation of a TSP and comprehensive plan policies to support the TSP. The passenger element identifies the need or feasibility of certain passenger and commuter rail improvements in Region 2; none of these proposed lines would have stops in Woodburn.

Freight Moves the Oregon Economy (1999)

This plan’s stated purpose is to demonstrate the importance of freight to the Oregon economy and identify concerns and needs regarding the maintenance and enhancement of current and future mobility within the state of Oregon. The plan discusses the relationship among freight, the economy, and transportation planning, as well as road, rail, waterway, and pipeline facilities, and intermodal facilities. Although the report does not identify any general freight policies to be addressed by TSPs or facility plans, it does identify improvements needed in the State freight system. Congestion relief on I-5 through Woodburn is mapped as one of the needed improvements. No other improvements are recommended for facilities serving Woodburn.

Western Transportation Trade Network Phase II Final Report (1999)

The Western Transportation Trade Network (WTTN) Phase II Final Report was prepared for the 17 states that belong to the Western Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (WASHTO). As such, the report does not identify specific plans or policies of the state of Oregon; however, it does identify deficiencies and potential performance improvements to the trade corridors passing through and serving Oregon. I-5 is one of the major trade corridors identified in the report. The highway improvements recommended by the WTTN include the following:

- Improve pavement conditions (resurface, enhance maintenance, increase strength).
- Improve roadway geometrics (curves, turning radii).
- Increase lane widths to 12 feet.
- Increase shoulder widths to be in accordance with AASHTO standards.
- Reconstruct existing roadway, including additional lanes.
- Modify existing roadway to control and reduce access.
- Widen roadway; construct with additional lanes.
1997 Oregon Public Transportation Plan

The Oregon Public Transportation Plan (OPTP) forms the transit modal plan of the OTP. The vision guiding the public transportation plan is as follows:

- A comprehensive, interconnected and dependable public transportation system, with stable funding, that provides access and mobility in and between communities of Oregon in a convenient, reliable, and safe manner that encourages people to ride.
- A public transportation system that provides appropriate service in each area of the state, including service in urban areas that is an attractive alternative to the single-occupant vehicle, and high-quality, dependable service in suburban, rural, and frontier (remote) areas.
- A system that enables those who do not drive to meet their daily needs.
- A public transportation system that plays a critical role in improving the livability and economic prosperity for Oregonians.

The plan contains goals, policies, and strategies relating to the whole of the state’s public transportation system. The plan is intended to provide guidance for ODOT and public transportation agencies regarding the development of public transportation systems. The OPTP also identifies minimum levels of service, by size of jurisdiction, for fulfilling its goals and policies. The minimum levels of service applicable to Woodburn are as follows:

- Provide daily peak hour commuter service to the core areas of the central city, in this case Salem.
- Provide a guaranteed ride home program to all users of the public transportation system and publicize it well.
- Provide park-and-ride facilities along transit route corridors to meet reasonable peak and off-peak demand for such facilities.
- Maintain vehicles and corresponding facilities in a cost-effective manner and replace vehicles when they reach the manufacturers’ suggested retirement age
- Establish ridematching and demand management programs in communities of 5,000 where there are employers with 500 or more workers who are not already covered by a regional ridematching/demand management program.
- Establish ridematching and demand management programs in communities of 10,000.

The Public Transportation Plan also has minimum level of service standards for intercity public transportation, intercity bus, and intercity rail in 2015. The minimum levels of service applicable to Woodburn are as follows:

- Intercity public transportation services would:
  - Provide east/west and north/south connections to places outside the state based on travel density within Oregon’s interstate corridors
  - Provide intercity passenger terminals subject to public control to assure open access to all intercity carriers throughout the state
- Provide direct connections, where possible, between intercity services and local public transportation services
- Provide services in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements for all modes and transfer facilities
- Maintain vehicles and corresponding facilities in a cost-effective manner and replace vehicles when they reach the manufacturers’ suggested retirement age

• Intercity bus services would:
  - Provide hourly service to major communities within the Willamette Valley in conjunction with passenger rail service
  - Provide service on a daily basis for round trip purposes, for an incorporated city or group of cities within 5 miles of one another having a combined population of 2,500 and located 20 miles or more from the nearest city with a larger population and economy
  - Provide a coordinated, centralized scheduling system in each county and at the state level for rural and frontier areas
  - Coordinate intercity bus services with intercity senior and disabled services, local senior and disabled services and local public transportation services

• Intercity rail services would:
  - Provide regional rail service offering frequent schedules, through trains, extensive feeder bus networks with convent connections, and an aggressive marketing and passenger amenities program to stimulate changes in transportation preferences and a per-capita reduction in highway travel
  - Coordinate with intercity bus and local public transportation services to ensure timely and convenient connections

**1995 Oregon Transportation Safety Action Plan**

The Oregon Transportation Safety Action Plan forms the safety element of the OTP. The intent of the plan is to improve safety on Oregon’s highways for all users. The policy for safety in the OTP (Policy 1G) is as follows: “It is the policy of the state of Oregon to improve continually the safety of all facets of statewide transportation for system users including operators, passengers, pedestrian, recipients of goods and services, and property owners.” Many of the actions identified in the plan are programmatic in nature and may not be addressed best through transportation system or facility plans. The following lists the actions that TSPs and corridor plans could address best:

• Action 19—Safety Considerations in Transportation Planning Documents
  - Consider the roadway, human, and vehicle elements of safety in modal, corridor, and local system plan development and implementation. These plans should include the following:
− Involvement in the planning process of engineering, enforcement, and emergency service personnel as well as local transportation safety groups
− Safety objectives
− Resolution of goal conflicts between safety and other issues
− Application of access management standards to corridor and system planning

• Action 20—Access Management
  − In planning, consider access management techniques that show significant improvements in safety for the roadway user. Access management techniques, which can stand alone or be combined, may include:
    − Appropriate access and public street spacing and design
    − Proper spacing and coordination of traffic signals
    − Installation of nontraversable medians
    − Proper spacing and design of median openings
    − Provision of lanes for turning traffic
    − Interparcel circulation
    − Use of city and county road infrastructure as an alternative to increase access
    − Protection of the functional area of an intersection
    − Proper spacing of interchanges

• Action 27—Airports and Surrounding Land Uses
  − Continue to consider land use when siting airports to reduce the potential for a crash involving aircraft hitting persons on the ground. Ensure that corridor and local system plans identify existing and proposed public use airport facilities and services and provisions for compatibility with surrounding land use activities.

• Action 64—Rail Crossing Safety
  − Reduce the potential of crossing crashes by eliminating redundant highway-rail intersections. Upgrade warning devices or construct grade separations at the most heavily traveled intersections.

**Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-012)**

The TPR, OAR 660 Division 12, implements Oregon’s Statewide Planning Goal 12 (Transportation) and promotes the development of safe, convenient, and economic transportation systems that reduce reliance on the automobile. The TPR requires the preparation of regional transportation systems plans by MPOs or counties and local TSPs by counties and cities. TSP requirements vary by type (regional vs. local) and community size. Through TSPs, the TPR provides a means for regional and local jurisdictions to identify
long-range (20-year) strategies for the development of local transportation facilities and services for all modes, to integrate transportation and land use, to provide a basis for land use and transportation decision-making, and to identify projects for the State Transportation Improvement Program. TSPs need to be consistent with the STIP and its modal and multimodal elements.

Access Management Rules (OAR 734-051)

OAR 734-051 states that the purpose of the rules is to govern the issuance of permits for approaches onto state highways. The policy promotes the protection of emerging development areas rather than the retrofit of existing built-up roadways. The rules also provide access management spacing standards for approaches for various types of state roadways and for interchanges. OAR 734-051-0190 specifies that theses standards are to be used in planning processes involving state highways, including corridor studies, refinement plans, state and local TSPs, and local comprehensive plans. The access management rules also include provisions for UBAs and STAs, as discussed in the OHP. The access management rules also describe the development of access facility management plans and interchange area management plans.

Regional and Local Plans and Policies

Willamette Valley Transportation Strategy (1995)

The Willamette Valley Transportation Strategy (WTVS) is a multimodal element of the OTP. The WTVS identifies strategies for addressing eleven key issues influencing transportation development in the Valley. These strategies address the following issues:

- **Highways/Roadways**
  - Select highway projects that maximize the net benefits to the Valley’s transportation system as a whole.
  - Coordinate highway projects with land use policies and other transportation improvements.
  - Make strategic capacity enhancements to controlled access highways.
  - Make strategic capacity enhancements to nonaccess-controlled intercity highways in the state network and to key local facilities such as urban arterials.
  - Maintain regional highway linkages upon which rural communities depend to build viable communities.
  - Improve north-south and east-west links to the existing state highway system.

- **Local/Regional Transit**
  - Expand existing urban transit district services and systems to serve all parts of the more developed portions of their regions, especially when service can help relieve congestion and reduce the need for costly street improvements.
- Provide transit service from metropolitan centers to neighboring cities with populations of 2,500 or more.
- Develop urban transit systems in all cities of 25,000 or more.

**Freight**
- Improve local and state highway networks that provide direct connections to industrial areas and intermodal facilities such as rail/truck reload centers and air and marine ports.
- Connect networks of collectors and arterials to intermodal freight facilities within MPOs.

**Aviation**
- Consider consolidation of some general aviation facilities where necessary to reduce operational costs and improve efficiency.
- Through public-private partnerships, improve freight and passenger access to commercial airports by highway, transit, and rail.
- Manage land uses adjacent to airports to minimize conflicts with airport operations and public safety.

**Bicycles and Pedestrians**
- Include provisions for bicycle and pedestrian use in all new facilities and major construction.
- Build a stronger network of bicycle and pedestrian facilities, including routes off highway rights-of-way.
- Connect networks of bicycle/pedestrian routes to intermodal passenger terminals within MPOs.

**Interchange Development**
- Encourage local governments to adopt land use policies and implement transportation strategies that help achieve planned interchange utilization.

**TDM Programs**
- In cooperation with the state, local jurisdictions develop transportation demand management programs that educate and inform the public about motor vehicle use.
- Institute or expand programs such as ridesharing, park-and-ride, transit promotion, and parking management, especially in metropolitan areas.
- In partnerships between public and private sectors, expand programs such as trip reduction (commute options), flex time, telecommuting, and parking “cashout” programs, especially in metropolitan areas for both public and private employees.
- Coordinate employer-based programs with community transportation plan objectives.
- Expand prepaid group transit pass programs in local communities.

- **User Fees**
  - Increase parking prices in urban areas of the Valley through a variety of means.
  - Introduce peak period pricing techniques on key transportation facilities.

The strategies emphasize connections between places and modes, reduction of reliance on the automobile, development of facilities with maximum benefit for the Valley, and compact development.

**Marion County Rural Transportation System Plan (1998)**

Marion County is in the process of updating its 1998 Rural Transportation System Plan (RTSP), and has provided six draft chapters for public review. The following discussion focuses on the 1998 RTSP; however, it does identify completed improvements. The introduction to the 1998 Marion County RTSP indicates that the scope of the plan includes all rural County transportation facilities outside UGBs. Therefore, the 1998 RTSP does not specifically address facilities in Woodburn but it does identify important linkages to the County system. The following lists the 1998 RTSP’s 20-year recommended improvements and policies that should be taken into consideration in the development of the Woodburn TSP.

- **Roadways**
  - Corridor Study: Howell Prairie Road from Oregon 214 to Oregon 99E
  - Special Study: Second I-5 interchange

- **Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements**
  - Boones Ferry Road from Woodburn UGB to Crosby Road: Construct 5-foot paved shoulders on both sides of road. (This project has also been identified as a safety widening project, benefiting motorists as well as bicyclists and pedestrians.)
  - Urban bicycle and pedestrian improvements on county roads in cities and communities as identified in local TSPs.

- **Public Transportation**
  - Commuter Shuttle Service: I-5/Oregon 99E from Woodburn to Salem. (To support both intercity and paratransit services, the RTSP recommends a shuttle service along major, commuting corridors in the county.)

    The Chemeketa Area Regional Transportation System (CARTS) now provides weekday fixed route service between Woodburn and Salem (two routes during both the a.m. and p.m. peak period).

    - Organize and coordinate paratransit service providers on a subregional basis to enhance existing services and develop future services: North County Area (including Silverton, Mt. Angel, and Woodburn)
For example, CARTS through Wheels Community Transportation now provides dial-a-ride services in Marion and Polk Counties.

In addition to identifying specific improvements, the Marion County RTSP also identifies a series of transportation policies. Policies with bearing on the Woodburn TSP include the following:

- **Transportation System Planning Policies**
  - Policy 1: The general priorities for Marion County, with regard to the County Road System, are in order of importance: (1) preservation and maintenance of the existing road system; (2) safety improvements and enhancements; and (3) capacity enhancements and growth-related projects.
  - Policy 4: It is the County’s desire to work with each community to develop and maintain the transportation system with the goals and visions of the communities in mind. Deviation from a community’s direction is possible when dealing with issues involving such things as safety, significant added expense, modernization projects, liability, and providing services that are in the best interest of the public.
  - Policy 6: The County shall pursue and encourage implementation of TDM and Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies whenever possible as alternatives to building new transportation facilities.
  - Policy 8: The County recognizes the role of State highways and County arterials as the backbone of the transportation network. These roads are critical for everyday transportation and serve as critical lifelines in emergency situations. The County will support efforts to enhance and maintain the capabilities of these roads.

- **Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Public Transportation Policies**
  - Policy 3: The County shall encourage and facilitate the Salem Area Transit District and other transit providers to obtain the ability to provide services to areas outside of designated UGBs.

**Marion County Comprehensive Plan (1981)**

The transportation goals and policies included in the Marion County Comprehensive Plan are not all current in terms of relationship to more recent state and county law and policies. Those that continue to be current and applicable to Woodburn are as follows:

- Policy 11: Encourage the establishment of a cost-effective rail passenger service connecting the heavily populated urban centers of the Willamette Valley.
- Policy 12: Encourage the use of underground pipelines that minimize the need for surface shipping and that are compatible with established land uses.
- Policy 14: Marion County will coordinate with other jurisdictions in the area to promote the development of integrated and improved transportation services for the transportation disadvantaged.
City of Woodburn Comprehensive Plan (1978 and Subsequent Amendments)

The City of Woodburn Comprehensive Plan was originally adopted in 1978. The land use element was last amended in March 1996, the Transportation Goals and Policies were amended in 1997, and the Annexation and Growth Goals and Policies were amended in October 1999.

Land Use Goals

- **A-4**: Streets in residential areas should be used by residents for access to collectors and arterials. Residential streets should be designed to minimize their use for through traffic; however, whenever possible dead-end streets and cul-de-sacs should be avoided.

- **Goal A-8**: High-traffic generating nonresidential uses should not be located in such a manner as to increase traffic flows on residential streets or residential collectors.

- **Goal A-11**: Traffic from high-density residential areas should have access to collector or arterial streets without going through other residential areas.

- **Goal B-2**: Lands for high-traffic generating uses (shopping centers, malls, restaurants, etc.) should be located on well-improved arterials. The uses should provide the necessary traffic control devices needed to ameliorate their impact on the arterial streets.

- **Goal B-3**: Whenever possible, the City should encourage or require commercial developments which are designed to allow pedestrians to shop without relying on the private automobile to go from shop to shop.

- **Goal C-2**: Industrial land should be located so as to ensure that road transportation and, secondarily, rail transportation is available to industrial areas.

Transportation Goals and Policies

- **Goal K-1**: Establish a framework for the development of facilities to move persons and goods in as safe, effective, and efficient manner as possible under projected year 2015 traffic conditions.

- **Policy K-1-1**: Develop a transportation system that interconnects residential areas with employment centers, commercial areas, schools, parks, churches, and regional transportation networks.

- **Policy K-1-2**: Develop a street system wherein arterial streets are of sufficient width to accommodate traffic flows without interruption. Collector streets should function to conduct traffic between arterial streets, which serve to accommodate movement within neighborhoods.

- **Policy K-1-3**: To ensure that state and federal highways with routes through the City are improved in accordance with projected traffic volumes and the elements contained within this plan.

- **Policy K-1-4**: Develop a public transit system that will provide service and facilities to improve the mobility and accessibility of the transportation disadvantaged.
• Policy K-1-5: The City shall encourage pedestrian safety and foster pedestrian activity, sidewalks shall be provided on all arterial, service collector, and access streets. Where possible, sidewalks should be detached from the curb, separated by a minimum 4-foot-wide parkway strip.

• Policy K-1-6: The City shall encourage large businesses in Woodburn to set up carpool and vanpool matching programs based on employees’ residential location and work shift.

• Policy K-1-7: Access to a development site shall be consistent with an adopted access management plan for specific streets.

• Policy K-1-8: Oregon 214 (between the west City limits and Settlemier Avenue/Boones Ferry Road) and Oregon 99E between Lincoln Street and the south City limits. The 1991 Oregon Highway Plan classifies the following as Category 5 Highways:
  - Public roads shall be spaced a minimum of one-quarter mile apart
  - Private driveways shall be full access spaced at least 300 feet apart (which equates to 18 driveways per mile on each side of the roadway)
  - Traffic signals shall be spaced at least one-quarter mile apart

• Policy K-1-9: Where possible, driveway access along Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E shall be consolidated to meet the driveway density guidelines outlined in the Access Management Plan. Where possible, driveway access along the following sections of Oregon 214 shall be consolidated:
  - I-5/Evergreen Road
  - Evergreen Road/Oregon Way
  - Oregon Way/Broughton Way
  - Broughton Way/Settlemier Avenue

• Where possible, driveway access along the following sections of Oregon 99E shall be consolidated:
  - Lincoln Street/Aztec Drive
  - Aztec Drive/Laurel Avenue
  - Laurel Avenue/Oregon 214
  - Oregon 214/End of Curb

• Policy K-1-10: In order to bring Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E into compliance with the Access Management Policy guidelines, the city of Woodburn shall coordinate with ODOT to:
  - Develop parallel road system to provide local access to businesses adjacent to Oregon 214 and 99E, and reduce the traffic volumes on Oregon 99E
  - Install two-way left turn lanes along the sections of Oregon 214 and 99E

• Goal K-2: Develop a transportation system that avoids or reduces a reliance upon any one form of transportation.
• Policy K-2-1: Encourage the development of transit services by route expansion, increasing levels of service and appropriate street design to facilitate movement of transit vehicles.

• Policy K-2-2: Develop a bikeway and pedestrian system that will provide routes connecting residential areas to schools, parks, places of employment, and commercial areas.

• Policy K-2-3: Promote optimum efficiency within the transportation system by the use of traffic management techniques including access controls on major arterials and the utilization of available transit system capacity prior to the construction of major new transportation facilities.

• Policy K-2-4: Encourage the design and development of transportation facilities that can be readily modified to accommodate future demands.

• Policy K-2-5: The city shall encourage a reduction in parking for single-occupancy-vehicle travel. Where carpool/vanpool, or shared parking is provided, minimum parking requirements may be reduced by 10 percent.

• Goal K-3: To provide adequate levels of mobility with a minimum of energy consumption and environmental, social, aesthetic, and economic impacts.

• Policy K-3-1: Encourage the use and development of transportation modes that are the least energy consuming for the movement of people and goods.

• Policy K-3-2: Provide a level of transportation services to the urban area that are compatible with the environmental, economic, and social objectives of the community.

• Goal K-4: To develop an area-wide bicycle and pedestrian plan.

• Policy K-4-1: To make implementation of the area-wide bicycle and pedestrian plan a cooperative effort between the city of Woodburn and all other governmental jurisdictions within the area.

• Policy K-4-2: To develop a comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian system including both on-street and off-street routes, which make pedestrian activity and bicycle riding feasible, safe, and enjoyable as alternative modes of transportation in the area.

• Policy K-4-3: To provide bicycle and pedestrian routes that connect residential areas with the major commercial, employment, recreational and institutional network of the area.

• Policy K-4-4: To provide connections between local bicycle and pedestrian routes and other bicycle and pedestrian routes of a regional, state, and national nature.

• Policy K-4-5: To finance the bicycle and pedestrian system as much as possible with nonlocal funds. Where local funds are required, expenditures will be carefully programmed through the respective capital improvement programs of the various governmental jurisdictions associated with the plan.
• Policy K-4-6: To ensure that all new commercial, industrial, institutional, residential, and recreational developments consider the elements contained within the bicycle and pedestrian plan.

• Policy K-4-7: To establish the administrative capability necessary to implement the area-wide pedestrian plan.

• Goal K-5: Increase safety and improve security for pedestrians, bicyclists and bicycle equipment.

• Policy K-5-1: Provide bicycle and pedestrian routes along arterial and collector streets as these streets are improved, or as programmed into jurisdictional capital improvement plans.

• Policy K-5-2: Establish design standards for all new bicycle and pedestrian facilities that are consistent with state and federal design standards.

• Policy K-5-3: Establish well-signed bicycle and pedestrian routes throughout the area by installing bicycle route signs, curb ramps, and in some cases safety striping on streets and roads designated by bicycle and pedestrian use in the plan.

• Policy K-5-4: Establish a bicycle and pedestrian safety plan by implementing an area-wide educational and recreational program oriented toward teaching bicycle and pedestrian safety.

• Policy K-5-5: Amend subdivision and zoning codes to require provision of bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

• Goal K-6: Increase the acceptability for bicycle and pedestrian use.

• Policy K-6-1: Provide bicycle and pedestrian routes within all state, regional, and local parks and recreation areas by applying for grant assistance to support the development of bicycle and pedestrian systems in parks and open space areas.

• Policy K-6-2: Plan off-street routes along creeks and establish routes that lead to local and regional open space areas. Establish local loop routes that take advantage of local amenities and historical areas.

• Policy K-6-3: Construct pedestrian facilities, rest stops, exercise loops and bicycle courses in selected areas.

• Policy K-6-4: Encourage existing developments to install and construct bicycle and pedestrian facilities whenever improvements are planned.

**City of Woodburn Development Ordinance (2002)**

The Woodburn Development Ordinance (WDO) combines zoning, specified use standards, development guidelines and standards (including street standards), partition and use standards, administration and procedures, and application requirements in one ordinance. Table 2-1 in this section summarizes TPR requirements from OAR Section 660-012-0045, and indicates where the WDO does or does not comply with the TPR and the steps that can be taken to comply. Section 9 presents wording changes to the WDO recommended to make it consistent with the TPR and the results of the TSP analysis.
The following sections of the WDO are pertinent to the TSP:

**Street Standards**

**Scope**
The provision of streets shall be guided by the goals and policies of the Woodburn Comprehensive Plan, the Woodburn TSP, detailed City adopted planning and design guidelines, and the WDO. The right-of-way standards apply to public streets. The improvement and construction specification standards apply to both public and private facilities, including streets, sidewalks, and bikeways under the jurisdiction of the city of Woodburn.

**General Provisions**

A. The access or driveway for each lot shall be connected to the existing public street system in compliance with Section 3.104.

B. No access permit shall be issued unless the internal street(s), boundary streets(s) and abutting street(s) are constructed pursuant to Section 3.101.02.C, UNLESS or until the applicant has obtained an exception as provided in this section.

C. Design and Construction Standards

1. All public streets under the jurisdiction of the city of Woodburn shall comply with the applicable cross section design standards noted in Section 3.101.03 and construction specifications of the Public Works Department.

2. All private streets in manufactured dwelling parks shall comply with applicable City design standards and specifications and state design standards and specifications where state standards and specifications preempt City standards and specifications.

D. Street Right-of-Way and Improvement Standards for Development

Any development subject to an access permit, Section 3.104, shall be responsible for adequate street rights-of-way and improvements. The standards of Section 3.101.02.D may only be modified subject to the approval of an exception, Section 5.103.12. In no instance may standards be reduced below specified minimum nonvariable standards.

1. Connecting Street Standards (Figure 6.12)

a. Right-of-Way Standard. The full right-of-way for the subject street classification, Section 3.101.03, shall be required for connecting street segment without an approved exception or variance.

The minimum connecting street right-of-way shall be sufficient to accommodate the connecting street improvement standard in Section 3.102.D.1.b below.

b. Street Improvement Standard. The full street improvement for the subject street classification, Section 3.101.03, shall be provided for a connecting street segment without an approved exception or variance.
The minimum connecting street improvement standard shall be equivalent to:

1) One, 12-foot-wide travel lane in each direction, including curbs, where the classification specifies maximum standard of two travel lanes.

2) Required drainage facilities

3) The pedestrian and bikeway facilities located on one side of the street that comply with the standards for the subject street classification. In locations where the street classification specifies a maximum standard of two travel lanes, the connecting segment on the side with the pedestrian/bikeway facilities shall be completed to standards, including the landscaped parkway strip.

2. Boundary Street Standard (Figure 6.12)

   a. Right-of-Way Standard. The full right-of-way for the subject street classification, Section 3.101.03, shall be required for a boundary street without an approved exception or variance.

   The minimum standard for a boundary street right-of-way shall be no less than the width necessary to accommodate the boundary street improvement standard.

   b. Street Improvement Standard. The full street improvement for the subject street classification, Section 3.101.03, shall be provided for a boundary street without an approved exception or variance.

   The minimum boundary street improvement standard shall be equivalent to:

   1) One, 12-foot-wide travel lane in each direction, including curbs in each direction where the classification specifies a maximum standard of two travel lanes

   2) Required drainage facilities

   3) In addition to the improvements cited in 1) above, the full improvement of the street from the center line to the boundary of the subject property plus any center turn land as described for the street classification.

3. Internal Street Standard. (Figure 6.12)

   a. All public streets within a development shall comply with the full right-of-way and improvement standards of Section 3.101.03 without an approved variance.

   b. All private park streets permitted in manufactured dwelling parks shall comply with the full requirements of Section 2.203.15, as set by statute.
E. Private Streets.

Private streets are prohibited in conjunction with a development approval, EXCEPT where required as private park streets in manufactured dwelling parks, pursuant to ORS Chapter 446 and OAR 918-600.

F. Termination of Streets, Bikeways, and/or Pedestrian Ways.

1. Cul de sac Streets
   a. The maximum length of a cul de sac street shall be 250 feet
   b. The minimum radius of a cul de sac street right-of-way shall be 55 feet.
   c. The minimum improved street radius of a cul de sac shall be 45 feet plus curb, planting strip and property line sidewalk.

2. Temporary Dead End Streets. Streets extensions that result in temporary dead end street, or stub streets, due to incremental construction shall:
   a. Be transmitted to the Woodburn Fire District for review and comment.
   b. Have an all weather sign at the temporary street terminus, installed by the applicant, that states: “This Street is Planned for Future Extension.”
   c. Provide either a 1-foot reserve strip deeded to the City, or an alternative method for limiting access approved by the City Engineer, at the temporary end of the right-of-way.

3. Continuity of Public Bikeway and Pedestrian Facilities Located Off-Street. Public bikeway and pedestrian facilities, other than those incorporated in a street right-of-way, shall either:
   a. Provide for a continuous system with each segment originating/terminating with a connection to a public street or to a designated activity center.
   b. Provide stubbed facilities that may extend beyond the limits of an approved development, when such a public facility has been specifically endorsed by the City Council.

G. Block Standards

Block length shall not be less than 200 feet and not more than 600 feet, EXCEPT where the dimensions and alignment of existing blocks and streets adjacent to or in the vicinity of a proposed subdivision, topography, adequate lot size, or need for traffic flow warrant other dimensions. The maximum block length shall not exceed 1,200 feet.

**Right-of-Way and Improvement Standards (WDO Figure 6.9)**

A. The street right-of-way and improvement cross-sectional standards required for development are depicted in the Woodburn TSP Figure 30, excluding: Local Residential with Parking Both Sides - “Skinny” Street; Local Residential with Parking One Side - “Skinny” Street; and Local Residential Street with No Parking. (See WDO Figure 6.6.)
B. The following additional standards for Local Residential Streets:

1. Local Residential Street with Parking One Side:
   a. Right-of-way: 50 feet
   b. Public Utility Easement: 5 feet, each side
   c. Curb to curb improvement: 29 feet
   d. Sidewalks: 5 feet wide, each side
   e. Required common, onsite parking over and above the parking requirements under other provisions of the WDO: one (1) space per dwelling unit, located no further than 250 feet from the subject lot.

2. Local Residential without Parking:
   a. Right-of-way: 50 feet
   b. Public Utility Easement: 5 feet, each side
   c. Curb to curb improvement: 24 feet
   d. Sidewalks: 5 feet wide, each side
   e. Required common, onsite parking over and above the parking requirements under other provisions of the WDO: two (2) spaces per dwelling unit lot, located no further than 250 feet from the subject lot.

Access

Applicability

A. Street Access Required

1. Every lot shall have direct access to an abutting public street or to a public street by an irrevocable access easement.

2. Every joint driveway or cross connection between separate lots shall be established by an irrevocable access easement.

B. Access to City Streets, Permit Required

1. A City permit shall be required for any new or modified vehicular access to a street that is under City jurisdiction. The following types of access shall be subject to such a permit:
   a. Site access to or from a City street
   b. An extension of an existing City street
   c. A new public or private street connecting to a City street

2. A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) may be required by the Public Works Director prior to the approval of a City access or street construction permit when the Director estimates a development proposal may generate either 100 or more
additional peak hour trips, or 1,000 or more additional daily trips, within 10 years of a development application. A TIA shall evaluate the traffic impacts projected of a development proposal and the estimated effectiveness of potential traffic impact mitigation measures. The methodology for a TIA shall be consistent with Public Works Department guidelines.

3. Administration of City access permit standards and guidelines.
   a. Type I Applications. Development subject to one of the following Type I applications:
      1) Design review for Single Family and Duplex Residential Dwellings, Section 5.101.01
      2) Property Line Adjustments, Section 5.101.07
      3) Access to a City Street, EXCLUDING Major and Minor Arterial Streets, Section 5.101.12
         shall be subject to the access standards of this Section EXCEPT when the subject property is bound by the requirements of a precedent land use decision that has not been modified by a subsequent land use decision.

4. A City access permit shall be subject to the requirements of the WDO and Public Works Department standards.

C. Access to State Streets, Highways, and Interchanges

Access to transportation facility under the jurisdiction of ODOT shall be subject to the requirements of OAR 734-051.
### TABLE 2-1
TPR Requirements and Woodburn Development Ordinance (WDO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPR Requirement (OAR 660-012-0045)</th>
<th>WDO Compliance/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Each local government shall amend its land use regulations to implement the TSP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Certain transportation facilities, services and improvements need not be subject to land use regulations (except as necessary to implement the TSP) and, under ordinary circumstances do not have a significant impact on land use.</td>
<td>Few of Woodburn's land use districts allow transportation facilities and improvements outright, other than streets. Recommend that the WDO be amended to enable the development of transportation facilities, services and improvements that are not be subject to land use regulations (except as necessary to implement the TSP) and, under ordinary circumstances do not have a significant impact on land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) A transportation facility, service, or improvement may be allowed without further land use review if it is permitted outright or if it is subject to standards that do not require interpretation or the exercise of factual, policy or legal judgment.</td>
<td>The WDO does not expressly address the land use review of a transportation facility, service, or improvement. Recommend that the WDO be amended to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Local governments shall provide a review and approval process that is consistent with 660-012-0050 (Transportation Project Development). Local governments shall amend regulations to provide for consolidated review of land use decisions required to permit a transportation project.</td>
<td>The WDO does not expressly address OAR 660-012-0050. Recommend that the WDO be amended to specify a review process for transportation projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Local governments shall adopt land use or subdivision ordinance regulations, consistent with applicable federal and state requirements, to protect transportation facilities for their identified functions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Access control standards</td>
<td>Section 3.104 of WDO addresses access control standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Standards to protect the future operations of roadways and transit corridors</td>
<td>Section 3.104 of WDO provides standards to protect the future operations of roadways and transit corridors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Control of land use around airports</td>
<td>Not applicable. There are no airports within the land use control of the city of Woodburn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Coordinated review of future land use decisions affecting transportation facilities</td>
<td>Sections 5.103 and 5.104 of the WDO regarding Type III and Type IV application requirements provided for a coordinated review process of land use decisions affecting transportation facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Process to apply conditions to development proposals in order to minimize impacts and protect transportation facilities</td>
<td>WDO Section 4.101.15 provides the authority to all City decision-making bodies to impose conditions of approval reasonably related to impacts caused by the development or designed to ensure that all applicable approval standards are, or can be, met on Type I, III, and IV decisions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2-1
TPR Requirements and Woodburn Development Ordinance (WDO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPR Requirement (OAR 660-012-0045)</th>
<th>WDO Compliance/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(f) Regulations to provide notice to public agencies providing transportation facilities and services, MPOs, and ODOT of: land use applications that require public hearings, subdivision and partition applications, applications which affect private access to roads, applications within airport noise corridor and imaginary surfaces which affect airport operations.</td>
<td>WDO Section 4.101.09.13.A.3 provides that the City shall send notice of actions of Type V decisions to affected governmental entities, special districts, providers of urban services, and ODOT. Type V decisions are legislative decisions, which are defined as actions where the City Council enacts or amends the City’s land use regulations, comprehensive plan, zoning maps or some other component of any of these documents where changes are such a size, diversity of ownership or interest as to be legislative in nature under state law. The WDO does not appear to provide requirements for issuing notices to the same entities for subdivision and partition applications and applications which affect private access to roads as required by 660-015-0045. Recommend the zoning ordinance be amended to include issuing notices to ODOT and transportation service providers for subdivision, partition, and small annexation applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) Regulations assuring amendments to land use designations, densities, design standards are consistent with the function, capacities, and levels of service of facilities designated in the TSP.</td>
<td>WDO Sections 5.103.01 (Conditional Use), 5.103.03 (Historically or Architecturally Significant Site, Special Conditional Use), 5.103.08 (Special Use as a Conditional Use), 5.104.02 (Comprehensive Plan Map Change, Owner Initiated), and 5.104.04 (Zoning Map Change, Owner Initiated) indicate that a Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) may be required as part of the permit application process. The preparation of a TIA provides a means for assuring that property-owner initiated amendments are consistent with the function, capacities, and levels of service of facilities designated in the TSP. WDO does not identify a specific process for City-initiated changes. Recommend that the WDO be amended to identify expressly a process to evaluate consistency between amendments to regulations and the operation of transportation facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Local governments shall adopt land use or subdivision regulations for urban areas and rural communities as set forth in 660-012-0040(3)(a-d):</td>
<td>WDO Section 3.105.02 indicates that all uses required to provide 10 more off-street parking spaces are to provide a bicycle rack within 50 feet of the main entrance. This does not include multifamily developments with 4 units, which are only required to provide 8 parking spaces. Recommend the City revise its development ordinance to require multifamily dwelling units to provide a bicycle rack when 8 or more parking spaces are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Provide bicycle parking in multifamily developments of 4 units or more, new retail, office and institutional developments, transit transfer stations and park-and-ride lots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2-1
TPR Requirements and Woodburn Development Ordinance (WDO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPR Requirement (OAR 660-012-0045)</th>
<th>WDO Compliance/Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b) Provide “safe and convenient” (per subsection 660-012-0045.3(d)) pedestrian and bicycle connections from new subdivisions/multifamily development to neighborhood activity centers; bikeways are required along arterials and major collectors; sidewalks are required along arterials, collectors, and most local streets in urban areas except controlled access roadways</td>
<td>WDO Section 3.107.06(C) includes provisions for pedestrian and bicycle circulation and access. WDO Figure 6.9 shows street sections that include bicycle lanes and sidewalks for arterials, collectors, and most local streets. WDO Section 3.101.02.F.3 addresses the continuity of public bikeway and pedestrian facilities located off-street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Offsite road improvements required as a condition of development approval must accommodate bicycle and pedestrian travel, including facilities on arterials and major collectors</td>
<td>WDO Section 3.101.02.D.1.b addresses pedestrian and bikeway facilities. WDO Figure 6.9 shows street sections that include bicycle lanes and sidewalks for arterials, collectors, and most local streets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Provide internal pedestrian circulation within new office parks and commercial developments</td>
<td>WDO Section 3.107.06(C) includes provisions for pedestrian and bicycle circulation and access.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(6) As part of the pedestrian and bicycle circulation plans, local governments shall identify improvements to facilitate bicycle and pedestrian trips to meet local travel needs in developed areas.

(7) Local governments shall establish standards for local streets and accessways that minimize pavement width and total ROW consistent with the operational needs of the facility.

### I-5/Woodburn Interchange Refinement Plan (2000)

In April 2000, ODOT prepared the I-5/Woodburn Interchange Refinement Plan. The purpose of the plan is to present the results of the refinement planning process conducted for the I-5/Woodburn interchange located at Oregon 214 and I-5. This refinement planning process was a technical exercise to evaluate and screen alternatives, which included stakeholder input, prior to a detailed project development.

The goals of the interchange refinement plan are to develop alternatives that:

- Meet the travel demand associated with the local Comprehensive Plans and background traffic growth rates on I-5 and Oregon 214.
- Meet OHP Major Investment Policy.
- Meet the OHP Mobility Policy.
- Meet OHP Interchange Access Management Policy to the maximum extent possible (including access control and use of medians).
- Meet safety geometric standards or have a high likelihood of receiving concurrence on design exceptions.
- Minimize impacts to adjacent businesses and provide for off-highway traffic circulation in accordance with OHP policy.
Reduce or minimize impacts where possible through use of guardrails, steeper slopes, and retaining walls.

Minimize overall costs including engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction.

The refinement plan recommended that two alternatives move forward to the environmental study phase: the Standard Diamond Interchange and the Partial Cloverleaf A Interchange. Additional consideration needs to be given to access, local street circulation, and widening to the north, south, or combination for each alternative. The principal characteristics of the two alternatives are provided in Table 2-2.

### TABLE 2-2
Alternatives Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternatives</th>
<th>Standard Diamond*</th>
<th>Partial Cloverleaf A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good volume to capacity; fair progression; fair operations and modal integration</td>
<td>Good volume to capacity; fair progression; good operations and modal integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts</td>
<td>Affects significant number of parcels adjacent to Oregon 214.</td>
<td>Affects northwest and southeast quadrants adjacent to I-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and</td>
<td>$19.2 million</td>
<td>$15.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-of-Way Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Notes:

* Widen on both sides of Oregon 214 to avoid significant additional costs not currently reflected in estimate.

### 1996 Woodburn Transportation System Plan

The following lists the recommended transportation improvements identified in Section 9, “Transportation System Plan,” of the 1996 Woodburn Transportation System Plan. Not included in the following discussion are policy or programmatic actions identified in Section 9, such as the functional classification of roadways, street standards, access management strategies, and TDM options. Changes that have been implemented since the completion of the 1996 plan are noted where appropriate.

#### Required Street Upgrades

- **I-5/Oregon 214 Short-Term Improvements**
  - Southbound I-5 Ramp/Oregon 214 Intersection: Add a second left-turn lane and right-turn lane on the southbound I-5 off-ramp; restripe the eastbound intersection approach to include a through lane and a right-turn lane; and add a second left-turn lane to the westbound approach. To date, only the eastbound restriping has been completed.
  - Northbound I-5 Ramp/Oregon 214 Intersection: Signalize; add a second right-turn lane on the northbound I-5 off-ramp; add a second left-turn lane to the eastbound approach; and add a second through lane to the westbound approach. The
intersection is now signalized and a second westbound through lane has been added; none of the other improvements have been completed to-date.

- **I-5/Oregon 214 Long-Term Improvements**
  - Reconfigure interchange. The specific improvements are to be identified in a refinement plan/interchange management plan (see Section 2.4.6). The plan will also identify the specific alignment for the western portion of the South Arterial (see Minor Arterials below). The South Arterial will have a grade separation from I-5.

- **Oregon 214**
  - Widen to a five-lane facility from Woodland Avenue past Oregon 99E to the eastern City limits. Improve signal coordination.
  - At Settlemier Avenue optimize signal timing, add a second left-turn lane on the northbound approach; restrripe southbound approach to include one left-turn lane, one right-turn, and one through lane.
  - At Oregon 99E add a second left-turn lane to the eastbound intersection approach; restripe the westbound intersection approach to include one left-turn, one right-turn lane, and one through lane; and add a second left-turn lane to the northbound intersection approach. To date, only the dual northbound left-turn lane has been constructed.

- **Oregon 99E**
  - South of Lincoln Street develop access management and sidewalk improvements as part of a final access management plan prepared in conjunction with future development studies.
  - Improve signal coordination.
  - At the Young Street intersection reconfigure east approach, in particular realign Cannery and George Streets away from the intersection. Also required is a westbound right-turn lane. A westbound right-turn lane is now provided at this intersection.

- **Oregon 211**
  - This highway is envisioned as either a three- or five-lane road east of Oregon 99E, pending future development and increased traffic volumes.

- **Minor Arterials**
  - Construct a South Arterial between Oregon 219 on the west and Oregon 99E on the east. This roadway could tie into a modified I-5 interchange. The road would be five lanes between Oregon 219 and Evergreen Road, and three lanes east of Evergreen Road.
  - Widen Front Street to a two- to three-lane road from Boones Ferry Road to Cleveland Street, from Hardcastle Avenue to Oregon 214, and north of Woodburn High School.
- Widen Boones Ferry Road north of Oregon 214 to a three-lane facility. Boones Ferry Road has been recently widened to a three-lane facility in the vicinity of Hazelnut.

• Service Collectors
  - Extend Evergreen Road south to the proposed South Arterial (see Minor Arterials above).
  - To develop bicycle lanes and sidewalks, widen West Hayes Street, Parr Road, and Arney Road to service collector standards.
  - Extend Cooley Road south to Lincoln Avenue to create a new north-south road east of Oregon 99E.

• Access Streets
  - Widen Woodland Avenue north of an extended Arney Road to accommodate bicycle lanes.
  - Widen Brown Street south of Bradley Street to accommodate bicycle lanes.

Intracity Transit Service
• Fixed Route Bus System
  - Initially, expand the existing single bus route to two-way operation, with service every 60 minutes, 7 days per week. One bus will need to be added.
  - As ridership develops, increase frequency to every 30 minutes, at least during peak periods. Three buses will need to be added.
  - Consider minor deviations from the existing fixed bus route to the residential area along Boones Ferry Road and the commercial area along Arney Road as these areas develop.
  - Extend bus service to the Woodburn Industrial Park via Progress Way and Industrial Avenue.
  - In the long-term, consider expanding the fixed route bus system to two routes: east and west of the railroad tracks. Orient routes to a downtown transit center, where intercity bus, and possibly rail service, would connect with the local system. The downtown transit center would be located along Front Street, with an auto passenger dropoff/pickup area and a limited park-and-ride facility.

• Nonfixed Route Systems
  - Continue paratransit service.
  - Continue Woodburn Taxi operations.

InterCity Transit Service
• Initiate shuttle bus service between Woodburn and Portland and Salem. Service to each destination would have two roundtrips during both weekday a.m. and p.m. peak periods, and one midday round trip.
Priority 1 is service to downtown Portland with a stop at the Tualatin park-and-ride facility. This service could start with one 45-passenger bus and add a second bus if demand justifies it.

Priority 2 is service to downtown Salem and east to state offices. This service would require one 45-passenger bus. Extension of Salem Transit bus service to Woodburn could replace or supplement the need for intercity shuttle bus service between Woodburn and Salem.

Fixed route, intercity service is now available through CARTS, which stops at the Woodburn Transit Center.

Develop a maximum 300-space park-and-ride facility near the I-5/Oregon 214 interchange for the intercity transit service, with easy access from both sides of the interchange. To reduce park-and-ride-oriented traffic through the interchange, this facility might best be located off the proposed South Arterial. The intercity bus park-and-ride facility could be connected with the proposed downtown transit center.

- Conduct a more detailed study of transit system improvements by pursuing a separate “Transit Development Program” study.

Pedestrian Facilities (1996 TSP Figure 33)
- Construct and maintain sidewalks through the City to develop a comprehensive sidewalk system, particularly as new development and road improvements take place.
- Develop an off-street pathway system along existing creek corridors to facilitate nonautomotive travel to schools and recreational, commercial, and employment areas.

Bicycle Facilities (1996 TSP Figure 34)
- Construct bicycle lanes on most roadways classified as service collector roads or higher. System will interconnect with the recommended off-street pathway system.
- Bicycle lanes should be incorporated into any arterial or collector reconstruction project.

Rail Facilities
- If the opportunity arises, strive toward the development of a passenger rail stop in downtown Woodburn.
- When appropriate, rail grade crossings will be modified to ensure safe crossings for motorized and nonmotorized modes of transportation.

Air, Water, and Pipeline Facilities
- There are no significant air, water, or pipeline transportation facilities in Woodburn.
SECTION 3
Existing Conditions and Deficiencies

This section provides an inventory and a deficiencies assessment of the existing transportation facilities within the Woodburn UGB. This system includes pedestrian and bicycle facilities, transit facilities, rail facilities, air transport facilities, pipeline transport facilities, water transport facilities, and roadway facilities. The findings of this analysis serve as a baseline to which the future no-build 20-year conditions can be compared.

Background

The city of Woodburn started out as land purchased for a tree nursery. With the building of the railroad tracks in 1870, the area quickly developed into a town that was an important stop on the Oregon & California (O & C) Railroad.¹ As additional tracks were added in 1880 and again in 1910, the City grew substantially. City development was boosted again in 1954 when I-5 was constructed west of the central city. Today, the City supports a population of 20,100 according to the 2000 census. The diverse City includes a high population of senior citizens and residents of Russian and Mexican descent.

Study Area and Land Use

The study area for the TSP consists of the area within the Woodburn UGB and areas that are being studied for possible UGB expansion as part of the concurrent periodic review and TSP processes. Figure 3-1 presents an aerial photo of Woodburn and its immediate vicinity, with the UGB and City limits superimposed. The Woodburn UGB encompasses approximately 4,042 acres, of which 3,222 acres are included within City limits.

The area within the UGB consists of approximately 46 percent residential housing, 27 percent commercial and industrial uses, and 9 percent open spaces. Major attractors within the City include the Woodburn Company Stores west of I-5, the OGA Members Golf Course at Tukwila north of Hazelnut Drive, Wal-Mart, and the retail and employment areas along both Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E.

Some of the streets shown in Figure 3-1 are private, while others are unimproved. As required in Oregon’s TPR, only the more important streets within the study area—those designated as collectors and arterials—and intersections of these streets are addressed in the TSP. Where appropriate, local street issues, such as connectivity, are discussed.

Transportation Modes and Facilities

The city of Woodburn’s transportation system provides facilities serving many different transportation modes. Each of these modes, supporting infrastructure, and current deficiencies is identified in the following sections.

¹ The O & C Railroad became the Southern Pacific Railroad in 1887.
Pedestrian Facilities

Pedestrian facilities serve a variety of needs. These include:

- Relatively short trips (under a mile) to major pedestrian attractors, such as schools, parks and open spaces, retail centers, churches, and public facilities, such as libraries, recreation centers, and community centers
- Recreation trips—for example, jogging or hiking
- Access to transit (generally trips under ¼ mile to bus stops)
- Commute trips, where mixed-use development is provided, and people choose to live near where they work

Continuous sidewalks should connect neighborhoods and employment centers to pedestrian attractors, be integrated with transit stops, and separate pedestrians from vehicular traffic. In addition, pedestrians need opportunities to cross the street. In support of access and connectivity, the TPR (OAR 660-012-0045) requires that sidewalks be provided on all new public roadways. These include arterials, collectors, and most local streets in urban areas, but exclude controlled access roadways.

Figure 3-2 illustrates the available pedestrian facilities and their relationship to major activity centers within Woodburn. The majority of the sidewalks in Woodburn are provided on local streets. Sidewalks are provided in downtown Woodburn and in most of the residential areas, with the exception of Senior Estates. Sidewalks are also provided on portions of the arterials and collectors, although these are intermittent and often on only one side of the road. In the newer areas, the sidewalks have been constructed to ADA standards. In the downtown and other older neighborhoods, the sidewalk width, clear zone for pedestrians, and ramp requirements will need to be addressed as properties redevelop or roadway improvement projects occur.

As shown in Figure 3-2, gaps in the existing pedestrian system include the following areas:

- Oregon 214: Pedestrian facilities are not provided from 5th Street to Park Avenue in front of Woodburn High School on either side of the road. Sidewalks are also absent west of I-5 and east of Oregon 99E around the commercial areas.
- Boones Ferry Road: Pedestrian facilities are not provided on either side of the road north of Oregon 214, which abuts French Prairie Middle School and Lincoln Elementary School.
- Settlemier Road: Sidewalks are not provided on the west side of the road north of Hayes Street nor on the east side of the road south of Cleveland Street. These connections would provide a continuous link between the residential areas to the south of Oregon 214 to French Prairie Middle School and Lincoln Elementary School.
- Hayes Street: Pedestrian facilities are not provided on the north side of the road across the street from Nellie Muir Elementary School.
- **Cascade Drive**: Sidewalks are not provided on either side of the road between Hayes Street and Oregon 214. This connection would provide a link between the residential area around Hayes Street and the commercial developments on Oregon 214.

- **Lincoln Street**: Pedestrian facilities are not provided on the south side of Lincoln Street between Washington Elementary School and the commercial developments on Oregon 99E.

**Bicycle Facilities**

Bicycle facilities also serve a variety of trips. These include:

- Trips to major attractions, such as schools, parks and open spaces, retail centers, churches, and public facilities, such as libraries, recreation centers, and community centers
- Commute trips
- Recreational trips

Bicycle facilities should be provided on major streets where the vehicular travel speeds are much greater than the bicycle speeds. The TPR (OAR 660-012-0045) requires that on-street bicycle facilities be provided on all new arterials and major collectors. Bicycle facilities should connect residential areas to schools, retail, and employment centers. Permitting bicycles to mix with vehicles on the roadway is acceptable where the average daily traffic is less than 3,000 vehicles per day. Most local roads in Woodburn support bicycle use without the need for designated bicycle lanes based on the low volumes on those roadways.

Figure 3-3 shows the existing bicycle routes in the city of Woodburn. As shown in the figure, Woodburn has five designated bicycle routes:

- **Oregon 214**: Bicycle lanes are provided intermittently between Boones Ferry Road and Oregon 99E.
- **Oregon 99E**: Bicycle lanes are provided on both sides of the road from the northern City limits to Lincoln Road.
- **Hayes Street**: A bicycle lane is provided on the south side of the road between Nellie Muir School and Settlemier Road.
- **Arney Road**: Bicycle lanes are provided from Robin Avenue to the northern City limits. Bicycle lanes are also provided on Robin Avenue and Sprague Lane west of Arney Road.
- **Parr Road**: A 10-foot separated bicycle lane is provided from Settlemier Avenue to the Heritage Elementary and Valor Middle Schools.

As indicated in the figure, bicycle facilities in Woodburn have little connectivity between residential areas, schools, and commercial centers. Major connections are missing in the locations outlined below.

- **Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Road**: Bicycle facilities are not provided on Boones Ferry Road and Settlemier Road. This connection would provide a link from residential...
communities north and south of Oregon 214 to the commercial areas on Oregon 214, French Prairie Middle School, and Lincoln Elementary School.

- **Oregon 214**: Bicycle lanes are not provided west of Boones Ferry Road to connect with the commercial developments near I-5.

- **Front Street**: Bicycle facilities are not provided on Front Street to connect residential areas to the downtown commercial area.

- **Oregon 99E**: Bicycle lanes are not provided south of Lincoln Street to connect with the commercial and industrial uses to the south.

**Public Transportation**

The Woodburn Transit System provides service Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The transit routes, shown in Figure 3-4, link residential neighborhoods to commercial areas around I-5 and Oregon 99E and serves nearly 32,000 people per year. Approximately fifty scheduled stops are provided at various locations on the routes. These locations are indicated in Figure 3-4.

The city of Woodburn also provides the Woodburn Paratransit System for those who are disabled or are unable to use the fixed route system. The paratransit van charges $2 for a round-trip ride and operates Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Reservations must be made 24 hours in advance. Approximately 6,000 to 7,000 people are served each year by the paratransit system.

In addition to the Woodburn Transit System, four service providers offer public transportation in Woodburn, as outlined below.

- **Oregon Housing and Associated Services (OHAS)**: The OHAS operates the WHEELS Community Transportation Program in Marion and Polk County. This provider offers service to elderly and disabled passengers Monday through Friday from 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. They offer service to customers needing transportation to medical appointments, for employment and education purposes, and for nutritional shopping. Although WHEELS does not charge a fee for their service, they accept donations.

- WHEELS also provides, for the Chemeketa Regional Transportation System (CARTS), two circular intercity routes that connect Salem, Brooks, Woodburn, Hubbard, Mount Angel, and Silverton. The routes operate concurrently in opposite directions and make four stops each in Woodburn daily. The service operates Monday through Friday from 5:45 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. The service has suggested donations for a fare system. CARTS is an intergovernmental agency composed of Marion, Polk, and Yamhill Counties along with the Salem Transit District.

- **Woodburn Family Clinic**: This service provider runs the Woodburn Medical Express. They offer free service to transport patients to and from appointments with physicians from the Woodburn Medical Clinic and Silverton Hospital clinics. Patients requiring transportation schedule their pickup times with the Woodburn Medical Express.
• **Greyhound**: The Greyhound bus service provides intercity transportation to and from Woodburn. Buses depart three times a day between Portland and Woodburn. The terminal station on Front Street is open from 9 a.m. to 8 p.m. 7 days a week.

• **HUT Transportation**: HUT Transportation is an airport shuttle service that provides service to Portland 7 days a week, 365 days a year. Service is provided at 1½-hour intervals from 4 a.m. to 10 p.m. from Woodburn to Portland. The shuttle cost each way is $20.

**Rail Facilities**

Figure 3-5 depicts the location of rail crossings and the existing tracks. Nine at-grade crossings and one grade-separated crossing are located along Front Street and Cleveland Street within City limits. Three private rail crossings are not indicated on the map. These crossings are for driveways leading to residential dwellings. Of the 11 crossings indicated on the map, seven are gated.

The Union Pacific Railroad provides through train service and freight service north of Hardcastle Avenue. The Willamette Valley Railroad, a short-line operator, provides freight service along Front Street and Cleveland Street to serve local businesses. Willamette Valley also provides freight service to communities to the east of Woodburn on track leased from Union Pacific Railroad. No passenger train stops are provided in Woodburn. The nearest passenger service is available in Salem, approximately 20 miles to the south. The Amtrak station in Salem operates 7 days a week from 6:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

A local group is currently exploring the possibility of using Willamette Valley Railroad equipment to develop excursion train service to Silverton.

**Air Transport Facilities**

No commercial or private aviation facilities are located within the Woodburn UGB. Regional freight and passenger service is provided via the Portland International Airport, approximately 33 miles from Woodburn via I-5 and I-205. Although commercial service is not available, passenger service is accessible at the Salem Municipal Airport (via private planes) approximately 20 miles from Woodburn, and at the Aurora State Airport approximately 10 miles from Woodburn.

**Pipeline Transport Facilities**

There are no major pipeline transport facilities within the Woodburn UGB.

**Water Transportation Facilities**

There are no water transport facilities within the Woodburn UGB.

**Roadway Facilities**

**Ownership**

Public roads in the city of Woodburn are owned and maintained by three different jurisdictions: ODOT, Marion County, and the city of Woodburn. As owners of a roadway, each jurisdiction is responsible for the following:
• Establishing the functional classification
• Maintenance
• Approving construction and access permits

ODOT owns the following facilities within the Woodburn UGB:

• I-5 provides service from the northern Oregon border to the southern Oregon border. I-5 is classified as an Interstate Highway by ODOT and has a posted speed of 65 miles per hour (mph) in the vicinity of the City. The Oregon 214/I-5 interchange is the only interchange that provides a direct connection to the city of Woodburn.

• Oregon 214 within Woodburn is part of the Hillsboro-Silverton Highway, which connects Hillsboro through Newberg, St. Paul, Woodburn, and Mt. Angel to Silverton. Oregon 214 continues south of Silverton to Oregon 22, just south of Salem. Oregon 214 is classified as a District Highway by ODOT. The posted speed varies between 30 and 35 mph within the City limits.

• Oregon 219 is also part of the Hillsboro-Silverton Highway and is classified as a District Highway. According to the Oregon Highway Plan, the Hillsboro-Silverton Highway is considered Oregon 219 to the west of I-5 and Oregon 214 to the east. The posted speed within the City limits is 35 miles per hour.

• Oregon 99E connects from Portland to Salem and is classified as a Regional Highway by ODOT. The posted speed varies between 35 and 45 mph within the City limits.

• Oregon 211 connects Woodburn to Estacada via Molalla and is classified as a District Highway. The designation of the highway begins to the east of the Oregon 214/Oregon 99E intersection. The posted speed within the City limits varies between 35 and 45 mph.

Marion County has jurisdiction over the following facilities within the Woodburn UGB:

• Boones Ferry Road south of Ogle Street
• Parr Road west of Centennial Park west boundary
• Stubb Road
• Boones Ferry Road north of Vanderbeck Avenue
• Lincoln Street from 400 feet east of Oregon 99E

The remaining public facilities are owned by the city of Woodburn.

Functional Classification

The functional classification defines a street’s role and context in the overall transportation system. In addition, it defines the desirable roadway width, right-of-way needs, access spacing, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, as well as other specifications. The city of Woodburn has established a functional classification system for the roadways within the City limits. Figure 3-6 illustrates the existing classifications.

Arterials

Arterials are the highest class of street and serve larger through volumes at greater speeds. Arterials serve as the major truck routes and emphasize regional mobility over access.
The city of Woodburn identifies two types of arterials: major arterials and minor arterials. Major arterials provide service to traffic entering and leaving the area and traffic to major activity centers in Woodburn. Minor arterials feed the major arterial system and support moderate length trips and service to activity centers. Examples of major arterials in Woodburn include Oregon 214, Oregon 99E, and Oregon 211. Examples of minor arterials in Woodburn include Boones Ferry Road, Front Street, and Hardcastle Street.

The arterial system is fairly limited and constrained by the railroad tracks, I-5, and the manner in which land has developed in the City over time.

The Woodburn Development Ordinance (2313) identifies a five-lane cross section for major arterials with 100 feet of required right-of-way. A typical minor arterial cross section would be a three-lane roadway with a total right-of-way of 74 feet. Both major and minor arterials should include bicycle lanes, sidewalks, and parkway (landscaping) strips. In addition, in both major and minor arterials, the through travel lanes should be 12 feet, whereas the center left-turn lane should be 14 feet. None of the arterials are fully built to City standards.

**Collectors**

Collectors are the intermediate class of street. They provide a link between local roadways and the arterial system. Access and mobility functions are also important. The city of Woodburn identifies two classifications of collectors: service collectors and access streets. The purpose of service collectors is to provide significant linkage with arterials and accommodate a higher volume of traffic, while access streets are meant to provide single-family residential local street access and accommodate lower volumes of traffic. Examples of service collectors in Woodburn include Parr Road, Arney Road, and Evergreen Road. Examples of Access Streets include Hazelnut Drive, Woodland Drive between Arney Road and Willow Avenue, and Astor Way between Country Club Road and Oregon 214.

The collector street system in Woodburn is also fairly limited by the manner in which the City has developed over time.

The city of Woodburn requires 74 feet of right-of-way for service collectors. The cross section includes two 12-foot travel lanes, a 12-foot center left-turn lane, bicycle lanes, sidewalks, and parkway strips. Access streets require 60 feet of right-of-way with two 12-foot travel lanes, bicycle lanes, sidewalks, and parkway strips. The Woodburn Development Ordinance also contains a design for access streets that provides two lanes for parking, resulting in 70 feet of right-of-way; in this scenario, the through travel lanes should be 14 feet wide. Most of the collectors are not built to City standards.

**Local Streets**

Local streets provide direct access to homes and neighborhoods and feed into collectors. Access is the most important role of local streets.

The local street grid system is well developed between Boones Ferry Road and Front Street south of Oregon 214, and north of Oregon 214 between Boones Ferry Road and I-5. The local street grid system is still developing in the remaining area.

The Woodburn Development Ordinance provides several cross-sections for local streets with and without on-street parking. The required right-of-way ranges from 50 feet to 60 feet. All designs include sidewalks and parkway strips, with variations on parking and lane
Traffic Operations

Manual turning movement counts were collected for intersections of arterials and collectors within the Woodburn UGB on typical weekdays in November 2002 and January 2003. All counts were collected during the p.m. peak period (4-6 p.m.), which is when traffic volumes are highest on area roadways. The counts were seasonally adjusted per ODOT’s guidelines and then used to evaluate the existing roadways and intersection operations within the city of Woodburn. Appendix A includes the traffic count data for the study intersections.

Roadways

Figure 3-6 presents the existing p.m. peak hour traffic volumes on all collector and arterial roadways. These volumes are two-way volumes derived from the intersection traffic counts. As shown in the figure, Oregon 99E and Oregon 214 carry the most traffic during the weekday p.m. peak hour, with approximately 1,900 and 1,500 vehicles, respectively.

Intersections

Traffic operations at intersections are described by a level of service, which corresponds to a range of delays a driver experiences at an intersection. The level of service ranges from “A” to “F.” A level of service “A” corresponds to little delay and good operations, while a level of service “F” corresponds to high delays and poor operation.

Signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections have different measures of level of service. For signalized and four-way stop intersections, level of service is based on the average delay experienced by all vehicles entering the intersection. For two-way stop intersections, level of service is based on the delay experienced by the worse movement, which is usually the left-turn movement on the stopped approach. The city of Woodburn does not have an operations standard for signalized and unsignalized intersections within City limits.

ODOT has specific mobility standards for the state facilities within the city of Woodburn based on the facility’s classification and volume-to-capacity ratio. The volume-to-capacity ratio is the degree of saturation of an intersection. The ODOT requirements for intersections on state highways are as follows:

- On Oregon 214, Oregon 211, and Oregon 219, ODOT requires a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.85 based on the district highway designation.
- On Oregon 99E, ODOT requires a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.80 based on its classification as a regional highway.

Levels of service analyses were performed at 33 study intersections using the procedures described in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual. These included 11 signalized intersections, as outlined below.

- **Oregon 214/Woodland Avenue:** This intersection is located east of I-5 and provides access to residential neighborhoods to the north and the Woodburn Factory Stores.
• **Oregon 214/I-5 Southbound Ramp**: This intersection provides the city of Woodburn and other areas of Marion County with access to I-5 southbound.

• **Oregon 214/I-5 Northbound Ramp**: This intersection provides the City and other areas of the county with access to I-5 northbound.

• **Oregon 214/Evergreen Road**: This intersection provides access to the commercial developments on Oregon 214.

• **Oregon 214/Oregon Way/Country Club Road**: This intersection provides access to the residential dwellings to the north and south of Oregon 214.

• **Oregon 214/Boones Ferry Road**: This intersection provides access to residential dwellings to the north and south of Oregon 214. In addition, French Prairie Middle School and Lincoln Elementary School are located in the northwest quadrant of this intersection.

• **Oregon 214/Meridian Drive/5th Street**: This intersection provides access to the business developments to the north and the residential dwellings to the south of Oregon 214. In addition, 5th Street provides a connection to the commercial developments along Front Street.

• **Oregon 214/Oregon 211/Oregon 99E**: This intersection was improved in August 2002 to include additional turn lanes on the northbound approach.

• **Oregon 99E/Hardcastle Street**: This intersection provides access to the residential developments to the east and west of Oregon 99E.

• **Oregon 99E/Lincoln Street**: This intersection provides access to the residential developments and Washington Elementary School to the east Oregon 99E.

• **Oregon 99E/Young Street**: This intersection provides access to the industrial and commercial uses to the east and west of Oregon 99E.

The remaining study intersections are stop-controlled intersections. Figure 3-6 summarizes both the intersection control and the results of the intersection operations analysis for all study intersections. Table 3-1 summarizes the volume-to-capacity ratios for each intersection. The intersection operations are reported as being under, near, or over capacity. The capacity was based on level of service for signalized intersections, and the volume-to-capacity ratio of the critical movement for unsignalized intersections. For analysis purposes, over capacity was defined as not meeting ODOT mobility standards. As shown in the figure and table, all study intersections currently meet ODOT mobility standards with the exception of the Meridian/5th/Oregon 214 intersection. At this intersection, the critical southbound left-turn movement currently operates over capacity. Appendix B contains the year 2002 level of service worksheets.
TABLE 3-1  
Existing Operations at Key Intersections (volume-to-capacity [v/c])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Existing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butteville Road/Oregon 219*</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland/Oregon 219</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5/Oregon 214 northbound ramps</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5/Oregon 214 southbound ramps</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen Road/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Way/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade Drive/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian/5th/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Street/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Avenue/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon 99E/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Street/Oregon 99E</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardcastle Street/Front Street</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Street/Front Street</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield/Young Street/Front Street</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Street/Front Street</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boones Ferry Road/Crosby</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parr Road/Settlemier Road</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Butteville/Oregon 219 refers to the southern intersection of the two roadways

Access Management

Division 51 (OAR 734-051-0010 through 734-051-0560) specifies access management spacing standards for ODOT facilities. Oregon 214 (between the west City limits and Oregon 99E) requires an approach spacing of 400 feet based on its classification as a District Highway. Oregon 99E (between Lincoln Street and south City limits) has a minimum standard of 600 feet between approaches based on its classification as a Regional Highway.

The Woodburn Development Ordinance identifies minimum spacing standards for minor arterials, service collectors, and access streets. Minor arterials require a minimum driveway spacing of 245 feet, while service collectors require 50 feet. Access streets require a minimum driveway spacing of 10 feet. The Woodburn Development Ordinance specifies spacing for major arterials, but refers to the Oregon Highway Plan to control spacing standards on these facilities.

The existing spacing on Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E does not meet minimum Division 51 spacing standards. The built-out commercial nature of the area occurred prior to Division 51 legislation. A detailed discussion of access management strategies along these facilities is provided in Section 6.
Traffic Safety
To identify any potential safety deficiencies or conflict points at the major area intersections, crash data were analyzed for all study intersections. Historical crash data were collected from ODOT for the 5-year period between January 1, 1997, and December 31, 2001. Appendix C includes the detailed crash rate data.

Crash rates for intersections are reported in crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). A crash rate greater than one may indicate the need for further analysis, as does a pattern amongst the crashes, such as rear-end or side-swipe collisions. Of the evaluated intersections, one intersection had a crash rate greater than one and several intersections experienced a relatively high number of crashes. No fatalities were reported at the study intersections during the study period. The detailed analysis of each of these intersections is discussed below.

Oregon 214/I-5 Southbound Ramp
Twenty-three crashes were recorded during the 5-year study period. This intersection was improved in 2000. Of the 15 crashes recorded in 2000 and 2001, eight involved turning collisions on the westbound approach. The left turns on the east and west approaches are controlled by permitted phasing.

Oregon 214/I-5 Northbound Ramp
During the 5-year study period, 24 crashes were reported at this intersection. This intersection was also improved in 2000. Of the eight reported crashes in 2000 and 2001, the majority (seven) were rear-end collisions and these occurred on all of the intersection approaches. No pattern was established among the crashes that is indicative of an existing safety deficiency at the intersection.

Oregon 214/Oregon Way/Country Club Road
Of the 21 reported crashes at this intersection, the majority (12) were rear-end collisions on the east and west approaches, which is fairly common at a signalized intersection. The remaining crashes involved turning movement collisions and angle crashes. No pattern was apparent from the crash data history that is indicative of an existing safety deficiency at the intersection.

Oregon 214/Oregon 211/Oregon 99E
Sixty-four crashes were recorded during the 5-year study period. The majority (35) of these collisions were rear-end crashes, while 22 involved turning movement collisions. This intersection was improved in August 2002 to provide an additional northbound left-turn and right-turn lane. The crash data available for the study period were recorded before the intersection improvements. The city and state should monitor crash experiences at this intersection.

Oregon 214/Boones Ferry Road
Twenty-three crashes were reported at the Oregon 214/Boones Ferry Road intersection during the 5-year study period. Of the recorded crashes, the majority (13) were turning collisions. Ten of the turning collisions involved a westbound left-turning vehicle and an eastbound through vehicle. The left-turn movements on the eastbound and westbound approaches are controlled by protected and permitted phasing.
**Oregon 99E/Hardcastle Avenue**
At the Oregon 99E/Hardcastle Avenue intersection, 23 crashes were recorded during the 5-year study period. Of these crashes, nine were angle collisions, seven were turning collisions, and six were rear-end crashes. No pattern was identified among the reported crashes.

**Oregon 99E/Young Street**
Of the 25 reported crashes at the Oregon 99E/Young Street intersection, the majority (14) were turning movement collisions. Nine of the turning collisions occurred on the north and south approaches in which there is protected and permitted phasing. Of the total recorded crashes, 13 involved property damage only, and 12 involved injuries.

The Traffic Management Section at ODOT maintains a Safety Priority Index System (SPIS), which identifies locations in which operational or maintenance improvements may address safety problems. The SPIS reviews the crash data for the past 3 years and rates highway segments based on crash frequency, crash rate, and crash severity. Each year, the top 10 percent of the SPIS list is reviewed by the Region Traffic Engineers. The top 10 percent SPIS sites are evaluated and investigated for safety problems, and then a benefit/cost analysis is conducted and appropriate projects are initiated. A review of the current SPIS list showed that several highway segments within the Woodburn UGB on Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E fall within the top 10 percent SPIS group. These highway segments are summarized in Table 3-2.

**TABLE 3-2**
ODOT 2001, Top 10 Percent SPIS Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Beginning Milepost</th>
<th>Ending Milepost</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>1999 ADT</th>
<th>Crash</th>
<th>SPIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR-99E</td>
<td>31.59</td>
<td>31.79</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>22,200</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>88.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-99E</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>32.28</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>23,200</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-99E</td>
<td>32.78</td>
<td>32.96</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>23,200</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-214</td>
<td>36.63</td>
<td>36.79</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>10,800</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-214</td>
<td>36.81</td>
<td>36.91</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-214</td>
<td>36.84</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-214</td>
<td>37.03</td>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>52.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR-214</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADT  Average daily traffic.
SPIS  Safety Priority Index System.

Of the highway segments identified in the top 10 percent SPIS group, three of the study intersections are located within these corridors. These intersections include Oregon 99E/Hardcastle Avenue, Oregon 214/Oregon 99E, and Oregon 214/Country Club Road.
**Truck Freight Transportation**

As shown in Figure 3-7, the city of Woodburn designates truck routes and truck ways through the City. Although Woodburn does not sign for truck freight routes and ways, the City does sign where trucks are not allowed.

Truck routes through Woodburn include Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E. By designating these roads as truck routes, the City allows through traffic of motor trucks, truck trailers, and truck tractors on these roadways.

Truck ways are designated as acceptable roads for commercial operation of motor trucks, truck trailers, and truck tractors, but does not allow a through-city route necessary for specialized traffic directional control signs. Truck ways include Front Street within City limits, Young Street between Front Street and Oregon 99E, Boones Ferry Road north of Oregon 214, Parr Road, Progress Road, Industrial Road, and National Road.

**Summary of Existing Conditions**

The following is a summary of the current condition of the transportation modes serving the city of Woodburn:

**Pedestrian:** Although sidewalks are provided in the downtown area between Front Street and Settlemier Avenue, key connections are missing between residential areas, schools, and commercial uses. Specific roadways with gaps in the system include Oregon 214, Boones Ferry Road, Settlemier Avenue, and Hayes Street.

**Bicycle:** Bicycle lanes are provided on portions of Oregon 99E, Oregon 214, and Hayes Street. Bicycle attractors such as schools, parks, and retail centers are not well connected to residential areas by the bicycle routes.

**Transit:** Transit is provided in Woodburn by the Woodburn Transit System and Woodburn Paratransit System during the week. The Woodburn Transit System provides service on the major facilities within Woodburn, which include Oregon 99E, Oregon 214, Front Street, Boones Ferry Road, and Young Street. Intercity transit is also provided by OHAS, the Woodburn Family Clinic, Greyhound, and HUT Transportation.

**Rail:** The Southern Pacific Rail Line provides freight service in Woodburn along Front Street and Cleveland Street. No passenger train stops are provided in Woodburn.

**Air:** Although there are no aviation facilities in Woodburn, passenger service is available at the Salem Municipal Airport and Aurora State Airport. Regional freight and passenger service is provided via the Portland International Airport.

**Pipeline:** There are no major pipelines within the Woodburn UGB.

**Marine:** There are no marine facilities within the Woodburn UGB.

**Roadways:** All study intersections currently operate under capacity and meet ODOT mobility standards with the exception of Meridian/5th/Oregon 214.
Insert Figures 3-1 through 3-7
SECTION 4

Future Transportation Conditions, Deficiencies, and Needs

This section summarizes the anticipated future transportation system deficiencies and multimodal system needs within the Woodburn UGB under forecasted year 2020 no build conditions.

Future Growth Forecasts

Future transportation demand within the city of Woodburn UGB was estimated based on forecasts prepared by ODOT’s Transportation Planning and Analysis Unit (TPAU) using the EMME/2 model. These forecasts were prepared under the “No Build” condition, which assumes that minimal and currently committed transportation improvements are made to the existing system. The results of the No Build analysis were used as a basis of comparison for the identification and evaluation of future transportation alternatives.

For modeling purposes, the City was divided into 104 Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs). Figure 4-1 shows the TAZ system for the City. Household and employment forecasts were allocated for each of the TAZs for the existing year as well as year 2020 for three land use scenarios. These land use scenarios are outlined below and were discussed in greater detail in the April 16, 2003, memorandum titled No Build Model Analysis prepared for the Technical Advisory Committee. This memorandum is included in Appendix D.

Land Use Scenarios

Each land use scenario is based on the medium-range 2020 population forecast of 34,919. A brief description of each scenario is provided in Table 4-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario No.</th>
<th>Land Use Scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>Medium Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intensification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Redevelopment and Infill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Based on Employment Needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>Medium Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Redevelopment and Infill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment Needs plus one Alternative Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 3</td>
<td>High Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Redevelopment and Infill plus Two New Neighborhood Nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment Needs plus two Alternative Sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Each scenario’s land use allocation varies based on individual underlying assumptions. In terms of household allocation, Scenario 1 assumes an increase in density over existing levels whereas Scenarios 2 and 3 assume a continuation of current household density trends.

Scenarios 1 and 2 assume the same medium employment growth forecast with significant redevelopment and infill accommodating commercial (retail and service) demand. Scenario 3 assumes development of two new mixed-use centers (nodes) serving commercial development needs. Considerable growth in industrial employment is anticipated in all scenarios, although Scenario 3 is the most aggressive. A summary of the number of households and employment included in each of the scenarios is provided in Table 4-2.

### TABLE 4-2
Comparison of Land Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Year 2000</th>
<th>Households Year 2000</th>
<th>Agric. 268</th>
<th>Indus. 987</th>
<th>Retail 2,779</th>
<th>Service 1,240</th>
<th>Educ. 577</th>
<th>Gover. 589</th>
<th>Other 1,211</th>
<th>Total 7,634</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1</td>
<td>13,077</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>4,565</td>
<td>4,561</td>
<td>2,136</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>14,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2</td>
<td>13,053</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>4,565</td>
<td>4,561</td>
<td>2,136</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>14,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 3</td>
<td>13,098</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>5,203</td>
<td>4,895</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>15,921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Agric = Agriculture; Indus = Industrial; Educ = Education; Gover = Government.

As shown in Table 4-2, during the next 20 years, the number of households within the Woodburn UGB is anticipated to increase by more than 5,700 units, which equates to an approximately 77 percent increase.

The number of employees in Woodburn is anticipated to increase by more than 7,000, depending on the scenario. This equates to a 94 to 108 percent increase in employees within the UGB. Among the 2020 scenarios, there is an 8 percent difference in the number of employees anticipated within the UGB. This difference primarily occurs in the industrial sector and to a lesser extent in the retail and service sectors. From a locational perspective, Scenario 3 includes higher employment in the Parr Road and Crosby Road corridors.

Given the relatively small differences in p.m. peak hour traffic volumes among the scenarios, Scenario 3 was used to quantify future roadway deficiencies and recommend solutions. This scenario provides for slightly higher traffic volumes in the vicinity of the I-5 interchange (which is one of the most critical intersections in the system) than the other scenarios. In addition, the minor differences in the volumes forecast on other facilities in the City will not affect the future capacity needs identified in the TSP.

Based on Scenario 3 land use assumptions for the No Build deficiency analysis, the highest growth in households and employees between year 2000 and year 2020 is anticipated to occur in the TAZs identified in Table 4-3. Each of the TAZs listed in the table is anticipated to experience an increase of at least 300 households or employees. Appendix D contains a comparison of the employment and households for each TAZ within the UGB.
### TABLE 4-3
High-Growth TAZs (Year 2020 Scenario 3—Existing Conditions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAZ</th>
<th>Approximate Location</th>
<th>Households</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>South of Crosby Road, just east of I-5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Southeast of Boones Ferry Road/Crosby Road</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Southwest of Crosby Road/Front</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>North of Ore 214 between Ore 99E and Front</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,394</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>North of Ore 211 near the Cooley intersection</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Southwest quadrant of the Ore 214/I-5 interchange</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Southeast of Ore 214/Butteville Road</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>1,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>South and west of Ore 214/Butteville Road</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>South of Hayes between I-5 and Evergreen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>1,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>Southeast of Ore 99E/Ore 214</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>Northwest of Parr Road/Settlemier</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>North of Parr Road east of I-5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>East of Boones Ferry south of Front Ave</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>West of Boones Ferry south of Parr Road</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Travel Forecasts
As discussed above, ODOT’s TPAU generated No Build forecasts using the EMME/2 model for each of the land use scenarios. The forecasts for Scenario 3 were used in the identification of future transportation capacity needs within the Woodburn UGB. To perform this capacity analysis, year 2020 traffic volume forecasts for intersection turning movements and street
segments were derived using the procedures outlined in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 2-55. This procedure accounts for a combination of existing turning movement counts, and base and future year model forecasts, as follows:

- Measured turning movement volumes and patterns are used as a starting point. For example, a particular movement at an intersection might have a volume of 50 vehicles per hour.

- The percentage change in the model’s base and future year traffic volume for each movement is calculated. For example, if the model’s base year volume is 25 vehicles per hour and the future year volume is 75 vehicles per hour, the movement’s volume triples during that time. Tripling the measured volume of 50 vehicles per hour would result in a 2020 volume of 150 vehicles per hour.

- The numerical change (delta) in the model’s traffic volumes is also calculated. In the example above, the model’s volume for the movement increased by 50 vehicles per hour, from 25 to 75. Increasing the measured volume by 50 vehicles per hour results in a 2020 volume of 100 vehicles per hour.

- The results obtained from the percentage and numerical change calculations are averaged to obtain the 2020 analysis traffic volume. In this example, 150 and 100 would be averaged to obtain a year 2020 volume of 125 vehicles per hour for analysis purposes.

This process was applied to all of the study intersections in Woodburn that exist in the base year model. The reasonableness of the averaging method was reviewed at each location, especially in instances in which the numerical and percentage change yielded very different results (which can often occur on very low volume movements in the base model that increase significantly in 2020) or when the existing model differed significantly from the existing turning movement counts. In these instances, the available data and travel forecasts were reviewed to determine the appropriate year 2020 analysis volumes. In addition, where intersections are closely spaced, with little or no opportunity for access between the intersections, traffic volumes were balanced between the two intersections. Appendix E contains the balanced, adjusted volumes.

**Year 2020 Capacity Deficiencies**

Based on the methodology described above, year 2020 intersection traffic operations were analyzed for the 33 study intersections identified in Section 3. Figure 4-2 depicts the results of this analysis; the results are also provided in tabular form in Appendix E. As shown in Figure 4-2, the following locations were identified to experience capacity problems if no improvements are made to the existing system:

- Butteville Road/Oregon 214
- I-5/Oregon 214 northbound ramps
- I-5/Oregon 214 southbound ramps
- Evergreen Road/Oregon 214
- Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214
Based on the anticipated intersection deficiencies, the following roadway segments are anticipated to exceed capacity in year 2020:

- Oregon 214/Oregon 219 between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E
- Front Street between Hardcastle Street and Cleveland Street

In addition to the identified capacity deficiencies, an analysis was performed to identify areas of high-volume growth within the UGB. Although not identified to operate over capacity in year 2020, the Parr Road, Butteville Road, and Crosby Road corridors are anticipated to experience a high increase in traffic volumes, as compared to today’s conditions. Because of the anticipated capacity deficiencies along Oregon 214 between the interchange and Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Road as well as the high employment and household growth anticipated in each of the three corridors, it is quicker for travelers to use these three corridors to access the I-5 interchange from the west than to travel along Oregon 214 to access the interchange from the east.

Figure 4-2 illustrates the projected year 2020 peak hour volumes on major roadways.

**Pedestrian Needs**

As discussed in Section 3, several pedestrian system improvements are needed to serve the following trip types: relatively short trips to major pedestrian attractors, recreational trips, access to transit, and commute trips. These improvements include the establishment of continuous sidewalks connecting neighborhoods with employment centers, pedestrian attractors, and transit stops as well as designated pedestrian crossing locations.

The major gaps in the existing pedestrian system are highlighted below.

- **Oregon 214**: Pedestrian facilities are needed between 5th Street and Progress; this section provides access to Woodburn High School and to the fixed route transit system. There are also no sidewalks west of Evergreen or east of Oregon 99E near the commercial areas.

- **Boones Ferry Road**: Pedestrian facilities are not provided on either side of the road north of Oregon 214; this area abuts French Prairie Middle School and Lincoln Elementary School. There are also no sidewalks to connect the adjacent neighborhoods to the transit stop along Boones Ferry Road.
• **Settlemier Road:** Sidewalks are not provided on the west side of the road north of Hayes Street nor on the east side of the road south of Cleveland Street. These connections would provide a continuous link between the residential areas to the south of Oregon 214 to French Prairie Middle School and Lincoln Elementary School.

• **Hayes Street:** Pedestrian facilities are not provided on the north side of the road across the street from Nellie Muir Elementary School.

• **Cascade Drive:** Sidewalks are not provided on either side of the road between Hayes Street and Oregon 214. This connection would provide a link between the residential area near Hayes Street and the commercial developments on Oregon 214.

• **Lincoln Street:** Pedestrian facilities are not provided on the south side of Lincoln Street between Washington Elementary School and the commercial developments on Oregon 99E.

• **Senior Estates/Neighborhoods to the northwest of Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214:** Continuous sidewalks are not provided in the neighborhoods between Boones Ferry Road and I-5 north of Oregon 214. Sidewalks are needed to serve trips within the neighborhood and to provide access to the transit system, which has stops along Princeton and Country Club Road.

• **Front Avenue:** Sidewalks are needed along Front Avenue between Woodcrest and the northern City limits. These would provide connections between the neighborhoods and the commercial/employment centers as well as to the fixed route transit system.

More than two-thirds of the household growth and 80 percent of the employment growth is forecast outside of the existing City limits. With the exception of Settlemier between Oregon 214 and Parr Road and Oregon 99E between the north and south City limits, pedestrian facilities that would connect these areas of new growth to the existing City system are limited. In addition, extremely limited pedestrian system connections within the areas of new growth are anticipated. Per the TPR (OAR 660-012-0045), any new roadways will need to be constructed with sidewalks. It will also be important to connect these high-growth areas with existing neighborhoods and major pedestrian attractors in the vicinity via the existing roadway system.

As part of the alternatives identification process, pedestrian system improvements that mitigate the existing and anticipated future deficiencies will need to be analyzed.

### Bicycle Needs

As discussed in Section 3, the bicycle system should connect residential areas with schools, commercial areas, and employment centers. Designated bicycle lanes should generally be provided on all arterials and on streets carrying in excess of 3,000 vehicles per day. To meet these needs, a number of gaps were identified in the existing bicycle system. These gaps are outlined below.

• **Oregon 214:** Bicycle lanes are provided only intermittently between Boones Ferry and Oregon 99E today. Continuous bicycle lanes are needed between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E.
FUTURE TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS, DEFICIENCIES, AND NEEDS

• Oregon 99E: Bicycle lanes are provided today north of Lincoln Road. Bicycle lanes are needed south of Lincoln Road to provide connections to existing commercial and industrial areas.

• Boones Ferry Road and Settlemier Road: Bicycle facilities are needed on both facilities to link neighborhoods along the corridors with the commercial areas along Oregon 214, French Prairie Middle School, Lincoln Elementary School, and downtown Woodburn.

• Front Street: Bicycle facilities are needed along the entire roadway to connect residential areas to the downtown commercial area.

• Garfield/Young: Bicycle facilities are needed on both facilities to connect residential areas with the downtown and the industrial/employment areas in southeast Woodburn.

• Hardcastle: Bicycle facilities are needed to connect existing neighborhoods with the arterial system.

As discussed in the pedestrian needs subsection, more than two-thirds of the household growth and 80 percent of the employment growth is forecast outside of the existing City limits. With the exception of intermittent bicycle lanes along Oregon 214, bicycle lanes on Oregon 99E north of Lincoln Road, and a separated bicycle path along Parr Road between Settlemier and Heritage Elementary and Valor Middle Schools, there are very limited bicycle facilities today that would connect these areas of new growth to the existing City system. To serve future bicycle system needs, the gaps in the existing system will need to be addressed. Any new arterial or high-volume collector roadway will need to be constructed with designated bicycle lanes, and connections between the high-growth areas and the existing arterial system, neighborhoods, and major bicycle attractors in the vicinity will need to be provided.

Public Transportation Needs

As discussed in the Section 3, the Woodburn Transit System provides fixed route service on weekdays between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Service is generally provided to the residential, employment, and commercial areas adjacent to Oregon 214, Oregon 99E, Settlemier, Boones Ferry Road, Front, and Young. In the future, the fixed route transit system will need to be expanded to serve areas anticipated to experience high employment and household growth, such as the Parr Road and Crosby Road corridors.

Rail Needs

The Union Pacific Railroad provides through train service and freight service north of Hardcastle Avenue. The Willamette Valley Railroad, a short-line operator, provides freight service along Front Street and Cleveland Street to serve local businesses. Willamette Valley also provides freight service to communities to the east of Woodburn on track leased from Union Pacific Railroad. No passenger train stops are provided in Woodburn.

A potential future issue associated with rail service is the opportunity to remove private grade crossing within the City, by providing alternatives access to parcels. In addition, a
local group is currently exploring the possibility of using Willamette Valley Railroad equipment to develop excursion train service to Silverton.

**Air Transport Needs**

No commercial or private aviation facilities currently are located within the UGB, nor will they likely be needed in the future.

**Pipeline Needs**

No major pipeline transport facilities currently are located within the UGB, nor are they anticipated in the future.

**Water Transportation Needs**

No water transport facilities are currently located within the Woodburn UGB, nor are they anticipated in the future.

**Future Transportation Needs Summary**

Much of the growth in Woodburn is anticipated to occur outside of the existing City limits. As such, careful consideration will be needed to ensure adequate roadway, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit system improvements are provided to link the new growth areas with the existing City system. Additionally, the following deficiencies are anticipated in the future:

- Oregon 214/Oregon 219 is anticipated to operate over capacity (i.e., not meet ODOT mobility standards) between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E. Needed improvements are anticipated at several of the intersections along the corridor as well as at the I-5/Oregon 214 interchange.

- Continuous pedestrian facilities are needed along many of the arterials and collector facilities within the existing UGB to provide essential linkages between neighborhoods, schools, employment centers, and major pedestrian attractors.

- Continuous bicycle facilities are needed on nearly all of the arterials within the UGB.

- The opportunity to remove private at-grade rail crossings within the UGB should be investigated.

- No improvements are anticipated for the air, pipeline, or water modes.
Insert Figures 4-1 through 4-2
The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) selected three alternatives to address deficiencies identified as part of the existing conditions and future no build analyses. This section summarizes the results of the multimodal alternatives analyses conducted for these alternatives.

**Alternative 1: Minimum Capacity Improvements**

This alternative primarily includes those improvements contemplated as part of the Woodburn Interchange Environmental Assessment as well as those improvements anticipated as part of ongoing land use applications. As such, this alternative includes the following capacity and connectivity improvements:

- Widening Oregon 214 to include four through travel lanes (two per direction) between Woodland Avenue and Oregon Way
- Providing turn lanes at intersections along Oregon 214 between Woodland Avenue and Oregon Way
- Rebuilding the I-5 on-ramps and off-ramps
- Extending Evergreen Road to Parr Road
- Extending Stacy Allison Drive to Parr Road
- Constructing a new collector or service facility between the Evergreen Road and Stacy Allison Drive extensions
- Widen Oregon 99E between Lincoln Street and south City limits

This alternative is conceptually represented in Figure 5-1 and does not represent the preferred alignments or locations.

**Alternative 2: Full Widening of Oregon 214 and Construction of the South Arterial**

In addition to the improvements included in Alternative 1, Alternative 2 consists of the following:

- Widening of Oregon 214 to a full five-lane section between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E
- Constructing a new loop ramp connection between Oregon 214 and Front Street in the southwest quadrant of the existing intersection
- Upgrading 5th Street to access street standards
• Extending and upgrading Brown Street to the South Arterial
• Upgrading the Crosby Road corridor commensurate with minor arterial standards
• Constructing a “South Arterial” between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E. As part of the South Arterial construction, Parr Road would be terminated at the Stacy Allison Drive extension and Evergreen Road would tie into the South Arterial.

This alternative is conceptually represented in Figure 5-2 and does not represent the preferred alignments or locations.

**Alternative 3 (Policy): Full Capacity and Connectivity Improvements**

Alternative 3 is a policy-driven alternative that was developed to determine improvements located outside of the UGB that would benefit the overall transportation system (i.e., State, County and City), complementing Alternatives 1 and 2. These projects are of priority to the City but need to be planned for and incorporated into the Marion County Transportation System Plan. In addition to the capacity and connectivity improvements identified in the first two alternatives, Alternative 3 consists of the following improvements:

• Extending the South Arterial from Oregon 99E to Oregon 214, providing a direct alternative route to the Oregon 214/I-5 interchange for trips originating outside of the Woodburn UGB

• Extending Crosby Road to the Goudy Gardens/Oregon 99E intersection

This alternative is conceptually represented in Figure 5-3 and does not represent the preferred alignments or locations.

**Urban Growth Boundary Assumptions for Alternatives**

Roadway facilities shown outside the UGB are recommended, not planned facilities in the TSP, and are logical extensions and improvements to the planned roadway network. Land use decisions to authorize these as planned facilities and improvements would occur as part of a subsequent UGB amendment adding these areas or a subsequent amendment to the TSP.

**Environmental Issues**

In addition, at this time, none of the improvements identified in any of the alternatives have known environmental concerns or conditions that would influence the selection of a preferred alternative.

**Alternatives Evaluation**

The evaluation of each alternative is summarized below.
Roadway System Performance

Based on direction provided by the TAC, the performance of the roadway system was assessed for each alternative using traffic volume forecasts prepared by ODOT’s TPAU for Land Use Scenario 3. Section 4 documented the methodology used to calculate roadway and intersection volumes based on information prepared by TPAU.

Year 2020 weekday p.m. peak hour roadway segment volumes for Alternatives 1 through 3 are provided in Figures 5-4, 5-5, and 5-6, respectively. Table 5-1 provides a comparison of traffic volumes anticipated on key roadway segments (for example, those that were identified to operate near or over capacity in the No Build Condition or other facilities anticipated to experience significant increases in traffic volumes, as compared to existing conditions).

**Table 5-1**
2020 Weekday p.m. Peak Hour Roadway Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roadway Segment</th>
<th>No Build</th>
<th>Alternative 1</th>
<th>Alternative 2</th>
<th>Alternative 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon 219 west of I-5</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>1,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon 214 east of Oregon Way</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>2,430</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon 214 west of Oregon 99E</td>
<td>2,075</td>
<td>1,780</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon 99E south of Oregon 214</td>
<td>2,625</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Street north of Hardcastle</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parr Road west of Settlemier</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen Road south of Oregon 214</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlemier Ave south of Oregon 214</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,525</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosby Road west of Boones Ferry Road</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butteville Road south of Oregon 219</td>
<td>1,525</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>1,525</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Arterial East of Butteville</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Arterial West of Oregon 99E</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Arterial East of Oregon 99E</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alternative 1**

Table 5-1 shows that under Alternative 1, during the weekday p.m. peak hour a majority of the roadway segments would experience an increase in vehicular volumes. The volumes shown under the No Build condition reflect traffic diverting onto facilities other than Oregon 214. As the capacity increases as a result of the widening on Oregon 214 between Woodland Avenue and Oregon Way, traffic volumes would divert back to Oregon 214. Traffic volumes would decrease on Crosby Road, Butteville Road, and Front Street because of new connections provided by extending Stacy Allison Drive and Evergreen Road.
Alternative 2
Under Alternative 2, during the weekday p.m. peak hour several segments of Oregon 214 are anticipated to experience an increase in vehicular volumes as compared to Alternative 1. The widening of Oregon 214 between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E is the major contributing factor because the increase in capacity would attract vehicles from minor roadways. As with Alternative 1, decreases in the vehicle volumes on Crosby Road and Front Street result from the Evergreen Road and Stacy Allison Drive extensions.

Alternative 3
Similar to Alternative 2, Oregon 214 is anticipated to experience higher volumes under Alternative 3 than Alternative 1. The traffic volume increases on Oregon 214 would be a result of widening the roadway to a five-lane cross-section. Crosby Road would experience slight increases in volumes resulting from its upgrade to a minor arterial standard. Settlemier Avenue would experience increases in vehicle volumes from the construction of the South Arterial. Projected decreases in the traffic volumes on Front Street and Butteville Road are attributable to the increased connection provided by the Stacy Allison Drive and Evergreen Road extensions.

Roadway Performance
Table 5-2 projects the number of lane miles that would operate under, near, and over capacity in the year 2020 for each alternative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane Miles</th>
<th>No Build</th>
<th>Alternative 1</th>
<th>Alternative 2</th>
<th>Alternative 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under Capacity</td>
<td>85.15 (68%)</td>
<td>94.21 (71%)</td>
<td>105.81 (76%)</td>
<td>110.67 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near Capacity</td>
<td>29.02 (23%)</td>
<td>28.48 (22%)</td>
<td>29.43 (21%)</td>
<td>28.31 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over Capacity</td>
<td>11.83 (9%)</td>
<td>9.83 (7%)</td>
<td>4.55 (3%)</td>
<td>4.51 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5-2 indicates that more than 90 percent of the lane miles on the system are projected to operate under or near capacity in the year 2020 in all scenarios. However, the proposed Southern Arterial and the widening of Oregon 214 between Butteville and Oregon 99E (as included in Alternatives 2 and 3) would significantly reduce the number of lane miles forecast to operate over capacity.

As documented in Section 4, several intersections are anticipated to operate near or over capacity under year 2020 No Build conditions. Table 5-3 depicts the projected volume-to-capacity ratios projected at key intersections for each alternative scenario.

A signal warrant analysis was conducted for the unsignalized intersections that are projected to exceed capacity under the three alternatives. This analysis is presented in Appendix F.
### TABLE 5-3
Comparison of Key Intersection Operations (volume-to-capacity [v/c])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>No Build</th>
<th>Alternative 1</th>
<th>Alternative 2</th>
<th>Alternative 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butteville Road/Oregon 219 (north)</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butteville Road/Oregon 219 (south)</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland/Oregon 219</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5/Oregon 214 northbound ramps</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-5/Oregon 214 southbound ramps</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen Road/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Way/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade Drive/Oregon 214</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian/5th/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Street/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Avenue/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon 99E/Oregon 214</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Street/Oregon 99E</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardcastle Street/Front Street</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Street/Front Street</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield/Young Street/Front Street</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland Street/Front Street</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boones Ferry Road/Crosby</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parr Road/Settlementier Road</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alternative 1**

In addition to the roadway segment improvements included in this alternative, intersection mitigation measures would be required to meet ODOT’s mobility standards. These improvements include:

- Installing a signal and a southbound right-turn lane at northern Butteville Road/Oregon 219 intersection
- Installing a signal and a northbound right-turn lane at southern Butteville Road/Oregon 219 intersection
- Adding a southbound left-turn lane at the Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214 intersection
- Installing a signal at the intersection of Meridian Drive/5th Street/Oregon 214
• Installing a signal at the Front Street/Oregon 214 intersection
• Signalizing and adding a southbound left-turn lane at the Park Avenue/Oregon 214 intersection
• Adding a southbound right-turn lane, a westbound right-turn lane, and a westbound left-turn lane to the Oregon 99E/Oregon 214 intersection
• Signalizing the Cleveland Street/Oregon 99E intersection
• Adding a southbound left-turn lane to the Hardcastle Street/Front Street intersection
• Adding a westbound left-turn lane to the Lincoln Street/Front Street intersection
• Adding a southbound left-turn lane to the Cleveland Street/Front Street intersection

With these improvements, all intersections are projected to operate acceptably during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The Cascade Drive/Oregon 214 and Oregon 99E/Oregon 214 intersections would operate at a volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.85, which just meets the mobility standard.

**Alternative 2**

In addition to the identified roadway segment improvements, intersection mitigations would be required to provide acceptable operations. The required improvements include:

• Installing a signal and a southbound right-turn lane at northern Butteville Road/Oregon 219 intersection
• Installing a signal and a northbound right-turn lane at Butteville Road/Oregon 219 intersection
• Adding a northbound right-turn lane, a southbound left-turn lane and an eastbound right-turn and through lanes to the Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214 intersection
• Signalizing the intersection of Meridian Drive/5th Street/Oregon 214
• Signalizing the Park Avenue/Oregon 214 intersection
• Adding a southbound right-turn lane and a westbound left-turn lane to the Oregon 99E/Oregon 214 intersection
• Installing a signal at the Cleveland Street/Oregon 99E intersection

These mitigations are projected to provide acceptable operations for the weekday p.m. peak hour.

**Alternative 3**

Additional intersection mitigations would also be required under Alternative 3 to meet ODOT’s standards. The required improvements would include:

• Installing a signal at the northern intersection of Butteville Road and Oregon 219
• Installing a signal and a northbound right-turn lane at Butteville Road/Oregon 219 intersection
• Adding a southbound left-turn lane and a westbound right-turn lane to the Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214 intersection
• Installing a signal at the intersection of Meridian Drive/5th Street/Oregon 214
• Adding a westbound left-turn lane to the Oregon 99E/Oregon 214 intersection
• Signalizing the Cleveland Street/Oregon 214 intersection; and
• Adding an eastbound right-turn lane to the Parr Road/Settlemier Road intersection

With these improvements, all intersections are projected to operate acceptably during the weekday p.m. peak hour. The Cascade Drive/Oregon 214 and Boones Ferry Road/Oregon 214 intersections are projected to operate at a volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.85, which just meets the mobility standards.

Based on the operational analysis, Alternative 1 represents the minimum improvements necessary to meet system requirements. Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative to meet the City’s long-term transportation goals, while Alternative 3 is desirable, but is dependent on coordination with Marion County. Alternative 2 balances the need for operational and mobility improvements with the constraints of funding and coordination with other jurisdictions. Over the next 20 years, it is the City’s priority to coordinate with Marion County to provide an extension of Crosby Road to Goudy Gardens and Oregon 99E, and to extend the southern arterial from Oregon 99E to Oregon 214. The improvements provide needed east-west connections and an alternative route to the Oregon 214/I-5 interchange area.

Transit System Alternatives

Today, the Woodburn fixed route bus service has an annual ridership of approximately 32,000 passengers. The paratransit system has an estimated annual ridership of 6,000 to 7,000 passengers. Compared to the ridership reported in the 1995 TSP, ridership on the fixed route system has increased by approximately 10 percent during the last 8 years whereas the paratransit ridership has nearly doubled.

The population in Woodburn is projected to increase from 20,210 (source: year 2000 census) to approximately 35,000 people in year 2020. This represents a population increase of approximately 73 percent. For the purposes of the TSP, it was conservatively assumed that transit ridership will grow in proportion with the population increase and that increased transit service will be provided to serve the added population. A combined annual ridership of about 66,000 passengers would use the City’s fixed route and paratransit systems.

The existing fixed route system operates from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. Approximately 50 scheduled stops are provided at various locations on the route. As documented in Sections 3 and 4, the majority of major employment, civic, retail and neighborhood centers are being served by the fixed route system today. Some notable exceptions to this are the employment center southwest of the I-5/Oregon 214 interchange and the Woodburn Industrial Park located in the Progress and Industrial corridors.
Another notable deficiency in the existing fixed route service is the times of operation. The 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. service is not conducive to serving a broad range of employment-related travel because it does not correspond to typical daytime office and service work hours or typical shift hours at manufacturing and industrial employment centers.

Another issue associated with the existing one-way loop operations is that the bus service does not efficiently serve travel oriented in the opposite direction of the bus operation, particularly for short trips.

As identified in Section 4, significant employment and residential growth is anticipated in the Crosby Road, Parr Road, and Butteville Road corridors. Future expansion of the transit system should account for these growth areas.

With the increasing number of people moving to Woodburn and commuting to either the Portland metro area or Salem, there is potential demand for shuttle bus service between Woodburn and these two areas. There currently is no intercity shuttle service serving the general population. The only intercity services offered are through Greyhound, HUT Transportation (service to the Portland International Airport), WHEELS (service to elderly and disabled passengers), and Woodburn Family Clinic.

Transit system alternatives that address existing and Future No Build deficiencies are discussed below.

**Intracity Fixed-Route Bus Alternatives**

The existing one-way loop route service could be modified to address the existing and future deficiencies in a variety of different ways. Potential alternatives are discussed below:

- **Alternative 1: Increase Service Frequency on Existing Route:** With this alternative, the existing one-way loop route would be maintained, with service extended to a 12-hour period from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and buses operating every 30 minutes. The expanded hours of operation would encapsulate morning and evening peak commuting times and increase the likelihood that transit could be used for employment-related travel. To achieve the increased bus service, an additional bus would likely need to be added to the fleet.

- **Alternative 2: Convert Single Route to Two Way Operations:** Passenger accessibility along the bus route could be improved by changing the existing one-way loop route to two-way operations. Under this alternative, the existing 60-minute service frequency would be provided in each direction of travel. Further, service would be expanded to 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on weekdays to incorporate the morning and evening commute periods. This service concept would require an additional bus.

- **Alternatives 3/4: Create Two Routes (East/West) with One-Way or Two-Way Operations:** This alternative would establish an east route and a west route with a common connection in the downtown that could potentially occur at a future transit center. The east-west boundary between the two routes could either be split at Front Street or at Settlemier Avenue. It would be preferable to increase the service frequency to 30 minutes, operating from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. time to encapsulate the morning and evening commute hours. These routes could be operated with either one-way or two-way
operations. One-way service would likely require three buses; two-way service would likely require up to six buses.

The primary disadvantage of Alternative 3/4 is that cross-city transit commuting would require a transfer in downtown. The primary advantages include improving service frequency, providing a shorter bus route, and developing a downtown transit center, which could stimulate downtown redevelopment, particularly if tied into an intercity bus or rail station.

Any of these alternatives could be implemented in combination with expanding the service to Saturday or expanding the routes to include the Parr Road and Crosby Road corridors and potentially the South Arterial as appropriate for activity in this area. The connection to Parr Road could occur via the extension of Evergreen Road.

A summary of the alternatives is provided in Table 5-4.

**TABLE 5-4**
Comparison of Intracity Fixed-Route Bus Alternatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Frequency of Service</th>
<th>Route Length (one-way)</th>
<th># of Buses Required</th>
<th>Vehicle Miles per Year</th>
<th>Added Vehicle Capital Cost</th>
<th>Vehicle Operating Cost per Year$^b$</th>
<th>Estimated Annual Ridership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>60-minute headways; 9 a.m. – 5 p.m.</td>
<td>14.1 miles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31,200</td>
<td>124,200</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – Increased Frequency</td>
<td>30-minute headways; 7 a.m. – 7 p.m.</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>352,000</td>
<td>56,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Single Route with Two-Way Operations</td>
<td>30-minute headways; 7 a.m. – 7 p.m.</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>352,000</td>
<td>56,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Two Routes with One-Way Operation</td>
<td>30-minute headways; 7 a.m. – 7 p.m.</td>
<td>E – 8.2 W – 6.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>352,000</td>
<td>59,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Two Routes with Two-Way Operations</td>
<td>30-minute headways; 7 a.m. – 7 p.m.</td>
<td>E – 8.2 W – 6.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>176,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>704,000</td>
<td>77,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Assumes bus operation only on weekdays for 51 weeks per year (accounts for no service on holidays).

$^b$Based on Transit System Operating Cost of $4.00 per vehicle mile.

The order of preference for City implementation of transit improvements is:

- Increase service frequency of the existing fixed route system
- Convert the single bus route into two-way operations
- Create two routes in the east/west direction, with either one-way or two-way operations
Intracity Paratransit Service

Although improvements in the fixed route system could allow the city of Woodburn to reduce the paratransit service, the existing paratransit system provides an essential service for many elderly and handicapped persons in the community. If City resources are concentrated on expanding the fixed route system, the City may investigate transferring the paratransit system to a local social service agency.

Intercity Transit Service

Currently, there is no shuttle service provided to either the Portland metro area or Salem. The city of Woodburn and ODOT have been investigating the potential to provide service to the SMART bus service in Wilsonville. The existing Shell station in the northeast quadrant of the I-5/Oregon 214 interchange will be removed as part of the interchange reconstruction project. The City and ODOT have discussed the potential use of this property as a park-and-ride for the SMART service. Other potential long-term options to connect to Portland include providing service to Tri-Met via the Tualatin Park-and-Ride; provision of service directly into downtown Portland; or providing service to the commuter rail service planned for the westside of the Metro area.

Access to Salem could be provided through direct service to downtown Salem and the state office building area.

Under any of these options, it is likely that service would be provided during the morning and evening commute hours with a potential mid-day connection. In addition, Woodburn’s intracity fixed route system should incorporate a stop at the potential park-and-ride.

If a park-and-ride were developed, additional spaces beyond the anticipated transit demand would attract and serve carpooling to Portland or Salem.

Pedestrian System Alternatives

Sections 3 and 4 identified several pedestrian system improvements for a variety of trip types within the City. These improvements result from the need to provide a continuous system of sidewalks or trails connecting neighborhoods with employment centers, pedestrian attractors, and transit stops. There are two potential ways to address the deficiency in the pedestrian system, as discussed in the subsections below.

Either alternative should include the upgrading of existing sidewalk facilities, as development, redevelopment, or roadway improvement projects occur, to meet current ADA standards for sidewalk width, ramps, and clear zones, among other features.

Alternative 1: Providing Additional Sidewalks to Meet Pedestrian Demands

This alternative would include providing sidewalks on both sides of all existing arterial, collector, and access streets in Woodburn. Priority would be given to those facilities that connect neighborhoods with schools and transit routes and those along arterial and higher-order collector streets.
All new streets, including local streets, would include sidewalks on both sides of the street in accordance with TPR requirements. Under this alternative, there would be no or minimal off-street pathway development.

The primary disadvantage of this alternative is that the retrofitting of all existing arterial, collector, and access streets to include sidewalks on both sides of the roadway would be extremely costly and may not be the most cost-effective way to improve pedestrian access between neighborhoods and major pedestrian generators.

**Alternative 2: Balanced Program of Sidewalks on Major Streets and Off-Street Trails**

This alternative would balance the retrofitting of existing streets with off-street pathway system. A 7-mile pedestrian and bicycle trail system could be developed along the Mill Creek and Goose Creek corridors. This trail system would include connections to adjacent neighborhoods.

Sidewalks on one side of all arterial and collector streets would be provided. In addition, the sidewalk system should incorporate wayfinding signage to direct pedestrians to the off-street trail system.

The two creek corridors provide an opportunity to integrate pedestrian facilities into open space areas, which enhances public access to the open space and provides more direct connections to several of the major pedestrian generators within the City. For example, these corridors are adjacent to or in proximity to all of the schools.

It is recommended that sidewalks meeting ADA standards be constructed on all new streets. The retrofitting of existing streets as new development/redevelopment occurs and as City funding becomes available should be balanced with developing an off-street pathway system.

In addition, techniques for improving pedestrian crossing safety, such as curb extensions and pedestrian refuges, should be implemented where feasible.

**Bicycle System Alternatives**

Sections 3 and 4 noted the limited bicycle facilities connecting residential areas with schools, commercial areas, and employment centers within the City. Like the pedestrian system, two alternatives can be evaluated for Woodburn: providing exclusive on-street bicycle lanes or combining on-street bicycle lanes and off-street trails that accommodate both pedestrians and cyclists.

The first alternative would include providing designated bicycle lanes on all arterials and those streets for which volume and speed considerations warrant exclusive lanes. Conversely, under the second alternative, on-street bicycle lanes could be provided on all arterial streets and a limited number of higher volume collector streets. This on-street system would be supplemented by an off-street trail system. As described above, this off-street trail system would be developed along the Mill Creek and Goose Creek corridors.
It is recommended that bicycle lanes should be constructed on new streets for which volume and/or speed considerations warrant exclusive lanes. Retrofitting existing streets should be balanced with the provision of an off-street pathway.

**Transportation Demand Management**

TDM strategies and programs could be implemented to reduce single-occupancy vehicle (SOV) travel in the City, especially for work-related trips. These strategies are central to achieving local and statewide planning goals, including the TPR.

Today, there is limited application of TDM strategies by existing employers and businesses in the City. There are a number of strategies that the City can work with major employers and businesses to implement in the coming years. Examples of these strategies are outlined below.

**Transit Fare Subsidies**

Opportunities are available for existing and future employers to encourage their employees to take transit to and from work by providing some subsidy to the cost of bus passes. This would be especially effective if the City expands the hours of service for the fixed route transit system to better incorporate the commute periods. Many jurisdictions and transit agencies have instituted partial subsidy programs that allow employees to either receive discounted transit passes or be reimbursed by employers for actual bus fares. The City should investigate the feasibility of implementing a similar program.

**Carpool Matching Programs**

Employers or the City could sponsor carpool matching programs to pair employees who could share rides to and from work. In some cases, ridesharing occurs in personal vehicles. In other cases, employers purchase vehicles for vanpool use. While these types of programs can be administered by individual employers, a more centralized database maintained by the city or another organization to match employees of different employment locations is a decided advantage. In the Portland Metro area, Carpool Match Northwest has been established to accomplish this objective. A similar program could be established in Woodburn.

**Carpool Parking Programs**

As an incentive to carpooling, employers could provide preferential parking for carpools and vanpools. The city could enhance the use of this program by reducing the number of parking spaces requirements for new developments if a specific number of spaces were reserved for carpools or vanpools. This concept is typically a part of an overall employee ridesharing program that includes carpool matching and transit subsidies.

**Flexible Work Hours**

Employers providing flexible work hours could reduce the number of employees commuting to and from work during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. These peak hours typically represent the highest vehicular demands experienced on the system. Allowing
employees to commute to work outside of the traditional commute periods spreads the demands typically experienced during the peak periods to other hours of the day.

**Telecommuting**

In addition to establishing more flexible work schedules, employers could allow employees to telecommute from home or other offsite locations one or more days per week. This also reduces the travel demand during typical commute periods.

**Pedestrian and Transit-Oriented Developments**

Providing pedestrian or transit-oriented developments could result in a decreased reliance on the automobile. These developments could be provided in a variety of forms. For example, providing neighborhood retail and service needs at several key locations throughout the City could allow trips to be made by walking, cycling, or short driving distances from neighborhoods. Transit-oriented developments can include a mixture of employment, housing, and retail uses with direct sidewalk connections, bus stop provisions and proper building orientation that also provides opportunities for trips to be made via walking or cycling or short driving distances.

The current land use scenarios being investigated by the City include providing two neighborhood commercial sites as well as a mixed use node with residential and commercial uses.

**TDM Strategy Summary**

A summary of potential TDM strategies is provided in Table 5-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Development Applicability</th>
<th>Site Design Consideration</th>
<th>Employer Policy</th>
<th>Developer/ Employer Parking Reduction Incentives</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Potential Impact on Trip Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transit Fare Subsidies</td>
<td>C, S, O, I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Could be substantial pending employer interest and level of subsidy</td>
<td>Limited until hours of bus operations are expanded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool Matching Programs</td>
<td>C, S, O, I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes; can also be managed by City</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Can be high. Effectiveness increases when is managed at a central location in City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool Parking Program</td>
<td>C, S, O, I</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible Work Hours</td>
<td>C, S, O, I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Can reduce peak hour congestion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 5-5
TDM Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Development Applicability</th>
<th>Site Design Consideration</th>
<th>Employer Policy</th>
<th>Developer/Employer Parking Reduction Incentives</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Potential Impact on Trip Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telecommuting</td>
<td>S, O</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Potentially</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit-Oriented Developments</td>
<td>C, S, O, I</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Can be minimal with proper site planning</td>
<td>Can be high if tied to other TDM measures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


It is recommended that priority implementation be focused on the following strategies:

- Provide transit fare subsidies when the transit system is improved to incorporate the peak periods.
- Establish carpool matching programs for ride-sharing.
- Schedule shift changes to occur outside of peak travel periods.
- Allow employees to work at home 1 day a week.
- Establish neighborhood commercial and mixed-use nodes within the City. As part of these developments, direct sidewalk connections, bus stop provisions, and proper building orientation provide opportunities for trips to be made by way of walking, cycling, or driving very short distances.

Alternatives Analysis Summary

The following is a summary of the alternative analysis for the transportation modes serving the City.

Roadway

Based on the operational analysis, Alternative 1 represents the minimum improvements necessary to meet system requirements. Alternative 2 is the preferred alternative to meet the City’s long-term transportation goals, while Alternative 3 is desirable, but is dependent on coordination with Marion County. Alternative 2 balances the need for operational and mobility improvements with the constraints of funding and coordination with other jurisdictions. Over the next 20 years, it is the City’s priority to coordinate with Marion County to provide an extension of Crosby Road to Goudy Gardens and Oregon 99E, and to extend the southern arterial from Oregon 99E to Oregon 214. The improvements provide needed east-west connections and an alternative route to the Oregon 214/I-5 interchange area.
Transit
Several alternatives were investigated to improve the effectiveness of transit service in Woodburn. To attract more ridership to the transit service in Woodburn, the improvements outlined below should be implemented over time. These alternatives are listed in order of preference:

- Increase service frequency on the existing fixed bus routes.
- Convert the single bus route into two-way operations.
- Create two routes in the east/west direction, with either one- or two-way operations.
- Consider converting the paratransit system to a local social service.
- Provide a fixed shuttle service between Woodburn and Portland or Salem.

Pedestrian
The City should continue to require that sidewalks that meet ADA standards be constructed along all new streets. Retrofitting existing streets as new development and redevelopment occurs and as City funding is available should be balanced with developing an off-street pathway system.

In addition, techniques for improving pedestrian crossing safety, such as curb extensions and pedestrian refuges, should be implemented where feasible.

Bicycle System
Bicycle lanes should be constructed on new arterial streets and those streets that are forecast to have volume and/or speed conditions that warrant exclusive lanes. Retrofitting existing streets should be balanced with the provision of an off-street pathway.

Transportation Demand Management
To reduce single-occupancy vehicle travel within Woodburn, a number of strategies can be incorporated into the Woodburn Development Ordinance in the form of requirements for new developments and incentives for employers. Priority should be given to the following strategies:

- Provide transit fare subsides when the transit system is improved to incorporate the peak periods.
- Establish carpool matching programs for ride-sharing.
- Schedule shift changes to occur outside of peak travel periods.
- Allow employees to work at home 1 day a week.
- Establish neighborhood commercial and mixed-use nodes within the City. As part of these developments, direct sidewalk connections, bus stop provisions, and proper building orientation provide opportunities for trips to be made by way of walking, cycling, or driving very short distances.
Insert Figures 5-1 through 5-6
SECTION 6

Access Management

This section addresses the Oregon Highway Plan and OAR 734-051-000 ("Division 51") requirements as they relate to state highways in Woodburn.

ODOT Policies

The OHP, adopted in 1999, provides guidance regarding development, management, and financing of state highways within Oregon during the next 20 years. The TPR (OAR 660-012-000) requires that local transportation system plans be consistent with the OHP. Policies contained within the OHP that relate to implementing the Woodburn TSP include the adopted highway mobility standards and the access management standards for Oregon 214, Oregon 211, and Oregon 99E.

Within the OHP, all state facilities are classified into one of five categories indicating level-of-importance within the system. These categories guide “planning, management, and investment decisions” regarding the facilities. The categories, in order of importance, are Interstate Highways, Statewide Highways, Regional Highways, District Highways, and Local Interest Road.

Oregon 214 and Oregon 219 within the Woodburn UGB are classified as District Highways and maintain a posted speed of 30 to 35 mph. Oregon 211 is also a District Highway and has a posted speed of 35 to 45 mph. Oregon 99E is classified as a Regional Highway and maintains a posted speed of 35 to 45 mph.

In accordance with the OHP, the objective of a regional highway is to link regional centers, statewide or interstate highways, and economic or activity centers of regional significance. Within urban areas, the primary management objective is to provide moderate to high-speed operations and the secondary objective is to provide access to adjacent land uses. District Highways link small urban areas, rural centers, and urban hubs, often function as county/city arterials and collectors, and serve local access and traffic. Within urban areas, the primary management objective is to provide moderate to low speed operations with an emphasis on traffic flow, pedestrian and bicycle movements.

The OHP outlines Highway Mobility Standards for each highway classification. These standards are used to “maintain acceptable and reliable levels of mobility on the state highway system.” The mobility standard is defined as the maximum volume-to-capacity ratio for peak operating conditions. In accordance with Action 1F.1 of the OHP, the mobility standard for Oregon 99E is 0.80 whereas the mobility standard for Oregon 214 and Oregon 211 is 0.85.

The OHP also outlines access management policies and standards. These policies are implemented through the OAR 734-051-000 ("Division 51"). These spacing standards do not retroactively apply to legal roadways and accesses that were in-place prior to the adoption of the policies. Rather, they apply to situations of redevelopment or change in use, roadway
improvement projects, and new access points. The access spacing standards for each of the state facilities in Woodburn are as follows:

- On Oregon 99E, the access spacing standard for both public and private approaches is 600 feet along segments that have a posted speed of 35 mph and 750 feet along those segments that have a posted speed of 45 mph (i.e., south of Cleveland and approximately 1,200 feet north of the Oregon 214 intersection to the UGB).

- The access spacing standard for public and private approaches on Oregon 211 is 400 feet in the section of roadway with a posted speed of 35 mph and 500 feet in the section that has a posted speed of 45 mph (i.e., approximately 1,000 feet east of Oregon 99E to the UGB).

- On Oregon 214 and Oregon 219, the access spacing standard for both public and private approaches is 400 feet. Access spacing standards along Oregon 214/219 from the I-5 ramps is 1,320 feet for full access intersections and 750 feet for right-in-right-out intersections.

An analysis of the existing access configurations along Oregon 214/219 and Oregon 99E is provided below.

**Oregon 214/219 Access Analysis**

The Oregon 214/219 access analysis addresses Woodland Avenue to Cascade Drive, Cascade Drive to Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Avenue, and Settlemier Avenue to Oregon 99E.

**Woodland Avenue to Cascade Drive**

Today, Oregon 219 is a five-lane roadway between Woodland Avenue and I-5. This section of the highway currently carries approximately 11,000 vehicles per day. Oregon 214 is a three-lane roadway with intermittent sidewalks between I-5 and Cascade Drive. This section of the highway currently carries approximately 15,000 vehicles per day. In 2020, the roadway volume is anticipated to increase to approximately 23,000 vehicles per day under the No Build Condition and 32,000 vehicles per day if Oregon 214 is widened and the interchange is rebuilt.

In this section, a relatively high frequency of crashes have been experienced in the last 5 years at both I-5 ramp termini intersections, and at the Evergreen and Oregon Way intersections.

Figure 6-1 illustrates the existing street and private accesses along this section of the highway. As shown, public street accesses are provided at Woodland Avenue, Arney Road (limited to right-in-right-out), the frontage road, Lawson Avenue, Evergreen Road, Oregon Way/Country Club Road, and Cascade Drive. There are no private access points provided in this segment to the west of I-5. To the east along the south side of Oregon 214, there are private accesses provided at the following locations:

- Chevron gas station between the frontage road and Lawson Avenue
- Union 76 gas station between Lawson Avenue and Evergreen Road
Dairy Queen and Mid Valley Bank between Evergreen Road and Oregon Way

On the north side of Oregon 214, private accesses are provided at the following locations:

- The Shell gas station between the frontage road and Lawson Avenue
- Patterson’s Restaurant across from Lawson Avenue
- The private roadway system serving Denny’s, Best Western, and Wendy’s between Lawson and Evergreen
- Crossroads shopping center between Evergreen Road and Oregon Way

None of these accesses meet the access spacing standards outlined in Division 51. As part of the I-5 interchange and Oregon 214 improvement project, the following access modifications will be made to this segment of the highway:

- The frontage road will be closed.
- Lawson Avenue will be limited to right-in-right-out.
- All private accesses will be closed, with the exception of a consolidated access into the Dairy Queen and Mid Valley Bank; this access will be restricted to right-in-right-out.

Cascade Drive to Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Avenue

Between Cascade Drive and Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier, Oregon 214 maintains a three-lane curbed cross-section with a travel lane in each direction and a center turn lane. Under existing conditions, average daily traffic (ADT) on this segment of roadway is approximately 20,000 vehicles per day. This number is anticipated to increase to 22,000 vehicles per day under the 2020 No Build Condition and 26,500 vehicles per day between Cascade Drive and Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Avenue if Oregon 214 is widened to five lanes and the interchange is rebuilt. The existing conditions crash analysis did not reveal any apparent existing safety deficiencies in this corridor.

Figure 6-1 depicts the existing street and driveway accesses to Oregon 214 between Cascade Drive and Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Avenue. Public street accesses are provided at Broughton Way, Astor Way, and Leasure Street. Each of these locations is unsignalized. The intersection of Oregon 214/Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier is signalized. A discussion of the existing private access points and potential access alternatives is outlined below. These projects are opportunity-driven based on property conversion or future roadway projects.

- Access to vacant commercial land is provided approximately 280 feet east of Cascade Drive on the north side of Oregon 214. Although this driveway does not meet the 400-foot spacing requirement set forth by the OHP, alternative access to this property is constrained by the existing residential development to the north. When this property develops in the future, adequate sight distance should be provided at the access point along with appropriate internal circulation opportunities.

- Access to vacant commercial land is provided on the south side of Oregon 214 approximately 350 feet east of Broughton Way. Alternative access to this property is somewhat constrained by existing development to the west, although there may be
potential for alternative access to the south via Lincoln as properties in the vicinity build out.

- Three accesses are provided for the Fire Station on the south side of Oregon 214 in a less than 200-foot segment in the vicinity of Broughton Way; one of these accesses is an actuated emergency traffic signal for fire trucks.

- Between Broughton Way and Astor Way access is provided to an apartment complex on the south side of Oregon 214. This access is located approximately midway between the two public roadways (approximately 225 to 250 feet from each). Alternative access is constrained by the manner in which the apartment complex was constructed.

- Two accesses are provided on the south side of Oregon 214 in the vicinity of Astor Way intersection. The eastern access serves an existing commercial development and the western access serves a day care center. As these properties redevelop in the future, Oregon 214 operations may be enhanced by consolidating these two access points and potentially the access to the apartment complex to the west. Ideally, this consolidated access would be located directly across from the Astor Way intersection.

- A residential property is provided access to the north side of Oregon 214 just east of the Astor Way intersection. As this property redevelops in the future, access should be provided to Astor Way rather than the highway.

- Between Astor Way and Settlemier Avenue, five access points serve existing single-family residences on the south side of Oregon 214. As properties redevelop in this vicinity, the driveways should be consolidated and provided access via Leasure Street instead.

- Near the Oregon 214/Settlemier Avenue intersection, access is provided into an office building on the south side of Oregon 214. The local street to the south provides alternative access to this property.

- An access serving existing commercial development is provided on the north side of Oregon 214 approximately 110 feet east of Leasure Street. With the exception of the residential driveway adjacent to Astor Way and the driveway providing access to vacant land near Cascade Drive, this is the only access point on the north side of Oregon 214 between Astor and Settlemier. Private access in this section is primarily constrained by the existing golf course development.

**Settlemier Avenue to Oregon 99E**

Between Settlemier Avenue and Oregon 99E, Oregon 214 maintains a three-lane curbed cross-section with a travel lane in each direction and a center turn lane. Under existing conditions, ADT on this segment of roadway is approximately 15,000 to 17,000 vehicles per day. This is anticipated to increase to 17,000 to 21,000 under the No Build Condition and 23,000 vehicles per day if Oregon 214 is widened to five lanes and the interchange is rebuilt.

The existing conditions crash analysis did not reveal any apparent existing safety deficiencies in this corridor, with the exception of the vicinity of the Oregon 99E/Oregon 214 intersection. The segment of Oregon 214 in the vicinity of Oregon 99E is listed in the Top 10 percent sites within the SPIS. This intersection was improved in August 2002.
ODOT should monitor the crash pattern at this intersection to determine if the geometric improvements reduce the crash experience at this location. In addition, auto/pedestrian conflicts have been reported and the city of Woodburn and ODOT are working on possible solutions.

Figures 6-2 through 6-4 depict the accesses along Oregon 214 between Settlemier and Oregon 99E. For the most part, accesses along this segment of roadway are limited to public streets between Settlemier Avenue and Progress Way. Each of these public streets is unsignalized at its intersection with Oregon 214. Some private access points serve existing commercial development between Front Street and Oregon 99E. As properties redevelop in this vicinity, access consolidation may be possible.

**Oregon 99E Access Analysis**

Access to Oregon 99E was evaluated between Lincoln Street and the south City limits. In the 1990s, ODOT improved the section of Oregon 99E north of Lincoln Street. This improvement project included limitation of one private access on both sides of the road in this section. According to Division 51 standards, no accesses would be allowed in this section. However, the existing configuration represents a consolidation of access points that serve multiple uses. In the section south of Lincoln Street, a number of private access points remain, as described below.

The section of Oregon 99E between Lincoln Street and the south City limits is approximately 4,000 feet long. In this section, the highway is a five-lane roadway with some intermittent curbs and sidewalks and a number of private access points. All of the intersections along this corridor are unsignalized except Lincoln Street and Young Street (Oregon 214). The land uses along this corridor will likely redevelop in the future. As this redevelopment occurs, the driveways should be better delineated, and in some cases, consolidated or closed. In addition, ODOT and the City are currently pursuing a modernization project along this section of the highway that is a candidate for funding under the 2006-2009 STIP. If funding becomes available for this project, the following section of the TSP should be amended, as appropriate.

**Lincoln Street to Oregon 214/Young Street**

Between Lincoln Street and Young Street/Oregon 214, Oregon 99E carries approximately 20,000 vehicles per day. This is anticipated to increase to 22,000 vehicles per day in the year 2020. The Lincoln Street/Oregon 99E and Young Street/Oregon 214/Oregon 99E intersections are both within segments of roadway included in ODOT’s top 10 percent SPIS list. A detailed analysis of the crashes at both locations did not reveal any apparent patterns indicative of existing geometric or operational deficiencies.

**Existing Public Access Points**

Figure 6-5 depicts the existing public access points on Oregon 99E between Lincoln Street and Oregon 214/Young Street. Public street accesses are provided at McKinley Street, Blaine Street, Aztec Drive, Laurel Street, Tomlin Avenue, and George Street. Each of these locations is unsignalized. The Lincoln Street and Young Street/Oregon 214 intersections are signalized.
None of the driveways in this section meet the OHP spacing requirements. In fact, the public streets are spaced at a distance less than the 600 feet specified in the OHP. For this reason, whenever possible, access to properties abutting the highway with access to the City streets should be directed away from Oregon 99E. A discussion of the existing private access points and potential access alternatives is outlined below. These projects are opportunity-driven based on property conversion or future roadway projects.

**Existing Private Access Points**

Between Lincoln Street and McKinley Street, three private accesses serve a small retail development on the west side of Oregon 99E. These accesses could be readily consolidated in the future. There may be opportunities to provide the commercial development with alternative access to either Lincoln Street or McKinley Street.

Between Lincoln Street and E. Blaine Street, six private access points serve existing commercial uses on the east side of Oregon 99E. With two exceptions, the current site layouts of the properties do not lend themselves to access consolidation. However, as properties redevelop in the future, alternative site layouts, cross-over access easements, and alternative access via Lincoln Street and/or Blaine Street could reduce the number of access points in this segment of Oregon 99E.

Between E. Blaine Street and Aztec Drive, three private accesses serve an existing development on the west side of Oregon 99E. This development also has access to E. Blaine Street. As these properties redevelop in the future, at least two Oregon 99E accesses might be closed.

Between Aztec Drive and Laurel Street, three accesses serve an office building and small retail development on the east side of Oregon 99E. The site layouts for these properties are such that the access points could be readily consolidated in the future; in addition, access is provided to one of the properties via Laurel Street.

Between Aztec Drive and Laurel Street, four private accesses serve two developments on the west side of Oregon 99E. These may be readily consolidated into two access driveways in the future. There are no alternative accesses to public streets available for these properties unless a frontage or backage road system was developed to either Blaine Street or Young Street.

Between Laurel Street and Oregon 214, fourteen private accesses on the west side of the Oregon 99E exist. At a minimum, five of these accesses could readily be closed through the use of shared access agreements or consolidating multiple accesses for the same property. Building setbacks on many of the properties in this segment might allow for internal circulation between many of the parcels.

On the east side of Oregon 99E, there are eleven private accesses between Oregon 214 and Laurel Street. Some of the parcels in this segment also have access to Tomlin Avenue. At a minimum, five of these accesses could be readily closed or consolidated in the future without significant impacts to businesses in this corridor. In addition, alternative access to both Tomlin Avenue and Laurel Street should be investigated as properties in this corridor redevelop. A future north-south roadway between George Street and Laurel Street to the east of Oregon 99E could provide alternative access to these properties as well.
Oregon 214 to the South City Limits

Between Oregon 214 and the south City limits, Oregon 99E currently carries approximately 13,000 vehicles per day. This number is anticipated to increase to 18,000 vehicles per day in the year 2020. As discussed in Section 3, no existing safety deficiencies were identified in this segment of Oregon 99E.

Existing Public Access Points

Public streets are provided at Silverton Avenue and Cleveland Street; both of these intersections with Oregon 99E are unsignalized. These streets are spaced approximately 150 feet apart. In addition, the railroad tracks cross Oregon 99E just to the north of Cleveland Street.

Figure 6-6 depicts the existing accesses on Oregon 99E between Oregon 214 and the City limits to the south. None of the driveways in this section meet the OHP spacing requirements. For this reason, whenever possible, access to properties that abut the highway with access to the City streets should be directed away from Oregon 99E. A discussion of the existing private access points and potential access alternatives is outlined below. These projects are opportunity-driven based on property conversion or future roadway projects.

Existing Private Access Points

On the west side of Oregon 99E between Young Street and Cleveland Street, two driveways serve a gas station, one driveway serves an apartment complex, and two driveways access vacant developable land. As properties develop/redevelop in this corridor, access should be provided to Young Street and access points should be consolidated. Establishing any alternative access to Cleveland Street is constrained by the railroad tracks.

Between Young Street and Cleveland Street, there are four accesses on the east side of Oregon 99E. Two of these accesses could be readily closed in the future without impact existing operations. Consideration should be given to establishing a standard commercial driveway width on the access. In addition, there may be opportunities for establishing alternative access for these properties to Cannery Road and/or Silverton Avenue.

On the west side of Oregon 99E between Cleveland Street and the south City limits, eight private accesses serve existing uses. The current building setbacks on these properties constrain the ability to consolidate accesses in this segment until properties redevelop in the future.

On the east side of Oregon 99E in this same segment, eight private accesses serve multiple properties. Two of these accesses could readily be closed in the future without impacting existing business operations. As properties redevelop in this corridor, cross-over easements may help to consolidate the number of accesses.
Insert Figures 6-1 through 6-6
SECTION 7

Modal Plans

This section summarizes the preferred transportation system for the Woodburn UGB to be implemented over the next 20 years. The transportation improvements in this section were included based on the analysis of relevant plans and policies, existing and future no build conditions, and the alternatives analysis. This section contains the following subsections:

- Street system plan
- Intracity and intercity transit facilities plans
- Pedestrian plan
- Bicycle plan
- Rail facilities plan
- Air, water, and pipeline transport facilities plans
- Transportation demand management programs

Street System Plan

The Woodburn street system plan addresses anticipated operational and circulation needs through the year 2020. The street system plan consists of functional classification designations, street design standards, recommended capacity and connectivity improvements, access management strategies, and traffic operations standards.

Functional Classification Plan

The purpose of classifying streets within the UGB is to create a balanced system that facilitates mobility for vehicles, transit, pedestrians, and cyclists. Street functional classification identifies the intended purpose, the amount and character of traffic, the degree to which non-auto traffic is emphasized, and the design standards. It is essential that the street functional classification consider the adjacent land uses.

The functional classification designations specified in the 1996 TSP are recommended as part of the updated TSP. The primary classification designations are discussed below.

- Freeway: In accordance with the Oregon Highway Plan, the primary function of the interstate is mobility, because freeways connect major cities, regions within Oregon, and other states, and serve as major freight routes. The freeway should provide “safe and efficient high-speed continuous-flow.” The freeway has full access control with access limited to the interchange. Only motorized vehicle traffic is served.

- Major Arterial: Primary functions are to serve local and through traffic as it enters and leaves the urban area, connect Woodburn with other urban centers and regions, and provide connections to major activity centers within the UGB. Per the OHP, emphasis
should be on traffic flow, pedestrian and bicycle movements. On-street bicycle lanes and sidewalks should be provided.

- **Minor Arterial**: Primary functions are to connect major activity centers and neighborhoods within the UGB and to support the major arterial system. Minor arterials should have a higher degree of access, shorter trip lengths, lesser traffic volumes, and lower travel speeds than major arterials. Like major arterials, emphasis should be on traffic flow, pedestrian and bicycle movements. On-street bicycle lanes and sidewalks should be provided.

- **Service Collector**: Primary function is to provide connections between neighborhoods and major activity centers and the arterial street system. Some degree of access is provided to adjacent properties, while maintaining circulation and mobility for all users. Service collectors carry lower traffic volumes at slower speeds than major and minor arterials. On-street bicycle lanes and sidewalks should be provided.

- **Access Street**: Primary function is to connect residential neighborhoods with service collectors or arterials. On-street parking and access to adjacent properties is prevalent. Slower speeds should be provided to ensure community livability and safety for pedestrians and cyclists. In many cases, cyclists can “share the road” with motor vehicles because of low traffic volumes and speeds. Sidewalks or pathways should be provided for pedestrians.

- **Local Streets**: Primary function is to provide direct access to adjacent land uses. Short roadway distances, slow speeds, and low traffic volumes characterize local streets. Cyclists can share the road with motor vehicles. Sidewalks or pathways should be provided for pedestrians.

Figure 7-1 shows the functional classification designations for all existing and future streets within the proposed Woodburn UGB. In the figure, the alignment of future streets is conceptual, meaning that the end points of the streets are often fixed but the alignment between the end points may vary depending on the design requirements and right-of-way constraints at the time in which the street is constructed. It should be noted that, at this time, there are no known environmental concerns or issues associated with any of the new roadways shown in the figure.

In addition, the construction of new roadways in the area being studied for UGB expansion is contingent upon the expansion occurring. If the UGB is not expanded, the roadway system is anticipated to operate acceptably in the absence of these facilities.

The designation for all streets is as follows:

- **Freeway**: I-5
- **Major Arterial**: Oregon 219, Oregon 214, Oregon 99E, and Oregon 211
- **Minor Arterial**: Southern Arterial, Boones Ferry Road, Settlemier Avenue, Evergreen Road, Front Street, Hardcastle Avenue, Young Street (between Oregon 99E and Front Street), Crosby Road, and Butteville Road
• **Service Collector**: Parr Road, Lincoln Street (Front Street to Oregon 99E), West Hayes Street (Settlemier Avenue to Evergreen Road), Arney Road, Progress Way/Industrial Avenue, Park Avenue, Gatch Street (Lincoln Street to Cleveland Street), Cleveland Street (Settlemier to Oregon 99E), Woodland Drive (Arney Road to Oregon 214), Stacy Allison, Robin Avenue, the extension of Evergreen Road into Crossroads Shopping Center, Harrison, Garfield (Settlemier to Front Street), Park (Oregon 214 to Lincoln), Cooley (Oregon 211 to Hardcastle)

• **Access Street**: Woodland Drive (north of Robin Avenue), the extension of Woodland Avenue to Butteville Road south of Oregon 219, Oregon Way, Astor Way (Country Club Road to Oregon 214), Country Club Road (Astor Way to Boones Ferry Road), Hazelnut Drive (Tukwila to Front), Tukwila (Hazelnut to Boones Ferry), Meridian (Oregon 214 to Hazelnut), 5th Street (Oregon 214 to Harrison), Brown Street (Cleveland Street to Southern Arterial), extension of Stubb Street to Evergreen, extension of Ben Brown to the Stubb Street extension, and, Country Club Road (Oregon 214 to Rainier).

The remaining streets within the UGB are designated as local streets.

**Street Design Standards**

Street design standards are based on the desired functional and operational characteristics, such as vehicular volume, capacity, operating speed, safety, and level of pedestrian and bicycle use. The standards are necessary to ensure that the system of streets, as it continues to develop within Woodburn, can safely and efficiently serve motorists, cyclists, and pedestrians while also accommodating the orderly development of adjacent lands.

The street design standards are shown in Figure 7-2 for each of the functional classifications. These standards will be incorporated into or referenced by the Woodburn Development Ordinance. The identified cross sections are intended for planning and design during new road construction, and for the upgrade of existing streets as development and redevelopment occurs. The typical roadway cross sections include right-of-way, number of travel lanes, on-street parking, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and planting strips. On both access and local streets, the inclusion of planting strips will be determined at the time of development approval. In instances where no planting strip is provided, the sidewalk is to be curb-tight. In addition, on major and minor arterials, a raised median can be constructed in lieu of the center turn lane to achieve access management and safety objectives.

On local streets, the City has options for residential and commercial streets with parking or local industrial streets without parking, both of these options require a 60-foot right-of-way.

The street cross-section standards are also summarized in Table 7-1.
### TABLE 7-1
Typical Street Cross Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Right-of-Way</th>
<th>Travel Lanes</th>
<th>Median Type&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Bicycle Lanes?&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Sidewalks?</th>
<th>On-Street Parking?</th>
<th>Planting Strip?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Arterial</td>
<td>100 feet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CTL or Raised Median&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Arterial</td>
<td>74 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CTL or Raised Median&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Collector</td>
<td>72 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>On facilities designated in Figure 7-4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Street</td>
<td>66 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Optional&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Street</td>
<td>50 - 60 feet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Optional&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTL = center turn lane  
ADT = Average Daily Traffic  

<sup>a</sup> Center turn lane and median not required on streets designated as historic corridors unless warranted.  
<sup>b</sup> Bicycle lanes not required on streets designated as historic corridors.  
<sup>c</sup> Raised median may be constructed in lieu of the center turn lane to achieve access management and safety objectives.  
<sup>d</sup> Option is determined at time of development approval.

### Historic Designation

To preserve the older areas of the community while still providing for safety and mobility, a historic area has been designated. The streets within this area are lined by mature shade trees that are an important part of Woodburn’s heritage and represent a significant benefit to the community. While typical arterials and collectors may require widening to meet street design standards that would necessitate the acquisition of right-of-way and impact the trees, the historic designation does not require widening for bicycle lanes or a center turn lane, unless a turn-lane is warranted for safety reasons. At these locations, the existing pavement would be used to the extent possible to preserve the corridor. This historic designation applies to all arterial and collector roadways within the historic area including the following:

- Settlemier between Ben Brown and ORE 214  
- Harrison between Settlemier and 2nd  
- Lincoln between Settlemier and 2nd  
- Garfield between Settlemier and 2nd  
- Cleveland between Settlemier and 2nd  
- Hayes between Hall and Settlemier
Needed Street Upgrades

Over time, many of the existing streets within the City will be upgraded, and will be improved in compliance with the cross sections in Table 7-1. Priority upgrades for the City are as follows:

- **Oregon 214/219/I-5 interchange**: Reconstruct to a Partial Cloverleaf Design in accordance with the Environment Assessment (EA). As part of the EA and TSP processes, the City is adopting an Interchange Management Overlay zone to preserve capacity at the interchange. This overlay zone will be adopted into the Woodburn Development Ordinance (WDO). Specific ordinance language is included in Section 9 of this document.

- **Oregon 214/219**: as part of the interchange reconstruction, widen to a major arterial standard between Woodland and Oregon Way.

- **Oregon 214/219**: Widen to a full five-lane cross section with sidewalks and bicycle lanes per the major arterial standard between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E.

- **Oregon 99E**: As redevelopment occurs in the corridor, upgrade to be compliant with major arterial standards. This would ensure continuous pedestrian and bicycle facilities along the route as well as the implementation of access management strategies. Currently, the City and ODOT are pursuing potential funding for a modernization project between Lincoln and the south City limits. Although the specifics of the project are not available at this time, it is likely that this could include the construction of curbs and sidewalks where gaps currently exist, as well as access consolidation.

- **Crosby, Parr and Butteville Road**: As new development occurs in the corridors within the UGB, upgrade to reflect the transition from the currently rural-character roadways to those more urban in nature.

Boones Ferry and Front: Upgrade to ensure that continuous pedestrian and bicycle facilities are provided along the corridors.

- **Settlemier**: Upgrade to ensure that continuous pedestrian facilities are provided along the corridor.

Other existing streets within Woodburn will be upgraded to the appropriate standards as development and redevelopment occur.

New Streets

The following new streets and streets extensions are planned over the next 20 years:

- **Widening Oregon 214** to include four through travel lanes (two per direction) between Butteville Road and Oregon 99E and the provision of turn lanes at intersections between Woodland Avenue and Oregon Way

- **Reconstructing I-5 on-ramps and off-ramps**

- **Extending Evergreen Road to Parr Road**
• Extending Stacy Allison Drive to Parr Road
• Constructing a new service collector between the Evergreen Road and Stacy Allison Drive extensions
• Constructing the South Arterial from Butteville Road to Oregon 99E
• Terminating Parr Road to the east of Butteville Road and connecting it into the South Arterial
• Extending and upgrading Brown Street to the South Arterial
• Constructing a new loop ramp connection on Oregon 214 with Front Street in the southwest quadrant of the existing intersection.

• A grid system of access and local streets should be constructed as part of the UGB expansion area between Stacy Allison and Settlemier to the north of Parr Road. The construction of this system would occur with development and within the constraints of the existing built environment. This grid system should provide connectivity options for pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists and also help reduce reliance on the historic Settlemier corridor.

Over the next 20 years, it is the City’s priority to coordinate with Marion County to provide an extension of Crosby Road to Goudy Gardens and Oregon 99E, and to extend the southern arterial from Oregon 99E to Oregon 214. The improvements provide needed east-west connections and an alternative route to the Oregon 214/I-5 interchange area.

Access Management
Managing access to Woodburn’s road system is necessary to preserve the capacity and enhance the safety of the arterial street system. Access management minimizes the number of points where traffic flow may be disrupted by traffic entering and exiting the roadway.

Section 6 outlined strategies for consolidating and managing access along the state facilities located within the City. From a policy perspective, the City and ODOT should consider the need for conditioning each land use action that is located within the vicinity of a state facility with one or more of the actions listed below. This would help to maintain or improve traffic operations and safety along the state facilities in Woodburn. It should be noted that these projects are opportunity-driven based on property conversion or future roadway projects.

• Cross-over easements should be provided on all compatible parcels (topography, access, and land use) to facilitate future access between adjacent parcels.

• Opportunities for alternative access to nonstate facilities should be investigated and implemented when reasonable access can occur (consistent with the State’s Division 51 access management standards).

• Right-of-way dedications should be provided to facilitate the future planned roadway system in the vicinity of the proposed development.
• Half-street improvements (sidewalks, curb and gutter, bicycle lanes/paths, and/or travel lanes) should be provided along all site frontages that do not have full buildout improvements in place at the time of development.

On all existing and new arterial, service collector, and access streets within its jurisdiction, the City should manage access to provide safe and efficient vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle operations. The Woodburn Development Ordinance includes access standards for public streets and private accesses and policies related to the establishment of cross-over easements where appropriate and feasible. These standards should be implemented as development and redevelopment occurs along the City facilities.

Traffic Operations Standards
Along state facilities, the OHP governs the applicable traffic operation standards. The following mobility standards are included in the 1999 OHP:

• Oregon 211/214/219: a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.85 should be maintained based on its classification as a district highway.

• Oregon 99E: a maximum volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.80 should be maintained based on its classification as a regional highway.

For City streets the following mobility standards are used for evaluation:

• Level of Service (LOS) “E” for signalized intersections
• Volume-to-capacity ratio less than 1.00 regardless of LOS
• Volume-to-capacity ratio of less than .90 on the critical movement should be maintained, provided the queues on the critical approach can be appropriately accommodated

The evaluation of traffic operations is conducted using the methodology outlined in the most recent edition of the Highway Capacity Manual.

The projects included in the TSP’s Implementation Plan collectively achieve these LOS and mobility standards.

Transit Plan
Woodburn’s transit plan includes improvements to the existing intracity fixed route transit system, developing an intercity transit system, and the continued use of paratransit for special needs services. The details of each of the components of the plan are outlined below.

Intracity Fixed Route Transit
Improvements to the fixed route transit system should be implemented incrementally over time. The top priorities are outlined sequentially below.

• Increasing Service Frequency on Existing Route: Initially, the existing one-way loop route should be maintained, with service extended to a 12-hour period from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. at 60 minute headways. An expansion of the hours of operation of the fixed route service would encapsulate morning and evening peak commuting times thereby
increasing the likelihood that transit could be used for employment-related travel. As ridership increases, service frequency should be provided every 30 minutes during peak periods and every 60 minutes during nonpeak periods on the weekdays. The feasibility of weekend service should also be investigated in the future.

- **Converting Single Route to Two Way Operations:** To improve passenger accessibility, the existing one-way loop route should be modified to two-way operations. This service concept would be operated under the increased frequency described above.

- **Creating Two Routes (East/West) with One-Way or Two-Way Operations:** An east route and a west route with a common connection in the downtown should ultimately be established. The common connection could be provided at a new transit center in the downtown that may be tied to an intercity bus and/or rail station. The east-west boundary between the two routes could either be split at Front or at Settlemier. It would be preferable to increase the service frequency to 30 minutes on both routes between 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. These routes could be operated with either one-way or two-way operations.

In addition to the incremental approach identified above, the route should be expanded as growth occurs to include the Parr Road and Crosby Road corridors and potentially the South Arterial. The connection to Parr Road could occur via the extension of Evergreen Road. The route should also be expanded to include the Woodburn Industrial Park located in the Progress and Industrial corridors.

**Intercity Transit**

The feasibility of an intercity transit system should be further investigated. Top priority should be given to establishing a shuttle service to downtown Salem and the state office building area. As a second priority, shuttle service should be investigated between Woodburn and the Tualatin Park-and-Ride. Ultimately, the provision of service into downtown Portland may be feasible. Under any of these options, it is likely that service would be provided during the morning and evening commute hours with a potential mid-day connection.

The City and ODOT should continue to investigate the feasibility of establishing a park-and-ride in the northeast quadrant of the I-5/Oregon 214 interchange as part of the interchange reconstruction project. If a park-and-ride were developed, consideration should be given to provide more spaces than the anticipated intercity transit demand to accommodate carpooling to Portland and/or Salem. In addition, Woodburn’s intracity fixed route system should incorporate a stop at the potential park-and-ride and should connect to any future north-south MAX line.

**Special Needs Transportation**

Although improvements in the fixed route system could allow Woodburn to reduce the paratransit service, the existing paratransit system provides an essential service for many elderly and handicapped persons in the community. If City resources are concentrated on expansion of the fixed route system, the City may investigate transferring the paratransit system to a local social service agency.
Pedestrian Plan

Providing a connected network of pedestrian facilities is important for:

- Serving shorter pedestrian trips from neighborhoods to area activity centers, such as schools, churches, and neighborhood commercial uses
- Providing access to public transit
- Meeting residents’ recreational needs

The City’s street standards call for sidewalks to be provided along all new streets. As development and redevelopment occurs, and as City funding permits, gaps in the existing sidewalk system should be filled. In particular, gaps on key roads such as Oregon 214 and Boones Ferry Road/Settlemier Avenue should be filled to provide continuous pedestrian connections. The Pedestrian Plan, depicted in Figure 7-3, identifies the sections of the City’s arterial and collector system where gaps currently exist. In future development areas, the sidewalks will be constructed to ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) standards; in the downtown and other older neighborhoods, the existing sidewalk width, clear zone for pedestrians, and the ramp requirements will need to be addressed as properties redevelop and/or roadway improvement projects occur.

Earlier drafts of this plan identified the need for sidewalks on Country Club west of Astor Way, on Astor Way between Country Club and ORE 214, on Oregon Way between ORE 214 and Hayes, and on both sides of Cascade between ORE 214 and Lincoln. Considerable input from the public was received about the conflict between needed construction of these sidewalks and the mature nature of the neighborhoods that they would serve. In addition, those who commented felt that pedestrians can continue to safely “share the road” with motorists and cyclists. Based on this input, the City Council requested the removal of these sidewalks in the TSP (except the east side of Cascade). Figure 7-3 reflects these modifications.

Retrofitting existing streets to include sidewalks should be balanced with developing an off-street pathway system. A 7-mile pedestrian and bicycle trail system is recommended along the Mill Creek and Goose Creek corridors. This trail system would include connections to adjacent neighborhoods. The sidewalk system should incorporate wayfinding signage to direct pedestrians to the off-street trail system.

The two creek corridors provide an opportunity to integrate pedestrian facilities into open space areas, which not only enhances public access to the open space but also provides more direct connections to several of the major pedestrian generators within the City, such as the schools.

More than two-thirds of the household growth and 80 percent of the employment growth is forecast outside of the existing City limits. With the exception of Settlemier between Oregon 214 and Parr Road and Oregon 99E between the north and south City limits, there are very limited pedestrian facilities today that would connect these areas of new growth to the existing City system. In addition, there are limited pedestrian system connections within the areas of new growth anticipated. Per the TPR (OAR 660-012-0045) and the City cross-section standards, any new roadways would need to be constructed with sidewalks.
would also be important to connect these high growth areas with existing neighborhoods and major pedestrian attractors in the vicinity via the existing roadway system.

Finally, as traffic volumes grow, it becomes more difficult for pedestrians to cross streets. Two common means of improving pedestrian crossing safety are constructing pedestrian refuges and curb extensions. Pedestrian refuges are provided in the middle of streets, allowing pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time. Curb extensions extend the sidewalk into the parking lane, shortening the crossing distance for pedestrians.

**Bicycle Plan**

The bicycle plan establishes a network of bicycle lanes and routes that connect Woodburn’s bicycle trip generators to provide a safe, interconnected bicycle system. Bicycle lanes are to be provided on the arterial and service collector streets designated in Figure 7-4. The bicycle lanes have been designated on streets that provide for a connected network of safe and comfortable facilities for cyclists. On other roadways, it is typically appropriate for bicyclists to share a lane with other vehicles. This on-street system should be supplemented by an off-street trail system along the Mill Creek and Goose Creek corridors, as discussed under the Pedestrian Plan.

Although bicycle lanes are not provided on arterial and service collector streets within the historic area, a signed bike route will be provided on Settlemier, Garfield, Meridian, and 5th to guide bicyclists into the downtown area. The signage would direct cyclists north of ORE 214 into the downtown via 5th and Meridian. Cyclists originating south of ORE 214 would be signed into the downtown via the east-west facilities.

Figure 7-4 shows the City’s bicycle plan. As portions of the City’s streets are widened, either through adjacent development or public works projects, bicycle lanes would be provided where indicated on the plan.

**Rail Facilities Plan**

As the opportunity arises, the City should pursue a potential rail passenger stop. Current discussions focus on extending the commuter rail planned between Wilsonville and Beaverton down to Salem. If this occurs, the City should seek a passenger stop. This stop could occur west of Butteville Road, north of Oregon 219. If this stop is established, the intracity fixed route transit system should incorporate a stop at the rail station.

The City should also continue to investigate the opportunity to remove private grade crossings by providing alternative access to parcels as development and redevelopment occurs.

**Air, Water, and Pipeline Transport Facilities Plans**

There are no significant air, water or pipeline transportation facilities in Woodburn and none will likely be needed in the future.
Transportation Demand Management (TDM)

TDM programs seek to improve the efficiency of the transportation system by shifting single-occupant vehicle trips to other modes, or away from times of peak traffic volumes. When implemented by a number of employers, TDM measures may avoid the need for some roadway capacity improvement projects, or at least defer the need farther into the future. Examples of these measures include:

- Subsidizing the cost of transit passes and tickets.
- Establishing carpool matching programs for ridesharing.
- Providing reserved spaces near building entrances for carpools.
- Allowing employees to work at home 1 day a week.
- Scheduling shift changes to occur outside of peak travel periods.
- Establishing neighborhood commercial and mixed-use nodes within the City. As part of these developments, direct sidewalk connections, bus stop provisions and proper building orientation to provide opportunities for trips to be made via walking or cycling or short driving distances.

These types of strategies can be adopted into the Woodburn Development Ordinance in the form of requirements for new developments and incentives for employers.
Insert Figures 7-1 through 7-4
SECTION 8
Transportation Funding and Improvement Costs

This section summarizes the funding and financing required to implement the transportation system plan. Federal, state, regional, and local sources that can be directly applied to transportation-related projects and services in the city of Woodburn are discussed.

In this section, the terms funding and financing are distinguished and defined separately in the following ways. Funding describes any mechanism that generates revenue. Financing refers to ways to spread the impact of funds collection through the issuance of debt obligation to be repaid over time, with interest. This section presents a review of existing mechanisms that can serve as the basis for identifying additional sources and options for funding and financing. The contents of this section serve as an update to the 1996 Woodburn Transportation System Plan.

Regulatory Requirement

The Transportation Planning Rule (OAR 660-12-040) requires that a funding plan be included in TSPs for cities with populations over 2,500. This financing plan was developed in response to the list of proposed improvement projects presented in sections 5 and 7 of the Woodburn TSP. An analysis of existing and potential funding mechanisms for funding the proposed improvements is provided.

The City will need to establish new funding mechanisms to finance its transportation system improvement needs during the next 20 years, both in maintenance and new construction. Selection of additional funding mechanisms must consider a number of criteria to ensure that they are appropriate for the City to include:

- Legal Authority
- Financial Capacity
- Administrative Cost
- Equity
- Political Acceptability
- Stability

Existing Transportation Funding in Woodburn

Year 2002 transportation-related expenditures in Woodburn totaled $1,611,303 versus revenues of $4,819,672. Road-related expenditures represented 86 percent of the total transportation-related expenditures for 2002. Revenues for road-related funding needs
represented 95 percent of total revenues. Revenues for both road-related and transit-related transportation funding exceeded expenditures.

**Road-Related Funding**

Table 8-1 presents itemized road-related revenues and expenditures for the 5 previous fiscal years. Revenues are itemized by source of funds. Expenditures are divided into cost categories. Transit-related revenues are reported separately in Table 8-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 8-1</th>
<th>Road-Related Funding in Woodburn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital Carryover</td>
<td>1,493,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest from Investments</td>
<td>4,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Highway Trust Fund</td>
<td>690,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal ISTEA Revenue</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Gas Tax</td>
<td>98,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and Assessments</td>
<td>547,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Proceeds</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
<td>26,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>2,895,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>299,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and Services</td>
<td>301,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>361,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds and Assessments</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers/Contingencies/UNAP</td>
<td>236,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>1,198,673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: City of Woodburn Budget

The City has a number of large, stable contributors to road-related transportation revenue. The State Highway Trust Fund, the City’s Transportation Impact Fees (TIF), and the City gas tax all contribute significantly to available revenue. During the past 5 years, revenues
from the State Highway Trust Fund have risen from $690,045 to $842,069, an increase of 22 percent. The Transportation Impact Fee program, which was instituted in 1994-1995, has increased dramatically from $547,719 to $806,212 (47 percent). The City gas tax revenue has remained steady at around $100,000 per year during the same period.

The largest category of expenditure during the past 5 years has been capital outlay, which comprised about 30 percent of total expenditures on average. Personnel and material and services costs typically represent 45 to 55 percent of total expenditures. Remaining expenditures are associated with transfers to other City departments and accounts for operating facilities and replacing equipment.

**Transit-Related Funding**

Table 8-2 presents itemized transit-related revenues and expenditures for the 5 previous fiscal years. Revenues are itemized by source of funds. Expenditures are divided into cost categories.

**TABLE 8-2**

Transit Funding in Woodburn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Capital Carryover</td>
<td>51,817</td>
<td>60,690</td>
<td>47,451</td>
<td>32,264</td>
<td>41,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
<td>77,711</td>
<td>85,317</td>
<td>96,447</td>
<td>93,853</td>
<td>105,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest from Investments</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td>1,240</td>
<td>1,976</td>
<td>2,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue from Other Agencies</td>
<td>36,215</td>
<td>78,626</td>
<td>160,331</td>
<td>48,530</td>
<td>91,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Fares</td>
<td>24,210</td>
<td>22,920</td>
<td>21,641</td>
<td>20,850</td>
<td>21,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>190,929</td>
<td>248,663</td>
<td>327,110</td>
<td>197,473</td>
<td>263,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>88,802</td>
<td>94,520</td>
<td>99,650</td>
<td>107,650</td>
<td>116,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and Services</td>
<td>35,937</td>
<td>39,615</td>
<td>41,246</td>
<td>41,562</td>
<td>41,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60,577</td>
<td>147,450</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers/Contingencies/UNAP</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>130,239</td>
<td>201,212</td>
<td>294,846</td>
<td>155,802</td>
<td>221,531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: City of Woodburn Budget

**Outlook for Existing Transportation Funding Sources**

The State Highway Fund should be a relatively stable source of revenue for Woodburn. Because these funds are distributed to cities based on population, Woodburn’s share could
increase or decrease depending on how it grows relative to the state average. Nonetheless, Woodburn’s share of state funds will probably not increase as fast as its street maintenance requirements, especially as the system expands to serve current and future demands.

Revenue from the City’s $0.01/gallon gas tax will gradually erode with inflation if not increased. Because the tax is based on quantity rather than price, tax revenues do not increase with gasoline prices. In fact, increases in gasoline prices may actually decrease tax revenue as higher prices reduce demand.

Revenues from development and impact fees will remain important sources of revenue for Woodburn. Bonds financed by Local Improvement Districts (LIDs) and fees from Systems Development Charge (SDC) will be largely dependent on the willingness of property owners to form LIDs and to initiate development projects that trigger SDC fees. Both may be dependent on population growth to increase property values and the general economic outlook from which to gauge risk. To the extent that these revenues are accurately set to the full cost of transportation improvements, they should allow Woodburn to construct basic capital improvements to serve commercial and residential development.

In summary, it is expected that sources of transportation revenue will remain relatively stable. Population growth should help support LID-financed improvements and SDCs assessed to new development will allow the City to put some resources toward future improvements. In addition, population growth may continue to give the City a slightly bigger share of the State Highway Fund.

The Oregon Transportation Investment Act (OTIA) was passed by the 2001 Oregon Legislative Assembly and is funded through bond proceeds derived from increased DMV fees. OTIA currently provides $650 million (including $150 million local matching funds) for 173 construction projects that will improve pavement conditions, increase lane capacity, and improve bridges throughout Oregon. Projects were selected with extensive input from local communities and other stakeholders. In 2002, the Oregon Transportation Commission allocated these funds for modernization, preservation, and bridge projects throughout the State. This signals a willingness and by the State Government to address transportation needs throughout the state.

The 2004 budget lays the groundwork for a $247 billion, 6-year reauthorization proposal, as compared to the current TEA-21 level of $218 billion. Of the proposed total, $195 billion would fund the highway program (up from $168 billion) over 6 years, and $45 billion would fund the transit program (up from $41 billion). Federal funding is typically distributed through the state.

Cost Estimates for Transportation System Improvements

Preferred improvements to the Woodburn transportation system were presented in Section 7. Estimated costs for these improvements were developed and grouped into three categories that include existing facility upgrades, construction of new facilities and existing facility extensions, and intersection improvements. In all, about $136 million (in 2004) dollars of road and transit service improvements for the City have been identified for the next 20 years. Table 8-3 shows proposed improvement costs and associated owning
jurisdiction. Table 8-4 shows capital and operating costs for transit improvement alternatives.

### Table 8-3
Proposed Transportation Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Estimated Capital Cost</th>
<th>Owning Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Next Ten Years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct I-5 interchange and Improve OR 214 between Woodland Avenue and Oregon Way</td>
<td>$50,000,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 214 widening between Oregon Way and OR 99E and Woodland to Butteville Road</td>
<td>$21,950,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 99E widening between Lincoln Street and south city limits</td>
<td>$5,750,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Street upgrade to access street standards</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext. Evergreen Road to Parr Road</td>
<td>$4,730,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext. Stubb to Evergreen</td>
<td>$3,900,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext. Ben Brown to Evergreen Extension</td>
<td>$4,700,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add northbound right, southbound left, eastbound right turn lanes and eastbound through-lane to Boones Ferry/OR 214</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalize Meridian Drive/5th Street/OR 214</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalize Park Street/OR 214</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>City/State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add eastbound right-turn lane to Parr Road/Settlemier Road</td>
<td>$380,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalize Front/OR 214 ramps</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase service frequency on transit routes</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park-and-ride near OR 214/I-5 Interchange</td>
<td>$1,750,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Front Street between Cleveland and Parr Road to minor arterial standards</td>
<td>$950,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Front Street between Hardcastle and Hazelnut to minor arterial standards</td>
<td>$1,150,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$99,140,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Ten to Fifteen Years** |                         |                     |
| Upgrade of Crosby Road to minor arterial standards | $3,300,000 | County/City |
| Upgrade of Parr Road to service collector standards | $3,000,000 | County/City |
| Upgrade Boones Ferry and Front to provide continuous sidewalks and bicycle lanes | $975,000 | City |
| Service class facility between Evergreen Road and Stacy Allison Drive extensions | $2,260,000 | City |
| Ext. Stacey Allison Drive to Parr Road | $5,980,000 | City |
| Add loop ramp in southwest quadrant of OR 214/Front Street intersection | $1,800,000 | State |
| Add southbound right-turn and westbound left-turn lane to OR 99E/OR 214 | $580,000 | State |
| Convert transit route to two-way operations | $180,000 | City |
| Off-street pathway along Mill and Goose Creek | $750,000 | City |
TABLE 8-3
Proposed Transportation Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Estimated Capital Cost</th>
<th>Owning Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corridors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$18,825,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fifteen to Twenty Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Estimated Capital Cost</th>
<th>Owning Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR 99E widening between south city limits and south UGB</td>
<td>$2,900,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalize southern Butteville Road/OR 214 intersection and add northbound right-turn lane</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalize northern Butteville Road/OR 214 intersection and add southbound right-turn lane</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>County/City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalize Cleveland Street/OR 214</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Arterial between Parr Road and OR 99E</td>
<td>$11,780,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext./Upgrade of Brown to South Arterial</td>
<td>$780,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two transit routes with one-way or two-way operations</td>
<td>$360,000 - $700,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks on existing service collectors, access and local streets</td>
<td>$540,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle lanes on Garfield, Hardcastle, Young</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$18,485,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total | $136,450,000 |

* Improvements to County facilities outside of City of Woodburn urban growth boundary (UGB).

TABLE 8-4
Capital and Operating Costs for Transit Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Estimated Capital Cost</th>
<th>Operating Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Increased Frequency</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$352,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Single Route with Two-Way Operations</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$352,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Two Routes with One-Way Operations</td>
<td>$360,000</td>
<td>$352,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Two Routes with Two-Way Operations</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>$704,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total | $1,420,000.00 | $1,760,000.00 |

Financing Needed for Transportation System Improvements

The projects identified represent an ambitious program of roadway and transit improvements for the City. The plan identifies over $50 million in transportation infrastructure improvements, which does not include the cost of the I-5 interchange improvement project that has been identified as a high priority for funding or other state highway projects. Constructing these improvements likely will require a higher level of transportation expenditures than Woodburn has made in the past. In the past 5 fiscal years,
Woodburn has spent between $1.3 and $1.6 million for road improvements and transit service. Depending on how the projects are eventually sequenced and staged, the improvements identified may require Woodburn to spend twice the amount (annually) they have averaged during the past 5 years.

It is expected that Woodburn will want to pursue additional funding for transportation from the following sources:

- **State or Marion County funds.**
  Obtain funds from the state for improvements to the state highway. Explore cost sharing with the County for mutually beneficial projects.

- **Local Improvement Districts.**
  For public improvement projects with localized benefit (e.g., neighborhoods), property owners pay all or a portion of the project cost.

- **Urban Renewal Districts.**
  Formed to finance projects to remove “blight” (typically, poor-quality buildings or inadequate streets). Property taxes allocated to district based on “division of tax” calculation for the renewal district.

- **Transportation Impact Fees.**
  For projects that do not relate directly to new development or directly benefit property owners, spread the cost and provide funding from existing transportation funding sources such as TIF fees.

- **General Obligation Bonds.**
  Obtain bond backing from property tax revenue if determined by City staff and the governing body to be fair and viable.

The likely funding sources for transportation improvements in Woodburn are presented below. Woodburn should pursue funding sources at the federal, state, and local level and develop strategies to maximize the potential for each of these sources to implement its transportation improvements.

**Federal and State Sources**

Woodburn should access federal funds by working with ODOT. A key action will be to get improvement projects listed as part of the STIP in order to qualify them for funding in the adopted plan every 2 years. The City should also work with ODOT to determine the potential for project funding under the upcoming highway bill reauthorization.

The state has a number of programs that can be tapped for improvements related to congestion relief, footpaths and bikeways, and other special projects.
County Sources
Woodburn may be able to secure an occasional cost-sharing arrangement with Marion County and should seek to coordinate with the County on transportation improvements within the County in order to partner on projects wherever possible.

Local Sources
Woodburn should continue to seek funds from property owners who directly benefit from transportation improvements that enable new development.
SECTION 9
Implementing Ordinances

This section presents recommended changes to the Woodburn Development Ordinance (WDO) in order to comply with implementation provisions of the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) as codified in OAR 660-012-045.

Also included in this section is the new ordinance establishing an overlay district intended to preserve planned capacity improvements to Woodburn’s I-5 Interchange with Oregon Highway 214. The discussion of recommended changes is generally organized by referencing the applicable section(s) of the TPR that prompts a change in the WDO, followed by the recommended revisions. Revisions are presented with deletions shown strikethrough and additions shown underlined. The new code language has been developed to meet TPR requirements based on Woodburn’s existing regulatory framework. In addition, the Model Transportation Planning Rule Ordinances and Policies for Small Jurisdictions and the Model Development Code & Users Guide for Small Jurisdictions have been used as references for recommended code revisions. This section only addresses those provisions of OAR 660-12-0045 with which the WDO does not currently comply.

OAR 660-12-0045(1)(c)

In the event that a transportation facility, service or improvement is determined to have a significant impact on land use or to concern the application of a comprehensive plan or land use regulation and to be subject to standards that require interpretation or the exercise of factual, policy or legal judgment, the local government shall provide a review and approval process that is consistent with 660-012-0050. To facilitate implementation of the TSP, each local government shall amend its land use regulations to provide for consolidated review of land use decisions required to permit a transportation project.

To comply with the above TPR requirement, the following additions are proposed to the procedures for noticing ODOT identified in Section 4.101.09, “Public Notices: Type II, III, IV and V.”

Regulations to provide notice to public agencies providing transportation facilities and services, MPOs, and ODOT of:

(A) Land use applications that require public hearings;

(B) Subdivision and partition applications;

(C) Other applications which affect private access to roads; and

(D) Other applications within airport noise corridors and imaginary surfaces which affect airport operations.

4.101.09 Public Notices: Type II, III, IV and V

D. Notice to Affected Agencies.

1. Prior to issuing a decision regarding a Preliminary Partition Approval (Section 5.102.01) or Access to a City Major or Minor Arterial Street (Section 5.102.04), the Community Development Director shall distribute such applications that require
preparation of a Transportation Impact Analysis to affected transportation facility and service providers and owning jurisdictions. These agencies shall be given 30 calendar days to review the application and to suggest any revisions in the public’s interest to protect the operation of transportation facilities and services.

2. Type IV applications and Type III applications for Preliminary PUD Approval (Section 5.103.07), Preliminary Subdivision Approval (Section 5.105.09) and Conditional Use Permits (Section 5.103.01) for transportation system facilities and improvements that require a Transportation Impact Analysis shall be sent to affected transportation facility and service providers and owning jurisdictions. These agencies shall be given 30 calendar days to review the application and to suggest any revisions in the public’s interest to protect the operation of transportation facilities and services.

OAR 660-12-0045(2)(a)
Access control standards

NOTE: Section 7 of this TSP recommends that the City of Woodburn and ODOT consider the need for conditioning each land use action located within the vicinity of a state facility with one or more of the actions listed in Section 7 under Access Management. Following City and ODOT review and direction, proposed changes to WDO Section 3.104 will be provided.

OAR 660-12-0045(2)(f)
Regulations to provide notice to public agencies providing transportation facilities and services, MPOs, and ODOT of:

(A) Land use applications that require public hearings;

(B) Subdivision and partition applications;

(C) Other applications which affect private access to roads; and

(D) Other applications within airport noise corridors and imaginary surfaces which affect airport operations.

The proposed changes to Section 4.101.09 that are recommended for compliance with OAR 660-12-0045(1)(c) also address OAR 660-12-0045(2)(f).

OAR 660-12-0045(2)(g)
Regulations assuring that amendments land use designations, densities, and design standards are consistent with the functions, capacities and levels of service of facilities identified in the TSP:

To address the requirements of OAR 660-012-045(2)(g), revisions to Sections 5.104.02 and 5.104.04, “Comprehensive Plan Map Change, Owner Initiated” and “Zoning Map Change, Owner Initiated,” are proposed.

5.104.02 Comprehensive Plan Map Change, Owner-Initiated

B. Application Requirements. An application shall include a completed City application form, filing fee, deeds, notification area map and labels, written narrative statement regarding compliance with criteria, location map, and the following additional exhibit:
1. Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA), as applicable.

The application shall be reviewed to determine whether it significantly affects a transportation facility, in accordance with Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660-012-0060. If the review indicates that a transportation facility could be significantly affected, a TIA may be required. Significant means the proposal would:

a. Change the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility. This would occur, for example, when a proposal causes future traffic to exceed the capacity of “collector” street classification, requiring a change in the classification to an “arterial” street, as identified by the Transportation System Plan; or

b. Change the standards implementing a functional classification system; or

c. Allow types or levels of land use that would result in levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of a transportation facility; or

d. Reduce the level of service of the facility below the minimum acceptable level identified in the Transportation System Plan. . .

4. Approval Criteria. Amendments to the comprehensive plan and land use standards which significantly affect a transportation facility shall assure that allowed land uses are consistent with the function, capacity, and level of service of the facility identified in the Transportation System Plan. This shall be accomplished by one of the following:

a. Limiting allowed land uses to be consistent with the planned function of the transportation facility; or

b. Amending the Transportation System Plan to ensure that existing, improved, or new transportation facilities are adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirement of the Transportation Planning Rule; or,

c. Altering land use designations, densities, or design requirements to reduce demand for automobile travel and meet travel needs through other modes of transportation.

5.104.04 Zoning Map Change, Owner-Initiated

B. Application Requirements. An application shall include a completed City application form, filing fee, deeds, notification area map and labels, written narrative statement regarding compliance with criteria, location map and the following additional exhibit:

1. Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA), as applicable.

The application shall be reviewed to determine whether it significantly affects a transportation facility, in accordance with Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660-012-0060. If the review indicates that a transportation facility could be significantly affected, a TIA may be required. Significant means the proposal would:

a. Change the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility. This would occur, for example, when a proposal causes future traffic to exceed the capacity of “collector” street classification, requiring a change in the
classification to an “arterial” street, as identified by the Transportation System Plan; or

b. Change the standards implementing a functional classification system; or

c. Allow types or levels of land use that would result in levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of a transportation facility; or

d. Reduce the level of service of the facility below the minimum acceptable level identified in the Transportation System Plan.

C. Criteria.

1. Evidence proving a need for the proposed use and the other permitted uses within the proposed zoning designation.

2. Evidence that the subject property best meets the need relative to other properties in the existing developable land inventory already designated with the same zone considering size, location, configuration, visibility and other significant attributes of the subject property.

3. Amendments to the comprehensive plan and land use standards which significantly affect a transportation facility shall assure that allowed land uses are consistent with the function, capacity, and level of service of the facility identified in the Transportation System Plan. This shall be accomplished by one of the following:

a. Limiting allowed land uses to be consistent with the planned function of the transportation facility; or

b. Amending the Transportation System Plan to ensure that existing, improved, or new transportation facilities are adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirement of the Transportation Planning Rule; or,

c. Altering land use designations, densities, or design requirements to reduce demand for automobile travel and meet travel needs through other modes of transportation.

Because Transportation Impact Analysis could be required for comprehensive plan map and zoning map changes in addition to access to City streets, Exhibit Q, “Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) Requirements,” in Section 6 of the WDO should be revised as follow:

Q. Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) Requirements

A Transportation Impact Analysis required for either a street, or access to a street, that is under City jurisdiction, a comprehensive plan map change, or a zoning map change shall be conducted to the specifications of the Public Works Department.

OAR 660-12-0045(3)(a)

Bicycle parking facilities as part of new multi-family residential developments of four units or more, new retail, office and institutional developments, and all transit transfer stations and park-and-ride lots;

WDO Section 3.105.02, “General Provisions for Off Street Parking and Loading,” indicates that all uses required to provide 10 or more vehicle parking spaces must also provide a
bicycle rack within 50 feet of the main entrance. This provision excludes multifamily dwelling units with four units, because only eight vehicle parking spaces are required, which is below the minimum trigger for providing bicycle parking. The following changes to Section 3.105.02 of the WDO would require multifamily residential developments with four or more units to provide a bicycle rack.

3.105.02 General Provisions for Off-Street Parking and Loading

H. On-Site Vehicle Parking and Loading Area Improvement Requirements

10. On-site Bicycle Parking Requirements. All uses required to provide 10 or more off-street parking spaces and residential structures with four or more units shall provide a bicycle rack within 50 feet of the main entrance. The number of required rack spaces shall be one plus one per ten vehicle spaces, with a maximum of 20 rack spaces.

OAR 660-12-0045(7)

Local governments shall establish standards for local streets and accessways that minimize pavement width and total right-of-way consistent with the operational needs of the facility.

As currently written, the street standards in Section 3.101.03 are not identified as minimizing the amount of pavement required for streets and accessways. The proposed changes to Section 3.101.03.A would provide an unequivocal statement to that effect. Changes to Section 3.101.03.B are recommended to make the WDO and TSP consistent.

3.101.03 Right-of-Way and Improvement Standards (Figure 6.9)

A. The street right-of-way and improvement cross-sectional standards required for development are depicted in Figure 7-2 and Table 7-1 of the Woodburn Transportation System Plan Figure 6.9 of the WDO. 30, EXCLUDING: Local Residential W/ Parking Both Sides - "Skinny" Street; Local Residential W/ Parking One Side - "Skinny" Street, and Local Residential Street W/ No Parking. (See Figure 6.6). These standards are based on the functional classification of each street as shown in Figure 7-1 of the Woodburn Transportation System Plan. The street right-of-way and improvement standards minimize the amount of pavement and ROW required for each street classification consistent with the operational needs of each facility, including requirements for pedestrians, bicycles, and public utilities.

B. The following additional standards for Local Residential Streets: [Note: Items a through d for both Local Residential Street with Parking One Side and Local Residential without Parking should be shown in an updated TSP Figure 30 and an updated WDO Figure 6.9.]

1. Local Residential Street with Parking One Side:
   a. Right of way: 50 feet.
   b. Public Utility Easement: 5 feet, each side.
   c. Curb to curb improvement: 29 feet.
   d. Sidewalks: 5 feet wide, each side.
e. Required common, onsite parking over and above the parking requirements under other provisions of the **WDO**: One (1) space per dwelling unit, located no further than 250 feet from the subject lot.

2. Local Residential Street without Parking:
   a. Right of way: 50 feet.
   b. Public Utility Easement: 5 feet, each side.
   c. Curb to curb improvement: 24 feet.
   d. Sidewalks: 5 feet wide, each side.

   d. Required common, onsite parking over and above the parking requirements under other provisions of the **WDO**: Two (2) spaces per dwelling unit lot, located no further than 250 feet from the subject lot.

### 2.116 Interchange Management Area (IMA) Overlay District (new)

#### 2.116.01 Purpose

The purpose of this overlay district is to preserve the long-term capacity of Woodburn’s I-5 Interchange with Highway 214, in coordination with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT).

Preserving the capacity of this interchange is an essential element of the City’s economic development strategy, because continued access to I-5 is necessary to attract and maintain basic employment within the Woodburn Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). This chapter complements the provisions of the Southwest Industrial Reserve (SWIR) Overlay District by ensuring that industrial land is retained for targeted basic employment called for in the Woodburn Economic Opportunities Analysis (EOA). This chapter also ensures that needed industrial, commercial and residential land within the IMA Overlay District is protected from commercial encroachment.

These goals are met by establishing trip generation budgets as called for in Transportation Policy 8 of the Woodburn Comprehensive Plan. The parcel budgets are intended to be high enough to accommodate peak hour trips anticipated by the 2005 Woodburn Comprehensive Plan (WCP) and Transportation Systems Plan (TSP), but low enough to restrict unplanned vehicle trips that could adversely affect the interchange.

#### 2.116.02 Vehicle Trip Budgets

This section establishes a total trip generation budget for planned employment (commercial and industrial) land uses within the Interchange Management Area – defined as the IMA Trip Budget, and a trip budget for each vacant commercial or industrial parcel – defined as the parcel budget.
A. The IMA District Trip Budget
The IMA Trip Budget for commercial and industrial uses within the IMA Overlay District is 2,500 peak hour vehicle trips through the Year 2020. (An estimated 1,500 additional peak hour residential trips are planned within the IMA District.) The IMA Trip Budget will be allocated to vacant commercial and industrial parcels on a first developed – first served basis.

B. 2004 (Initial) Vehicle Trip Budget by Parcel
The parcel budget for each vacant commercial or industrial parcel within the IMA Overlay District is shown on Table 2.116.1. Parcel budgets are based on 11 peak hour trips per developed industrial acre, and 33 peak hour trips per developed commercial acre.

1. The parcel budget for each parcel will be reduced in proportion to actual vehicle trips generated by new development on any portion of the parcel.

2. The City may allow development that exceeds the parcel budget for any parcel in accordance with Section 2.116.06(B).

Table 2.116.1. Vehicle Trip Budget by Parcel (Parcel Budget)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vacant Map and Tax Lot Number</th>
<th>Applicable Comprehensive Plan Designation</th>
<th>Vacant Buildable Acres</th>
<th>Parcel Trip Budgets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>052W11 00100</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W11 00300</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W13 01100</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 01500</td>
<td>(Project Partial Development: Minimum of 300 employees)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>330*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 01600</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 00200</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 00600</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 00700</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 00800</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 00900</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 01000</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 01100</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 01200</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W14 01300</td>
<td>SWIR</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>184*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12AC 04301</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12AC 05100</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12C 00200</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12C 00602</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12C 00604</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12C 00605</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12C 01203</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12DA 01600</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12DA 03200</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12DA 03600</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>052W12DA 03700</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SWIR District reserves these large industrial sites are held for large firms with initial employment of 200-300 people.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vacant Map and Tax Lot Number</th>
<th>Applicable Comprehensive Plan Designation</th>
<th>Vacant Buildable Acres</th>
<th>Parcel Trip Budgets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>052W14 00100</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.116.03 Administration

This chapter delineates responsibilities of the City and ODOT to monitor and evaluate vehicle trip generation impacts on the I-5 interchange from development approved under this chapter.

A. Boundaries of the IMA Overlay District

The IMA Overlay District is shown in Figure 9-1. This area includes approximately 962 net vacant buildable acres that will be served by the I-5 Interchange via the Parr Road, Butteville Road, Crosby Road and Highway 214. The IMA Overlay District includes the Southwest Industrial Reserve (SWIR), the Parr Road Nodal Development Area, and other vacant commercial areas immediately served by the I-5 interchange.

B. Applicability of this Chapter

The regulatory provisions of this chapter apply to the cumulative and parcel-specific impacts generated from non-residential development on specific tax lots identified in Table 2.116.1 above. As further described in Section 2.116.06, this chapter considers the cumulative traffic impacts of all non-residential Type II – V land use applications for development of vacant land through the Year 2020. The City shall determine whether a land use application is subject to regulation under this chapter during the land use application completeness check, based on the results of the required Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA).

C. TIA (Traffic Impact Analysis) Methods

The standards for preparing a TIA are found in Exhibit Q, Transportation Impact Analysis Requirements. Generally, the TIA must meet local and ODOT administrative rule (OAR Chapter 734, Division 51) requirements.

D. ODOT Coordination in Land Use Reviews

For a land use application subject to the provisions of this chapter:

1. The City shall not deem the land use application complete unless it includes a TIA prepared in accordance with Exhibit Q, TIA Requirements.

2. The City shall provide written notification to ODOT when the application is deemed complete. This notice shall include an invitation to ODOT to participate in the City’s facilities review meeting. (WDO 4.101.07)

3. ODOT shall have at least 30 days to provide written comments to the City, measured from the date completion notice was mailed. If ODOT does not provide written comments during this 30-day period, the City staff report may be issued without consideration of ODOT comments.

E. City Monitoring Responsibilities

The details of City and ODOT monitoring and coordination responsibilities are found in the approved Woodburn – ODOT Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA).
1. The City shall be responsible for maintaining a current ledger documenting the cumulative peak hour trip generation impact from all residential, commercial, industrial and public land use applications approved under this chapter, compared with the adopted IMA Trip Budget.

2. The City may adjust the ledger based on actual development and employment data, subject to review and concurrence by ODOT.

3. The City will provide written notification to ODOT when land use applications approved under this chapter, combined with approved building permits, result in traffic generation estimates that exceed 33% and 67% of the adopted trip generation budget.

F. Vesting and Expiration of Vehicle Trip Allocations

This section recognizes that vehicle trip allocations may become scarce towards the end of the planning period, as the I-5 Interchange nears capacity. The following rules apply to allocations of vehicle trips against the adopted trip budget:

1. For commercial and industrial land use applications, vehicle trip allocations are vested at the time of design review approval.

2. Vehicle trips shall not be allocated based solely on approval of a comprehensive plan amendment or zone change, unless consolidated with a subdivision or design review application.

3. Vesting of vehicle trip allocations shall expire at the same time as the development decision expires, in accordance with WDO 4.102.03-04.

2.116.04 Permitted, Special and Conditional Uses

A. Generally, permitted and conditional uses allowed in the underlying zoning district are allowed subject to other applicable provisions of the WDO and this chapter.

B. If a proposed employment (commercial or industrial) development will generate peak hour vehicle trips greater than projected in Table 2.116.1 for the subject parcel, the application shall be reviewed under Type III Conditional Use procedure.

C. If the proposed use is permitted outright in the underlying zoning district, the review criteria shall be limited to those found in Section 2.116.06 – Interchange Capacity Preservation Standards.

2.116.05 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Map Amendments

This section applies to all Comprehensive Plan Map amendments within the IMA Overlay District. This section does not apply to Zoning Map amendments that result
in conformance with the applicable Comprehensive Plan Map designation, such as Zoning Map amendments that occur when land is annexed to the City.

A. Transportation Planning Rule Requirements.

Applications for Comprehensive Plan Map amendments, and for Zoning Map amendments shall determine whether the proposed change will significantly affect a collector or arterial transportation facility, and must meet the requirements of Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 660-012-0060 and WDO 5.104.02-04.

B. Limitations on Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

To ensure that the remaining capacity of the I-5 Interchange is reserved for targeted employment opportunities identified in Chapter 4 of the Economic Opportunities Analysis (EOA) and needed housing, this section imposes the following prohibitions on Comprehensive Plan Map amendments within the IMA Overlay District:

1. Comprehensive Plan Map amendments that will increase the net Commercial land area within the IMA Overlay District shall be prohibited.

2. Comprehensive Plan Map amendments that allow land uses that will generate traffic in excess of the IMA Trip Budget shall be prohibited.

2.116.06 Interchange Capacity Preservation (ICP) Standards

This section establishes two standards that must be met whenever the required TIA indicates that the peak hour trip generation threshold will be exceeded for an individual tax lot.

- Standard A applies to the cumulative traffic generation impact for the District as a whole.
- Standard B applies to individual tax lots, and may allow approval of a development that exceeds the trip generation budget for that tax lot for targeted employment, through the conditional use process.

A. Mandatory Cumulative Impact Standard.

All commercial and industrial land use applications subject to the provisions of this Section 2.116.03.B shall be subject to design review and shall meet the following District-wide ICP standard:

1. Peak hour vehicle traffic generated from the proposed development shall not, in combination with other approved developments, exceed the IMA District Trip Budget of 2,500.

2. Prior to approval of any non-residential land use application, the City shall make an affirmative determination that traffic generated from the proposed development will be within the adopted total trip generation budget within the IMA Overlay District.
3. The applicant may propose, and the City may require transportation demand management (TDM) measures through the design review and conditional use processes. Where proposed or required, such measures shall be a condition of project approval and shall be subject to annual review by the City.

B. Mandatory Site-Specific Standard

This standard considers the site-specific development impacts on the long-term capacity of the I-5 interchange.

1. Exemptions:
   a. Residential development shall be exempted from the provisions of this Chapter, to provide for “needed housing” consistent with ORS 197.303 requirements, and because the traffic impacts of residential development are highly predictable.

   b. Proposed commercial, industrial, office, service-related and public (i.e., non-residential) development that falls below the parcel budget shown on Table 2.116.1, shall not be subject to further review under this subsection, but shall meet transportation demand management conditions applied through the design review process.

2. Conditional Use Required. Proposed non-residential development that meets the threshold for review found in Section 2.116.03.B and exceeds the parcel budget for any tax lot shown on Table 2.116.1 – shall be reviewed through the Type III conditional use process. The following site-specific review criteria shall apply:

   a. Development on Industrial or Commercial Land that provides employment opportunities listed on Table 2.116.2 below may be permitted, if the City makes affirmative findings that the development will contribute substantially to the economic objectives found in Chapter 2 of the Woodburn EOA, and transportation demand management conditions are applied through the design review process.

   b. Nonresidential and nontargeted development on land designated Commercial on the Woodburn Comprehensive Plan shall be denied unless transportation demand management conditions are applied through the design review process to ensure that the site-specific standard is not exceeded.